

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * <input type="text" value="22"/>	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4	File No.* SR - <input type="text" value="2017"/> - * <input type="text" value="12"/> Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *) <input type="text"/>
---------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Filing by ISE Gemini, LLC  
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>			Rule		
Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>		Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Section 806(e)(1) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 806(e)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>
	Section 3C(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------

**Description**

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked \*).

**Contact Information**

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name \*  Last Name \*   
 Title \*   
 E-mail \*   
 Telephone \*  Fax

**Signature**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title \*)

Date  Executive Vice President and General Counsel  
 By    
 (Name \*)

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFF website.

**Form 19b-4 Information \***

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

**Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change \***

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

**Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies \***

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

**Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications**

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

**Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire**

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

**Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies**

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

**Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text**

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

**Partial Amendment**

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) ISE Gemini, LLC (“Gemini” or “Exchange”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) a proposal (i) to describe the decommission of its “Tick-Worse” functionality and (ii) to amend Rule 713 (Priority of Quotes and Orders) relating to the priority of split price transactions.

The Exchange requests that the proposed rule change become operative on February 28, 2017.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached as Exhibit 1. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange (the “Board”) on August 15, 2016. Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

Sun Kim  
Assistant General Counsel  
Nasdaq, Inc.  
212-897-0238

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is (i) to describe the decommission of the “Tick-Worse” functionality and (ii) to amend Rule 713 (Priority of Quotes and Orders) as it relates to the priority of split price transactions. The proposed changes are discussed below.

“Tick-Worse” Functionality

The Exchange currently provides market makers<sup>3</sup> with Tick-Worse functionality, which allows market makers to pre-define the prices and sizes at which the system will automatically move their quotation following an execution that exhausts the size of their existing quotation.<sup>4</sup> As such, when a market maker’s quote is traded out, it can be automatically reinstated into the Exchange’s order book at the next best price.<sup>5</sup> This

---

<sup>3</sup> The term “market makers” refers to “Competitive Market Makers” and “Primary Market Makers” collectively. See Rule 100(a)(25).

<sup>4</sup> Tick-Worse functionality is not currently memorialized in the Exchange’s rulebook. In addition, the Exchange will not offer Tick-Worse on the new Nasdaq INET system going forward. On September 30, 2004, International Securities Exchange, LLC (“ISE”) filed with the Commission a proposal to codify this functionality in its rulebook, but inadvertently deleted the rule as obsolete rule text in a subsequent proposal filed on December 21, 2012. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51050 (January 18, 2005), 70 FR 3758 (January 26, 2005) (SR-ISE-2004-31); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68570 (January 3, 2013), 78 FR 1901 (January 9, 2013) (SR-ISE-2012-82). The Exchange imported Rule 713 from ISE’s rulebook when the Commission granted the Exchange’s application for registration as a national securities exchange, which was after the Tick-Worse functionality rule was inadvertently removed from ISE’s rules. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70050 (July 26, 2013), 78 FR 46622 (August 1, 2013) (Order Granting Registration as a National Securities Exchange).

<sup>5</sup> Market makers may choose to set Tick-Worse parameters by specifying how many price ticks back, and for what size, the quote is to be reinstated.

optional feature is intended to help market makers meet their continuous quoting obligations under the Exchange's rules<sup>6</sup> when their displayed quotations are exhausted. When a market maker's quote is traded out and automatically reinstated into the Exchange's order book using the Tick-Worse functionality, the reinstated quote will be given priority pursuant to the Exchange's split price priority rule as discussed below.

Due to the lack of demand for the Tick-Worse feature, the Exchange decommissioned the use of this functionality on February 21, 2017 by asking its members to stop using Tick-Worse by February 21<sup>st</sup>.<sup>7</sup> The Exchange plans to turn off this functionality in the system when the last symbol migrates onto the new Nasdaq INET system on or around April 3, 2017<sup>8</sup> as part of its system migration to Nasdaq INET technology.<sup>9</sup> As discussed above, the Exchange offers the Tick-Worse feature as a voluntary tool for market makers to assist them in meeting their continuous quoting obligations under the Exchange's rules. As such, market makers are not required to use

---

<sup>6</sup> Specifically, Primary Market Makers ("PMMs") are required under Rule 804(e)(1) to enter quotations in all of the series listed on the Exchange of the options classes to which they are appointed on a daily basis. Supplementary Material .01 to Rule 804 further requires PMMs to quote 90% of the time their assigned options class is open for trading on the Exchange. As provided in Rule 804(e)(2), Competitive Market Makers ("CMMs") are not required to enter quotations in the options class to which they are appointed, but in the event a CMM does initiate quoting, such CMM is generally required to quote 60% of the time its assigned options class is open for trading on the Exchange.

