

rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change and the issues raised therein.

Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ designates August 7, 2025, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR-CboeBZX-2025-059).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-11302 Filed 6-18-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-103264; File No. SR-GEMX-2025-12]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq GEMX, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change To Amend the Fees for Nasdaq 100 Index Options in Options 7, Section 3

June 16, 2025.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on June 2, 2025, Nasdaq GEMX, LLC (“GEMX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend the fees for Nasdaq 100 Index options in the Exchange’s Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 3 to adopt a new surcharge for removing liquidity.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/gemx/rulefilings>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(31).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the fees for NDX³ in Options 7, Section 3.

Today, the Exchange assesses transaction fees of \$0.75 per contract for all Non-Priority Customer⁴ orders in NDX and \$0.25 per contract for all Priority Customers⁵ NDX orders. The Exchange now proposes to assess a surcharge of \$1.50 per contract to all regular Non-Priority Customer orders that remove liquidity.⁶ Priority Customer NDX fees will remain unchanged under this proposal. The proposed surcharge is aimed at encouraging Non-Priority Customers to add more liquidity and reduce “take” behavior that removes liquidity from the order book. The Exchange notes that the proposed surcharge amount is within the range of surcharges assessed for transactions in other proprietary products at another options exchange.⁷

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁸ in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5)

³ NDX represents A.M. settled options on the full value of the Nasdaq 100 Index traded under the symbol NDX.

⁴ “Non-Priority Customers” include Market Makers, Non-Nasdaq GEMX Market Makers (FarMMs), Firm Proprietary/Broker-Dealers, and Professional Customers.

⁵ A “Priority Customer” is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer in securities, and does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s), as defined in Nasdaq GEMX Options 1, Section 1(a)(36).

⁶ See proposed note 18 in Options 7, Section 3.

⁷ For example, Cboe Options (“Cboe”) currently assesses market participants LEAPS surcharge fees for SPX ranging from \$1.00 to \$2.50 per contract. See Cboe Fees Schedule.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

of the Act,⁹ in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to add a \$1.50 per contract surcharge to all regular Non-Priority Customer orders that remove liquidity is reasonable because the proposed pricing reflects the proprietary nature of this product. Similar to other proprietary products like options overlying the Nasdaq 100 Micro Index (“XND”), the Exchange seeks to recoup the operational costs of listing proprietary products.¹⁰ Also, pricing by symbol is a common practice on many U.S. options exchanges as a means to incentivize order flow to be sent to an exchange for execution in particular products. As noted above, another options exchange assesses surcharges for its proprietary index options products that are within the range (or higher) of what the Exchange is proposing herein.¹¹ Further, the Exchange notes that market participants are offered different ways to gain exposure to the Nasdaq 100 Index, whether through the Exchange’s proprietary products like options overlying NDX or XND, or separately through multi-listed options overlying Invesco QQQ Trust (“QQQ”).¹² Offering such products provides market participants with a variety of choices in selecting the product they desire to utilize in order to gain exposure to the Nasdaq 100 Index. When exchanges are able to recoup costs associated with offering proprietary products, it incentivizes growth and competition for the innovation of additional products.

The Exchange believes that its proposal is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it will be applied uniformly to all regular Non-Priority Customer NDX orders that remove liquidity. Assessing this surcharge only to Non-Priority Customers is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because Priority Customers have historically been assessed more favorable pricing on the Exchange, including on NDX orders

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

¹⁰ By way of example, in analyzing an obvious error, the Exchange would have additional data points available in establishing a theoretical price for a multiply listed option as compared to a proprietary product, which requires additional analysis and administrative time to comply with Exchange rules to resolve an obvious error.

¹¹ See *supra* note 7.

¹² QQQ is an exchange-traded fund based on the same Nasdaq 100 Index as NDX and XND.

where they will continue to be assessed the lowest transaction fee of \$0.25 per contract under this proposal. Priority Customer order flow benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts market makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants, to the benefit of all market participants who may interact with this order flow. Further, the proposed surcharge is aimed at encouraging Non-Priority Customers to add more liquidity and reduce “take” behavior that removes liquidity from the order book. To the extent the Exchange is successful in incentivizing this behavior, the additional liquidity on the Exchange will benefit all market participants through more trading opportunities, tighter spreads, and added price discovery.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange will apply the proposed surcharge uniformly to all Non-Priority Customers. As discussed above, the proposed change is aimed at encouraging Non-Priority Customers to add more liquidity and reduce “take” behavior that removes liquidity from the order book. To the extent the Exchange is successful in incentivizing this behavior, the additional liquidity on the Exchange will benefit all market participants through more trading opportunities, tighter spreads, and added price discovery.

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other options exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. As noted above, market participants are offered an opportunity

to transact in NDX or XND, or separately execute options overlying QQQ. Offering these products provides market participants with a variety of choices in selecting the product they desire to use to gain exposure to the Nasdaq 100 Index. Furthermore, the proposed surcharge is in line with surcharges assessed on other proprietary products at another options exchange.¹³

In addition to the Exchange, market participants have alternative options exchanges that they may participate on and direct their order flow, which list proprietary products that compete with NDX.¹⁴ In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing options exchanges to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.¹⁵ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

¹³ See *supra* note 7.

¹⁴ See e.g., pricing for Russell 2000 Index (“RUT”) on Cboe’s Fees Schedule and Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.’s (“C2”) Fees Schedule. See also SPX pricing on Cboe’s Fees Schedule. Both RUT and SPX are proprietary products on the Cboe markets that are broad-based index options, like NDX.

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission’s internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-GEMX-2025-12 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-GEMX-2025-12. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-GEMX-2025-12 and should be submitted on or before July 11, 2025.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2025-11294 Filed 6-18-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).