

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Filing by NASDAQ BX, Inc.
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			Rule		
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Section 806(e)(1) *	Section 806(e)(2) *
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Section 3C(b)(2) *
	<input type="checkbox"/>

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

A proposal to amend the transaction fees at Chapter XV to permit certain affiliated market participants to aggregate eligible volume to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1).

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name *	Angela	Last Name *	Dunn
Title *	Associate General Counsel		
E-mail *	angela.dunn@nasdaq.com		
Telephone *	(215) 496-5692	Fax	

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)

Date	06/14/2016	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
By	Edward S. Knight	
	(Name *)	

edward.knight@nasdaq.com

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFF website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) NASDAQ BX, Inc. (“Exchange”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposal to amend the Exchange’s transaction fees at Chapter XV to permit certain affiliated market participants to aggregate eligible volume to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is at Exhibit 1 and the text of the amended Exchange Rule is at Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors (the “Board”) on July 1, 2015. Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

Angela Saccomandi Dunn
Associate General Counsel
Nasdaq, Inc.
215-496-5692.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to permit certain affiliated market participants to aggregate volume in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing and qualify for various pricing incentives. The Exchange's proposal is intended to incentivize Participants to submit for execution a greater amount of order flow on BX to obtain more advantageous pricing.

Affiliated Entity

The Exchange proposes to add three definitions to the Preface of the Pricing Schedule. The Exchange proposes to define the terms "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity." The Exchange proposes to define the term "Appointed MM" as a BX Options Market Maker³ who has been appointed by an Order Flow Provider ("OFP") for purposes of qualifying as an Affiliated Entity. The Exchange proposes to define the term "Appointed OFP" as an OFP who has been appointed by a BX Options Market Maker for purposes of qualifying as an Affiliated Entity. The Exchange proposes to define the term "Affiliated Entity" as an Exchange approved relationship between an Appointed MM and Appointed OFP for purposes of qualifying for certain pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume

³ The term "BX Options Market Maker" or ("M") is a Participant that has registered as a Market Maker on BX Options pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 2, and must also remain in good standing pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 4. In order to receive Market Maker pricing in all securities, the Participant must be registered as a BX Options Market Maker in at least one security.

percentage is required to obtain the pricing. In order to qualify as an Affiliated Entity, BX Options Market Makers and OFPs will be required to send an email to the Exchange, designating their Appointed MM or Appointed OFP, respectively.⁴ The emails received by the Exchange must be sent at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the month in order for the Appointed MM and Appointed OFP to qualify as an Affiliated Entity for the following month. For example, with this proposal, market participants may submit emails to the Exchange to become Affiliated Entities eligible to qualify for pricing starting July 1, 2016, provided the emails are sent at least 3 business days prior to the end of the prior month. The Exchange will acknowledge receipt of the emails and specify the start date the Affiliated Entity would be eligible to qualify for applicable pricing, as specified in the Pricing Schedule. Each Affiliated Entity relationship will commence on the 1st of a month and may not be terminated prior to the end of any month. Participants desiring to terminate the Affiliated Entity relationship are required to submit an email to the Exchange at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the month in order to terminate the relationship for the next month.. An Affiliated Entity relationship will terminate after a one (1) year period, unless either party terminates earlier in writing by notifying the Exchange. At the end of the one year time period from the effective date, a new Affiliated Entity relationship may be agreed upon. For example, if the start date of the Affiliated Entity relationship is July 1, 2016, the counterparties may determine to commence a new relationship as of July 1, 2017 by sending two new emails. Participants

⁴ The Exchange shall issue an Options Trader Alert specifying the email address and details required to apply to become an Affiliated Entity. Once the Exchange receives both emails, from the Affiliated MM and the Affiliated OFP, the Exchange will send a confirming email with the date of approval of the one (1) year term.

under Common Ownership⁵ may not qualify as a counterparty comprising an Affiliated Entity. Each Participant may qualify for one (1) Affiliated Entity relationship at any given time.

As proposed, an Affiliated Entity shall be eligible to aggregate their volume for purposes of qualifying for certain pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing. With this proposal, Affiliated Entities will be eligible to tier pricing in Section 2(1) in both Penny and Non-Penny Pilot Options.⁶

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) - Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options Pricing

Currently, the Exchange offers Customers⁷ the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in non-Select Symbols⁸ with a tiered pricing model when trading against Non-Customers,⁹ BX Options Market Makers or Firms.¹⁰ Also, the Exchange offers Customers the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to

⁵ The term “Common Ownership” shall mean Participants under 75% common ownership or control.