<sup>7</sup> This functionality was only being used by one market maker on the Exchange.

<sup>8</sup> The detailed schedule of the symbol migration is available at: <http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/MicroNews.aspx?id=OTA2017-13>.

<sup>9</sup> See Securities Exchange Release No. 80011 (February 10, 2017), 82 FR 10927 (February 16, 2017) (SR-ISEGemini-2016-17) (Order Approving Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, To Amend Various Rules in Connection With a System Migration to Nasdaq INET Technology).

the Exchange-provided functionality and can program their own systems to perform the same functions if they prefer. Here, the Exchange has found that almost all market makers use their own systems rather than the Exchange's Tick-Worse feature to send refreshed quotations when their displayed quotations are exhausted, and therefore discontinued this functionality. Because the Tick-Worse functionality is currently not memorialized in the Exchange's rules as noted above, there is no text of the proposed rule change. The Exchange provided advance notice to its members on January 31, 2017 through an informational circular that it would decommission the use of the Tick-Worse functionality on February 21, 2017. The Exchange believes that this gave market makers the opportunity to make any necessary changes to their Tick-Worse parameters to coincide with the implementation date.

#### Split Price Priority

The Exchange is proposing to delete Rule 713(f), which relates to the priority of split price transactions, because this priority rule currently only applies in the context of the Tick-Worse functionality, as described above. Rule 713(f) provides that if a Member purchases (sells) one (1) or more options contracts of a particular series at a particular price, it shall at the next lower (higher) price at which there are Professional Orders<sup>10</sup> or market maker quotes, have priority over such Professional Orders and market maker quotes in purchasing (selling) up to the equivalent number of options contracts of the same series that it purchased (sold) at the higher (lower) price, but only if the purchase

---

<sup>10</sup> The term "Professional Order" means an order that is for the account of a person or entity that is not a Priority Customer. See Rule 100(a)(37C). A "Priority Customer" is a person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s). See Rule 100(a)(37A).

(sale) so effected represents the opposite side of a transaction with the same offer (bid) as the earlier purchase (sale). Although the language of Rule 713(f) is more general, the Exchange's intent was to apply split price priority solely to the Tick-Worse functionality.

Example:

PMM has opted into tick worse functionality and selected to tick worse and post 10 contracts at a penny worse than their original quote.

- PMM quote for 10 contracts bid at \$1.00 and 10 contracts offered at \$1.02
- Additionally, there is a Priority Customer order to buy 5 contracts at \$0.99, and a CMM quote for 10 contracts bid at \$0.99 and 10 contracts offered at \$1.02
- A member enters a sell order for 20 contracts at \$0.99
- This order will trade as follows:

10 contracts trade at \$1.00 with the PMM bid quote, and PMM is ticked worse to 10 contracts bid at \$0.99

5 contracts trade at \$0.99 with the Priority Customer order due to customer priority

5 contracts trade at \$0.99 with the PMM's ticked worse quote due to the split price priority rule; 0 contracts trade with the CMM bid quote

The Exchange represents that Tick-Worse has historically only ever applied in the context of the split price priority rule in Rule 713(f). Furthermore, the Exchange has historically only ever awarded priority pursuant to Rule 713(f) for split price transactions that occur in the Tick-Worse functionality, and the existing Rule should have been clarified to more accurately reflect its current application. Nonetheless, the Exchange is

now proposing to delete the rule text in its entirety in order to reflect that the Tick-Worse functionality was decommissioned on February 21, 2017.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>11</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>12</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange decommissioned the Tick-Worse functionality on February 21, 2017. As discussed above, the Exchange originally offered Tick-Worse as an optional feature to help market makers meet their continuous quoting obligations under the Exchange's rules. The Exchange has found, however, that the Tick-Worse feature is rarely used today as almost all market makers use their own systems to send refreshed quotations when their displayed quotations are exhausted. The Exchange therefore believes that this proposal describing the decommission of Tick-Worse on February 21<sup>st</sup>, together with the advance notice it provided to its members on January 31, 2017, eliminates any investor uncertainty related to the status of this functionality.

The Exchange also believes that its proposal to delete the split price priority rule in Rule 713(f) protects investors and the public interest because it removes rule text that became obsolete with the decommission of the Tick-Worse functionality. As described above, the split price priority rule only applies to the Tick-Worse functionality. Because

---

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).



the Rule is more general than its current, specific application, however, the Exchange believes that the continued presence of Rule 713(f) in its rules even after retiring the Tick-Worse functionality will be confusing to its members and investors. By removing obsolete rule text that only applies in the context of Tick-Worse, the Exchange is eliminating any potential for confusion about how its systems operate.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to have any competitive impact but rather to describe the decommission of a rarely-used functionality on the Exchange and relatedly, to remove the rule text that this functionality supports from the Exchange's rulebook, thereby reducing investor confusion and making the Exchange's rules easier to understand and navigate.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not Applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii)<sup>13</sup> of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder<sup>14</sup> in that it effects a change that: (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii)

---

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change qualifies for immediate effectiveness as a “non-controversial” rule change. The proposed rule change is consistent with the public interest and the protection of investors, and will not impose any significant burden on competition, as it describes the removal of rarely-used functionality and removes the rule text that this functionality supports from the Exchange’s rulebook in order to eliminate investor confusion.

Furthermore, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file a proposed rule change under that subsection at least five business days prior to the date of filing, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of filing. Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii), however, permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection

of investors and the public interest. The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay contained in Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii). Waiver of the 30-day operative delay would permit the Exchange to implement the proposed rule change on February 28, 2017. As noted above, the Exchange issued an informational circular on January 31, 2017 to ask its members to stop using Tick-Worse by February 21<sup>st</sup> to give market makers the opportunity to make any necessary changes to their Tick-Worse parameters to coincide with the implementation date. As a result, the split-price priority rule became obsolete upon this decommission. The Exchange believes that the waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it will allow the Exchange to remove the split price priority language relating solely to unavailable functionality in a timely manner, thereby clarifying the rules available to members of the Exchange and reducing investor confusion.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory organization.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

1. Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.
5. Text of the proposed rule change.

**EXHIBIT 1**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(Release No. \_\_\_\_\_ ; File No. SR-ISEGemini-2017-12)

March \_\_, 2017

## Self-Regulatory Organizations; ISE Gemini, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Decommission of the Tick-Worse Functionality

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on February 28, 2017, ISE Gemini, LLC (“ISE Gemini” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes (i) to describe the decommission of its “Tick-Worse” functionality and (ii) to amend Rule 713 (Priority of Quotes and Orders) relating to the priority of split price transactions.

The Exchange requests that the proposed rule change become operative on February 28, 2017.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Website at [www.ise.com](http://www.ise.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is (i) to describe the decommission of the "Tick-Worse" functionality and (ii) to amend Rule 713 (Priority of Quotes and Orders) as it relates to the priority of split price transactions. The proposed changes are discussed below.

"Tick-Worse" Functionality

The Exchange currently provides market makers<sup>3</sup> with Tick-Worse functionality, which allows market makers to pre-define the prices and sizes at which the system will automatically move their quotation following an execution that exhausts the size of their existing quotation.<sup>4</sup> As such, when a market maker's quote is traded out, it can be

---

<sup>3</sup> The term "market makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See Rule 100(a)(25).