⁶ See BX Rules at Section 2(1) of Chapter XV.

⁷ The term “Customer” or (“C”) applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Customer range at The Options Clearing Corporation which is not for the account of broker or dealer or for the account of a “Professional” (as that term is defined in Chapter I, Section 1(a)(48)).

⁸ The Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in non-Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.20 per contract.

⁹ A Non-Customer includes a Professional, Broker-Dealer and Non-BX Options Market Maker.

¹⁰ The term “Firm” or (“F”) applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Firm range at The Options Clearing Corporation.

Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols¹¹ with a tiered pricing model when trading against Customers, Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms. Finally, the Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers the ability to obtain lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols¹² with a tiered pricing model when trading against Customers. This pricing is reflected at Chapter XV, Section 2(1) and would be subject to aggregation by Affiliated Entities.

The Exchange offers Customers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms, the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols¹³ with a tiered pricing model.¹⁴ The Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Customers, the ability to obtain a lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁵ The Exchange offers Customers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers, Customers or Firms, the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot

¹¹ The Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.35 per contract.

¹² Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols ranges from \$0.30 to \$0.39 per contract.

¹³ The Select Symbols are: ASHR, DIA, DXJ, EEM, EFA, EWJ, EWT, EWW, EWY, EWZ, FAS, FAZ, FXE, FXI, FXP, GDX, GLD, HYG, IWM, IYR, KRE, OIH, QID, QLD, QQQ, RSX, SDS, SKF, SLV, SPY, SRS, SSO, TBT, TLT, TNA, TZA, UNG, URE, USO, UUP, UVXY, UYG, VXX, XHB, XLB, XLE, XLF, XLI, XLK, XLP, XLU, XLV, XLY, XME, XOP, XRT. See BX Chapter XV, Section 2(1) pricing.

¹⁴ Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.25 per contract.

¹⁵ Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.29 to \$0.44 per contract.

Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁶ The Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Customers, the ability to obtain a lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁷ Finally, the Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms, the ability to obtain lower Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁸ This pricing is reflected at Chapter XV, Section 2(1) and would be subject to aggregation by Affiliated Entities.

Currently, the Exchange offers Customers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms, the ability to obtain higher Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity with a three-tiered pricing model.¹⁹ Also, the Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Customers, the ability to obtain lower Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity with a tiered pricing model.²⁰ This pricing is reflected at Chapter XV, Section 2(1) and would be subject to aggregation by Affiliated Entities.

¹⁶ Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.37 per contract.

¹⁷ Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.25 to \$0.42 per contract.

¹⁸ Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.14 per contract.

¹⁹ Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.20 per contract.

²⁰ Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity ranges from \$0.60 to \$0.89 per contract.

The Exchange's proposal would incentivize certain Participants who are not under Common Ownership to enter into an Affiliated Entity relationship for the purpose of aggregating Customer volume to qualify for reduced Penny Pilot Options and non-Penny Pilot Options Transaction Charges and higher Penny Pilot Options and non-Penny Pilot Options rebates. With respect to the pricing and the Affiliated Entity relationship, Appointed MMs would receive lower fees and Appointed OFPs would receive higher rebates, as applicable with aggregated pricing.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Pricing Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,²¹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) and (b)(5) of the Act,²² in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

²² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5).

market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”²³

Likewise, in NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission²⁴ (“NetCoalition”) the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission’s use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a cost-based approach.²⁵ As the court emphasized, the Commission “intended in Regulation NMS that ‘market forces, rather than regulatory requirements’ play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost.”²⁶

Further, “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ . . . As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’ . . .”²⁷ Although the court

²³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 29, 2005), 70 FR 37496 at 37499 (File No. S7-10-04) (“Regulation NMS Adopting Release”).

²⁴ NetCoalition v. SEC, 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

²⁵ See id. at 534-535.

²⁶ See id. at 537.

²⁷ See id. at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Act Commission at Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770 at 74782-74783 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets.