<sup>4</sup> Tick-Worse functionality is not currently memorialized in the Exchange's rulebook. In addition, the Exchange will not offer Tick-Worse on the new Nasdaq INET system going forward. On September 30, 2004, International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE") filed with the Commission a proposal to codify this functionality in its rulebook, but inadvertently deleted the rule as obsolete rule text in a subsequent proposal filed on December 21, 2012. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51050 (January 18, 2005), 70 FR 3758 (January 26,

automatically reinstated into the Exchange's order book at the next best price.<sup>5</sup> This optional feature is intended to help market makers meet their continuous quoting obligations under the Exchange's rules<sup>6</sup> when their displayed quotations are exhausted. When a market maker's quote is traded out and automatically reinstated into the Exchange's order book using the Tick-Worse functionality, the reinstated quote will be given priority pursuant to the Exchange's split price priority rule as discussed below.

Due to the lack of demand for the Tick-Worse feature, the Exchange decommissioned the use of this functionality on February 21, 2017 by asking its members to stop using Tick-Worse by February 21<sup>st</sup>.<sup>7</sup> The Exchange plans to turn off this functionality in the system when the last symbol migrates onto the new Nasdaq INET

---

2005) (SR-ISE-2004-31); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68570 (January 3, 2013), 78 FR 1901 (January 9, 2013) (SR-ISE-2012-82). The Exchange imported Rule 713 from ISE's rulebook when the Commission granted the Exchange's application for registration as a national securities exchange, which was after the Tick-Worse functionality rule was inadvertently removed from ISE's rules. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 70050 (July 26, 2013), 78 FR 46622 (August 1, 2013) (Order Granting Registration as a National Securities Exchange).

<sup>5</sup> Market makers may choose to set Tick-Worse parameters by specifying how many price ticks back, and for what size, the quote is to be reinstated.

<sup>6</sup> Specifically, Primary Market Makers ("PMMs") are required under Rule 804(e)(1) to enter quotations in all of the series listed on the Exchange of the options classes to which they are appointed on a daily basis. Supplementary Material .01 to Rule 804 further requires PMMs to quote 90% of the time their assigned options class is open for trading on the Exchange. As provided in Rule 804(e)(2), Competitive Market Makers ("CMMs") are not required to enter quotations in the options class to which they are appointed, but in the event a CMM does initiate quoting, such CMM is generally required to quote 60% of the time its assigned options class is open for trading on the Exchange.

<sup>7</sup> This functionality was only being used by one market maker on the Exchange.

system on or around April 3, 2017<sup>8</sup> as part of its system migration to Nasdaq INET technology.<sup>9</sup> As discussed above, the Exchange offers the Tick-Worse feature as a voluntary tool for market makers to assist them in meeting their continuous quoting obligations under the Exchange's rules. As such, market makers are not required to use the Exchange-provided functionality and can program their own systems to perform the same functions if they prefer. Here, the Exchange has found that almost all market makers use their own systems rather than the Exchange's Tick-Worse feature to send refreshed quotations when their displayed quotations are exhausted, and therefore discontinued this functionality. Because the Tick-Worse functionality is currently not memorialized in the Exchange's rules as noted above, there is no text of the proposed rule change. The Exchange provided advance notice to its members on January 31, 2017 through an informational circular that it would decommission the use of the Tick-Worse functionality on February 21, 2017. The Exchange believes that this gave market makers the opportunity to make any necessary changes to their Tick-Worse parameters to coincide with the implementation date.

#### Split Price Priority

The Exchange is proposing to delete Rule 713(f), which relates to the priority of split price transactions, because this priority rule currently only applies in the context of the Tick-Worse functionality, as described above. Rule 713(f) provides that if a Member

---

<sup>8</sup> The detailed schedule of the symbol migration is available at: <http://www.nasdaqtrader.com/MicroNews.aspx?id=OTA2017-13>.

<sup>9</sup> See Securities Exchange Release No. 80011 (February 10, 2017), 82 FR 10927 (February 16, 2017) (SR-ISEGemini-2016-17) (Order Approving Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment Nos. 1 and 2, To Amend Various Rules in Connection With a System Migration to Nasdaq INET Technology).

purchases (sells) one (1) or more options contracts of a particular series at a particular price, it shall at the next lower (higher) price at which there are Professional Orders<sup>10</sup> or market maker quotes, have priority over such Professional Orders and market maker quotes in purchasing (selling) up to the equivalent number of options contracts of the same series that it purchased (sold) at the higher (lower) price, but only if the purchase (sale) so effected represents the opposite side of a transaction with the same offer (bid) as the earlier purchase (sale). Although the language of Rule 713(f) is more general, the Exchange's intent was to apply split price priority solely to the Tick-Worse functionality.