The Exchange's proposal to amend Chapter XV, Section 2 to add the definitions of "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity" is reasonable because the Exchange identifies the applicable market participants that may qualify to aggregate volume as an Affiliated Entity. Further the Exchange seeks to make clear the manner in which Participants may participate on the Exchange as Affiliated Entities by setting timeframes for communicating agreements among market participants and terms of early termination. The Exchange also qualifies clearly that no Participant under Common Ownership may qualify as a counterparty to an Affiliated Entity. The Exchange believes that terms are reasonable in that it enables every Participant to elect to qualify as a counterparty to an Affiliated Entity.

The Exchange's proposal to amend Chapter XV, Section 2 to add the definitions of "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity" is equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory because these definitions apply to all Participants uniformly.

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) - Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options Pricing

The Exchange's proposal to permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume for purposes of the Appointed OFP receiving higher Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot

Options rebates²⁸ and the Appointed MM being assessed lower fees²⁹ is reasonable because it will attract additional Customer and non-Customer order flow to the Exchange. Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts BX Options Market Makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Also, the Exchange is incentivizing Participants to send non-Customer order flow to BX, which order flow will benefit all Participants because they may interact with the liquidity. Market participants acting as BX Options Market Makers and directing order flow as OFPs may be eligible to qualify for higher rebates or lower fees, with this proposal, as a result of aggregating volume with another appointed member. Permitting Participants to affiliate for purposes of the Appointed OFP qualifying for higher rebates and the Appointed MM qualifying for lower fees may also encourage Affiliated Entities to incentivize each other to attract and seek to execute more volume on BX. The Affiliated Entity relationship would permit the Appointed MM and the Appointed OFP to benefit from orders executed on BX in terms of the Appointed OFP qualifying for higher rebates and the Appointed

²⁸ The Exchange would permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols and Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity.

²⁹ The Exchange would permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to obtain lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols and Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity.

MM qualifying for lower fees. In turn, market participants would benefit from the increased liquidity with which to interact and potentially tighter spreads on orders. Overall, incentivizing market participants with increased opportunities to earn higher rebates may increase the quality of the liquidity available on BX.

The Exchange's proposal to permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume for purposes of the Appointed OFP receiving higher Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options rebates and the Appointed MM being assessed lower fees³⁰ is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all BX Participants, other than those under Common Ownership, may qualify as an Affiliated Entity as either an Appointed MM or an Appointed OFP.³¹ Also, each BX Participant may participate in only one Affiliated Entity relationship at a given time, which imposes a measure of exclusivity among market participants, allowing each party to rely on the other's executed volume on BX to receive a corresponding benefit in terms of a higher rebate or lower fee. The Exchange will apply all qualifications in a uniform manner to all market participants that elect to become counterparties of an Affiliated Entity. Any market participant that has not elected to be priced as a Participant under Common Ownership may qualify as a counterparty of an Affiliated Entity. Also, BX Options Market Makers are valuable

³⁰ The Exchange would permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to obtain lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols and Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity.

³¹ Both Participants must elect each other to qualify as an Affiliated Entity for one year. Participation is effected by an agreement of both parties. One party may elect terminate the agreement at any time.

market participants that provide liquidity in the marketplace and incur costs that other market participants do not incur. BX Options Market Makers are subject to burdensome quoting obligations³² to the market that do not apply to other market participants.

Incentivizing these market participants to execute Customer volume on BX may result in tighter spreads.

The Exchange's proposal to exclude Participants under Common Ownership from qualifying as an Affiliated Entity is reasonable because Participants under Common Ownership may aggregate volume today for purposes of Chapter XV, Section 2(1) pricing.³³ The Exchange's proposal to exclude Participants under Common Ownership from qualifying as an Affiliated Entity is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply all qualifications in a uniform manner when approving Affiliated Entities. Participants under Common Ownership may aggregate volume today for purposes of qualifying for Chapter XV, Section 2(1) pricing. Excluding Participants under Common Ownership from also qualifying as an Affiliated Entity is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they are able to aggregate volume today as common owners.

³² Pursuant to BX Rules at Chapter VII, Section 5, entitled "Obligations of Market Makers", in registering as a market maker, an Options Participant commits himself to various obligations. Transactions of a BX Options Market Maker must constitute a course of dealings reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and BX Options Market Makers should not make bids or offers or enter into transactions that are inconsistent with such course of dealings. Further, all BX Options Market Makers are designated as specialists on BX for all purposes under the Act or rules thereunder. See Chapter VII, Section 2.

³³ See BX Rules at Chapter XV for Common Ownership.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, the Exchange does not believe that permitting Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to qualify for certain rebates and reduced fees will impose any undue burden on competition, as discussed below.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which many sophisticated and knowledgeable market participants can readily and do send order flow to competing exchanges if they deem fee levels or rebate incentives at a particular exchange to be excessive or inadequate. Additionally, new competitors have entered the market and still others are reportedly entering the market shortly. These market forces ensure that the Exchange's fees and rebates remain competitive with the fee structures at other trading platforms.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing

practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that other options markets have similar incentives in place to attract volume to their markets.³⁴

The Exchange's proposal to amend Chapter XV, Section 2 to add the definitions of "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity" is equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory because these definitions apply to all Participants uniformly.

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) - Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options Pricing

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange does not believe that its proposal to permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume for purposes of Appointed OFPs qualifying higher rebates and Appointed MMs qualifying for lower fees within Chapter XV, Section 2(1) imposes an undue burden on intra-market competition because all BX Participants, other than those under Common Ownership, may qualify as an Affiliated Entity as either an Appointed MM or an Appointed OFP. Also, each Participant may participate in only one Affiliated Entity relationship at a given time,

³⁴ See NYSE MKT LLC's ("NYSE Amex") pricing at NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule). NYSE Amex permits aggregation of volume to qualify for the Amex Customer Engagement or ACE Program. See Bats BZX Exchange, Inc.'s ("BZX") fee schedule. BZX permits aggregation of volume to qualify for tiered pricing. See the Chicago Board Options Exchange Incorporated ("CBOE") Fees Schedule. CBOE permits aggregation of volume to qualify for credits available under an Affiliated Volume Plan or "AVP."

which imposes a measure of exclusivity among market participants, allowing each party to rely on the other's executed BX Customer volume on BX to receive a corresponding benefit in terms of a rebate. Any market participant that is a member or member organization under Common Ownership may not qualify as a counterparty of an Affiliated Entity. Any market participant that is a Participant under Common Ownership may not qualify as a counterparty of an Affiliated Entity. Also, BX Options Market Makers are valuable market participants that provide liquidity in the marketplace and incur costs that other market participants do not incur. BX Options Market Makers are subject to burdensome quoting obligations³⁵ to the market that do not apply to other market participants. Incentivizing these market participants to execute Customer volume on BX may result in tighter spreads. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Appointed OFPs directing order flow to the Exchange may be eligible to qualify for a Customer Rebate or Appointed MMs may qualify for lower fees, with this proposal, as a result of aggregating volume. Permitting Participants to affiliate for purposes of qualifying for Chapter XV, Section 2(1) higher rebates or lower fees may also encourage the counterparties that comprise the Affiliated Entities to incentivize each other to attract and seek to execute more Customer volume on BX.

The Exchange will apply all qualifications in a uniform manner to all market participants that elect to become counterparties of an Affiliated Entity. Also, excluding Participants under Common Ownership from qualifying as an Affiliated Entity does not

³⁵ See note 32 above.

impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because they are able to aggregate volume today as common owners.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,³⁶ The Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

1. Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

5. Text of the proposed rule change.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. _____ ; File No. SR-BX-2016-034)

June __, 2016

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ BX, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Affiliated Entities

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 14, 2016, NASDAQ BX, Inc. (“Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC” or “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange’s transaction fees at Chapter XV to permit certain affiliated market participants to aggregate eligible volume to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2 for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Website at <http://nasdaqomxbx.cchwallstreet.com/>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to permit certain affiliated market participants to aggregate volume in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing and qualify for various pricing incentives. The Exchange's proposal is intended to incentivize Participants to submit for execution a greater amount of order flow on BX to obtain more advantageous pricing.

Affiliated Entity

The Exchange proposes to add three definitions to the Preface of the Pricing Schedule. The Exchange proposes to define the terms "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity." The Exchange proposes to define the term "Appointed MM" as a BX Options Market Maker³ who has been appointed by an Order Flow

³ The term "BX Options Market Maker" or ("M") is a Participant that has registered as a Market Maker on BX Options pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 2, and must also remain in good standing pursuant to Chapter VII, Section 4. In order to receive Market Maker pricing in all securities, the Participant must be registered as a BX Options Market Maker in at least one security.