Example:

PMM has opted into tick worse functionality and selected to tick worse and post 10 contracts at a penny worse than their original quote.

- PMM quote for 10 contracts bid at \$1.00 and 10 contracts offered at \$1.02
- Additionally, there is a Priority Customer order to buy 5 contracts at \$0.99, and a CMM quote for 10 contracts bid at \$0.99 and 10 contracts offered at \$1.02
- A member enters a sell order for 20 contracts at \$0.99
- This order will trade as follows:
  - 10 contracts trade at \$1.00 with the PMM bid quote, and PMM is ticked worse to 10 contracts bid at \$0.99
  - 5 contracts trade at \$0.99 with the Priority Customer order due to customer priority

---

<sup>10</sup> The term "Professional Order" means an order that is for the account of a person or entity that is not a Priority Customer. See Rule 100(a)(37C). A "Priority Customer" is a person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s). See Rule 100(a)(37A).



5 contracts trade at \$0.99 with the PMM's ticked worse quote due to the split price priority rule; 0 contracts trade with the CMM bid quote

The Exchange represents that Tick-Worse has historically only ever applied in the context of the split price priority rule in Rule 713(f). Furthermore, the Exchange has historically only ever awarded priority pursuant to Rule 713(f) for split price transactions that occur in the Tick-Worse functionality, and the existing Rule should have been clarified to more accurately reflect its current application. Nonetheless, the Exchange is now proposing to delete the rule text in its entirety in order to reflect that the Tick-Worse functionality was decommissioned on February 21, 2017.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>11</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>12</sup> in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange decommissioned the Tick-Worse functionality on February 21, 2017. As discussed above, the Exchange originally offered Tick-Worse as an optional feature to help market makers meet their continuous quoting obligations under the Exchange's rules. The Exchange has found, however, that the Tick-Worse feature is rarely used today as almost all market makers use their own systems to send refreshed quotations when their displayed quotations are exhausted. The Exchange therefore believes that this proposal

---

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

describing the decommission of Tick-Worse on February 21<sup>st</sup>, together with the advance notice it provided to its members on January 31, 2017, eliminates any investor uncertainty related to the status of this functionality.

The Exchange also believes that its proposal to delete the split price priority rule in Rule 713(f) protects investors and the public interest because it removes rule text that became obsolete with the decommission of the Tick-Worse functionality. As described above, the split price priority rule only applies to the Tick-Worse functionality. Because the Rule is more general than its current, specific application, however, the Exchange believes that the continued presence of Rule 713(f) in its rules even after retiring the Tick-Worse functionality will be confusing to its members and investors. By removing obsolete rule text that only applies in the context of Tick-Worse, the Exchange is eliminating any potential for confusion about how its systems operate.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not designed to have any competitive impact but rather to describe the decommission of a rarely-used functionality on the Exchange and relatedly, to remove the rule text that this functionality supports from the Exchange's rulebook, thereby reducing investor confusion and making the Exchange's rules easier to understand and navigate.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act<sup>13</sup> and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.<sup>14</sup>

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

---

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-ISEGemini-2017-12 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISEGemini-2017-12. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-ISEGemini-2017-12 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>15</sup>

Robert W. Errett  
Deputy Secretary

---

<sup>15</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

**EXHIBIT 5**

Deleted text is [bracketed]. New text is underlined.

**ISE GEMINI, LLC RULES**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Rule 713. Priority of Quotes and Orders**

(a) – (e) No change.

[(f) *Priority on Split Price Transactions.* If a Member purchases (sells) one (1) or more options contracts of a particular series at a particular price, it shall at the next lower (higher) price at which there are Professional Orders or market maker quotes, have priority over such Professional Orders and market maker quotes in purchasing (selling) up to the equivalent number of options contracts of the same series that it purchased (sold) at the higher (lower) price, but only if the purchase (sale) so effected represents the opposite side of a transaction with the same offer (bid) as the earlier purchase (sale).]

***Supplementary Material to Rule 713***

.01 - .04 No change.

\* \* \* \* \*