Provider (“OFP”) for purposes of qualifying as an Affiliated Entity. The Exchange proposes to define the term “Appointed OFP” as an OFP who has been appointed by a BX Options Market Maker for purposes of qualifying as an Affiliated Entity. The Exchange proposes to define the term “Affiliated Entity” as an Exchange approved relationship between an Appointed MM and Appointed OFP for purposes of qualifying for certain pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing. In order to qualify as an Affiliated Entity, BX Options Market Makers and OFPs will be required to send an email to the Exchange, designating their Appointed MM or Appointed OFP, respectively.⁴ The emails received by the Exchange must be sent at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the month in order for the Appointed MM and Appointed OFP to qualify as an Affiliated Entity for the following month. For example, with this proposal, market participants may submit emails to the Exchange to become Affiliated Entities eligible to qualify for pricing starting July 1, 2016, provided the emails are sent at least 3 business days prior to the end of the prior month. The Exchange will acknowledge receipt of the emails and specify the start date the Affiliated Entity would be eligible to qualify for applicable pricing, as specified in the Pricing Schedule. Each Affiliated Entity relationship will commence on the 1st of a month and may not be terminated prior to the end of any month. Participants desiring to terminate the Affiliated Entity relationship are required to submit an email to the Exchange at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the month in order to

⁴ The Exchange shall issue an Options Trader Alert specifying the email address and details required to apply to become an Affiliated Entity. Once the Exchange receives both emails, from the Affiliated MM and the Affiliated OFP, the Exchange will send a confirming email with the date of approval of the one (1) year term.

terminate the relationship for the next month.. An Affiliated Entity relationship will terminate after a one (1) year period, unless either party terminates earlier in writing by notifying the Exchange. At the end of the one year time period from the effective date, a new Affiliated Entity relationship may be agreed upon. For example, if the start date of the Affiliated Entity relationship is July 1, 2016, the counterparties may determine to commence a new relationship as of July 1, 2017 by sending two new emails. Participants under Common Ownership⁵ may not qualify as a counterparty comprising an Affiliated Entity. Each Participant may qualify for one (1) Affiliated Entity relationship at any given time.

As proposed, an Affiliated Entity shall be eligible to aggregate their volume for purposes of qualifying for certain pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing. With this proposal, Affiliated Entities will be eligible to tier pricing in Section 2(1) in both Penny and Non-Penny Pilot Options.⁶

⁵ The term “Common Ownership” shall mean Participants under 75% common ownership or control.

⁶ See BX Rules at Section 2(1) of Chapter XV.

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) - Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options Pricing

Currently, the Exchange offers Customers⁷ the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in non-Select Symbols⁸ with a tiered pricing model when trading against Non-Customers,⁹ BX Options Market Makers or Firms.¹⁰ Also, the Exchange offers Customers the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols¹¹ with a tiered pricing model when trading against Customers, Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms. Finally, the Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers the ability to obtain lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols¹² with a tiered pricing model when trading against Customers. This pricing is reflected at Chapter XV, Section 2(1) and would be subject to aggregation by Affiliated Entities.

The Exchange offers Customers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms, the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add

⁷ The term “Customer” or (“C”) applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Customer range at The Options Clearing Corporation which is not for the account of broker or dealer or for the account of a “Professional” (as that term is defined in Chapter I, Section 1(a)(48)).

⁸ The Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in non-Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.20 per contract.

⁹ A Non-Customer includes a Professional, Broker-Dealer and Non-BX Options Market Maker.

¹⁰ The term “Firm” or (“F”) applies to any transaction that is identified by a Participant for clearing in the Firm range at The Options Clearing Corporation.

¹¹ The Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.35 per contract.

¹² Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols ranges from \$0.30 to \$0.39 per contract.

Liquidity in Select Symbols¹³ with a tiered pricing model.¹⁴ The Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Customers, the ability to obtain a lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁵ The Exchange offers Customers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers, Customers or Firms, the ability to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁶ The Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Customers, the ability to obtain a lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁷ Finally, the Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms, the ability to obtain lower Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols with a tiered pricing model.¹⁸ This

¹³ The Select Symbols are: ASHR, DIA, DXJ, EEM, EFA, EWJ, EWT, EWW, EWY, EWZ, FAS, FAZ, FXE, FXI, FXP, GDX, GLD, HYG, IWM, IYR, KRE, OIH, QID, QLD, QQQ, RSX, SDS, SKF, SLV, SPY, SRS, SSO, TBT, TLT, TNA, TZA, UNG, URE, USO, UUP, UVXY, UYG, VXX, XHB, XLB, XLE, XLF, XLI, XLK, XLP, XLU, XLV, XLY, XME, XOP, XRT. See BX Chapter XV, Section 2(1) pricing.

¹⁴ Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.25 per contract.

¹⁵ Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.29 to \$0.44 per contract.

¹⁶ Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.37 per contract.

¹⁷ Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.25 to \$0.42 per contract.

¹⁸ Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.14 per contract.

pricing is reflected at Chapter XV, Section 2(1) and would be subject to aggregation by Affiliated Entities.

Currently, the Exchange offers Customers, when trading with Non-Customers, BX Options Market Makers or Firms, the ability to obtain higher Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity with a three-tiered pricing model.¹⁹ Also, the Exchange offers BX Options Market Makers, when trading with Customers, the ability to obtain lower Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity with a tiered pricing model.²⁰ This pricing is reflected at Chapter XV, Section 2(1) and would be subject to aggregation by Affiliated Entities.

The Exchange's proposal would incentivize certain Participants who are not under Common Ownership to enter into an Affiliated Entity relationship for the purpose of aggregating Customer volume to qualify for reduced Penny Pilot Options and non-Penny Pilot Options Transaction Charges and higher Penny Pilot Options and non-Penny Pilot Options rebates. With respect to the pricing and the Affiliated Entity relationship, Appointed MMs would receive lower fees and Appointed OFPs would receive higher rebates, as applicable with aggregated pricing.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Pricing Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,²¹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section

¹⁹ Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity ranges from \$0.00 to \$0.20 per contract.

²⁰ Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity ranges from \$0.60 to \$0.89 per contract.

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

6(b)(4) and (b)(5) of the Act,²² in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system “has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies.”²³

Likewise, in NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange Commission²⁴ (“NetCoalition”) the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission’s use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a cost-based approach.²⁵ As the court emphasized, the Commission “intended in Regulation NMS that ‘market forces, rather than regulatory requirements’

²² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4), (5).

²³ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 29, 2005), 70 FR 37496 at 37499 (File No. S7-10-04) (“Regulation NMS Adopting Release”).

²⁴ NetCoalition v. SEC, 615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

²⁵ See id. at 534-535.

play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost.”²⁶

Further, “[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is ‘fierce.’ . . . As the SEC explained, ‘[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution’; [and] ‘no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted’ because ‘no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers’”²⁷ Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets.

The Exchange’s proposal to amend Chapter XV, Section 2 to add the definitions of “Appointed MM,” “Appointed OFP” and “Affiliated Entity” is reasonable because the Exchange identifies the applicable market participants that may qualify to aggregate volume as an Affiliated Entity. Further the Exchange seeks to make clear the manner in which Participants may participate on the Exchange as Affiliated Entities by setting timeframes for communicating agreements among market participants and terms of early termination. The Exchange also qualifies clearly that no Participant under Common Ownership may qualify as a counterparty to an Affiliated Entity. The Exchange believes that terms are reasonable in that it enables every Participant to elect to qualify as a counterparty to an Affiliated Entity.

²⁶ See id. at 537.

²⁷ See id. at 539 (quoting Securities Exchange Act Commission at Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770 at 74782-74783 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

The Exchange's proposal to amend Chapter XV, Section 2 to add the definitions of "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity" is equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory because these definitions apply to all Participants uniformly.

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) - Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options Pricing

The Exchange's proposal to permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume for purposes of the Appointed OFP receiving higher Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options rebates²⁸ and the Appointed MM being assessed lower fees²⁹ is reasonable because it will attract additional Customer and non-Customer order flow to the Exchange. Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts BX Options Market Makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Also, the Exchange is incentivizing Participants to send non-Customer order flow to BX, which order flow will benefit all Participants because they may interact with the liquidity. Market participants acting as BX Options Market Makers and directing order flow as OFPs may be eligible to qualify for higher rebates or lower fees, with this proposal, as a result of

²⁸ The Exchange would permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to obtain higher Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols and Non-Penny Pilot Options Rebates to Add Liquidity.

²⁹ The Exchange would permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to obtain lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols and Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity.

aggregating volume with another appointed member. Permitting Participants to affiliate for purposes of the Appointed OFP qualifying for higher rebates and the Appointed MM qualifying for lower fees may also encourage Affiliated Entities to incentivize each other to attract and seek to execute more volume on BX. The Affiliated Entity relationship would permit the Appointed MM and the Appointed OFP to benefit from orders executed on BX in terms of the Appointed OFP qualifying for higher rebates and the Appointed MM qualifying for lower fees. In turn, market participants would benefit from the increased liquidity with which to interact and potentially tighter spreads on orders. Overall, incentivizing market participants with increased opportunities to earn higher rebates may increase the quality of the liquidity available on BX.

The Exchange's proposal to permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume for purposes of the Appointed OFP receiving higher Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options rebates and the Appointed MM being assessed lower fees³⁰ is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all BX Participants, other than those under Common Ownership, may qualify as an Affiliated Entity as either an Appointed MM or an Appointed OFP.³¹ Also, each BX Participant may participate in only one Affiliated Entity relationship at a given time, which imposes a measure of exclusivity among

³⁰ The Exchange would permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to obtain lower Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in non-Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Add Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols, Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity in Select Symbols and Non-Penny Pilot Options Fees to Remove Liquidity.

³¹ Both Participants must elect each other to qualify as an Affiliated Entity for one year. Participation is effected by an agreement of both parties. One party may elect terminate the agreement at any time.

market participants, allowing each party to rely on the other's executed volume on BX to receive a corresponding benefit in terms of a higher rebate or lower fee. The Exchange will apply all qualifications in a uniform manner to all market participants that elect to become counterparties of an Affiliated Entity. Any market participant that has not elected to be priced as a Participant under Common Ownership may qualify as a counterparty of an Affiliated Entity. Also, BX Options Market Makers are valuable market participants that provide liquidity in the marketplace and incur costs that other market participants do not incur. BX Options Market Makers are subject to burdensome quoting obligations³² to the market that do not apply to other market participants. Incentivizing these market participants to execute Customer volume on BX may result in tighter spreads.

The Exchange's proposal to exclude Participants under Common Ownership from qualifying as an Affiliated Entity is reasonable because Participants under Common Ownership may aggregate volume today for purposes of Chapter XV, Section 2(1) pricing.³³ The Exchange's proposal to exclude Participants under Common Ownership from qualifying as an Affiliated Entity is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply all qualifications in a uniform manner when approving

³² Pursuant to BX Rules at Chapter VII, Section 5, entitled "Obligations of Market Makers", in registering as a market maker, an Options Participant commits himself to various obligations. Transactions of a BX Options Market Maker must constitute a course of dealings reasonably calculated to contribute to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market, and BX Options Market Makers should not make bids or offers or enter into transactions that are inconsistent with such course of dealings. Further, all BX Options Market Makers are designated as specialists on BX for all purposes under the Act or rules thereunder. See Chapter VII, Section 2.

³³ See BX Rules at Chapter XV for Common Ownership.

Affiliated Entities. Participants under Common Ownership may aggregate volume today for purposes of qualifying for Chapter XV, Section 2(1) pricing. Excluding Participants under Common Ownership from also qualifying as an Affiliated Entity is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because they are able to aggregate volume today as common owners.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Specifically, the Exchange does not believe that permitting Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume to qualify for certain rebates and reduced fees will impose any undue burden on competition, as discussed below.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which many sophisticated and knowledgeable market participants can readily and do send order flow to competing exchanges if they deem fee levels or rebate incentives at a particular exchange to be excessive or inadequate. Additionally, new competitors have entered the market and still others are reportedly entering the market shortly. These market forces ensure that the Exchange's fees and rebates remain competitive with the fee structures at other trading platforms.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange

must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited.

In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets. In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that other options markets have similar incentives in place to attract volume to their markets.³⁴

The Exchange's proposal to amend Chapter XV, Section 2 to add the definitions of "Appointed MM," "Appointed OFP" and "Affiliated Entity" is equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory because these definitions apply to all Participants uniformly.

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) - Penny Pilot and Non-Penny Pilot Options Pricing

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange does not believe that its proposal to permit Affiliated Entities to aggregate volume for purposes of Appointed OFPs qualifying higher rebates and Appointed MMs qualifying for lower fees within

³⁴ See NYSE MKT LLC's ("NYSE Amex") pricing at NYSE Amex Options Fee Schedule). NYSE Amex permits aggregation of volume to qualify for the Amex Customer Engagement or ACE Program. See Bats BZX Exchange, Inc.'s ("BZX") fee schedule. BZX permits aggregation of volume to qualify for tiered pricing. See the Chicago Board Options Exchange Incorporated ("CBOE") Fees Schedule. CBOE permits aggregation of volume to qualify for credits available under an Affiliated Volume Plan or "AVP."

Chapter XV, Section 2(1) imposes an undue burden on intra-market competition because all BX Participants, other than those under Common Ownership, may qualify as an Affiliated Entity as either an Appointed MM or an Appointed OFP. Also, each Participant may participate in only one Affiliated Entity relationship at a given time, which imposes a measure of exclusivity among market participants, allowing each party to rely on the other's executed BX Customer volume on BX to receive a corresponding benefit in terms of a rebate. Any market participant that is a member or member organization under Common Ownership may not qualify as a counterparty of an Affiliated Entity. Any market participant that is a Participant under Common Ownership may not qualify as a counterparty of an Affiliated Entity. Also, BX Options Market Makers are valuable market participants that provide liquidity in the marketplace and incur costs that other market participants do not incur. BX Options Market Makers are subject to burdensome quoting obligations³⁵ to the market that do not apply to other market participants. Incentivizing these market participants to execute Customer volume on BX may result in tighter spreads. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Appointed OFPs directing order flow to the Exchange may be eligible to qualify for a Customer Rebate or Appointed MMs may qualify for lower fees, with this proposal, as a result of aggregating volume. Permitting Participants to affiliate for purposes of qualifying for Chapter XV, Section 2(1) higher rebates or lower fees may also encourage the counterparties that

³⁵See note 32 above.

comprise the Affiliated Entities to incentivize each other to attract and seek to execute more Customer volume on BX.

The Exchange will apply all qualifications in a uniform manner to all market participants that elect to become counterparties of an Affiliated Entity. Also, excluding Participants under Common Ownership from qualifying as an Affiliated Entity does not impose an undue burden on intra-market competition because they are able to aggregate volume today as common owners.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.³⁶

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BX-2016-034 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2016-034. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing

also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2016-034 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁷

Robert W. Errett
Deputy Secretary

³⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

New text is underlined.

NASDAQ BX Rules

* * * * *

Options Rules

* * * * *

Chapter XV Options Pricing

BX Options Participants may be subject to the Charges for Membership, Services and Equipment in the Rule 7000 Series as well as the fees in this Chapter XV. For purposes of assessing fees and paying rebates, the following references should serve as guidance.

* * * * *

The term “**Common Ownership**” shall mean Participants under 75% common ownership or control. Common Ownership shall apply to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2 for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing.

(a) – (c) No change.

The term “**Appointed MM**” is a BX Market Maker who has been appointed by an Order Flow Provider (“OFP”) for purposes of qualifying as an Affiliated Entity.

The term “**Appointed OFP**” is an Order Flow Provider (“OFP”) who has been appointed by a BX Market Maker for purposes of qualifying as an Affiliated Entity.

The term “**Affiliated Entity**” shall mean an Exchange approved relationship between an Appointed MM and Appointed OFP for purposes of aggregating eligible volume to all pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1) for which a volume threshold or volume percentage is required to obtain the pricing to qualify for higher rebates or lower fees. Appointed MMs and Appointed OFPs are required to send an email to the Exchange to appoint their counterpart at least 3 days prior to the last day of the month to qualify for the next month. The Exchange will acknowledge receipt of the emails and specify the date the Affiliated Entity would be eligible for applicable pricing in Chapter XV, Section 2(1). Each Affiliated Entity relationship will commence on the 1st of a month and may not be terminated prior to the end of any month. An Affiliated Entity relationship will terminate after a one (1) year period, unless either party terminates earlier in writing by sending an email to the Exchange at least 3 business days prior to the last day of the prior month notifying the Exchange of their intent to terminate in the next month. At the end of a year, a new Affiliated Entity relationship may be agreed upon. Participants under Common Ownership may not qualify as a counterparty comprising an Affiliated Entity. Each Participant may qualify for one (1) Affiliated Entity relationship at any given time.

* * * * *