	<u> </u>						
Page 1 of * 27		SECURITIES AND EXCH WASHINGTON Form 1	I, D.C. 20549		File No. * SR 2024 - * 04  No. (req. for Amendments *)		
Filing by Nasda	aq ISE, LLC						
Pursuant to Rule	e 19b-4 under the Securities Excha	ange Act of 1934					
Initial *  ✓	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b	Section 19(b)(	(3)(A) * Section 19(b)(3)(B) *		
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *		Rule  19b-4(f)(1)  √ 19b-4(f)(2)  19b-4(f)(3)	19b-4(f)(4) 19b-4(f)(5) 19b-4(f)(6)		
Notice of pro	posed change pursuant to the Pay	ment, Clearing, and Settleme Section 806(e)(2) *	ent Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap Securities Exchange Section 3C(b)(2) *	o Submission pursuant to the Act of 1934		
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document  Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document  Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document							
	n ief description of the action (limit 2 ons 7, Section 4	50 characters, required when	Initial is checked *).				
Contact Information  Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.							
First Name *	Angela Last Name * Dunn						
Title *	Principal Associate General Counsel						
E-mail *	Angela.Dunn@Nasdaq.com						
Telephone *	(215) 496-5692	Fax					
	the requirements of the Securities used this filing to be signed on its b			ed.			
Date	01/12/2024		(7	Fitle *)			
Ву	John Zecca	EV	/P and Chief Legal (	Officer			
	(Name *)						
form. A digital si	the signature block at right will initiate digitally ignature is as legally binding as a physical sig s form cannot be changed.		John A. Jean	Date: 2024.01.12 12:04:01 -05'00'			

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *						
Add	Remove	View				
SR-ISE-2024-04 19b-4.docx						

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

# Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change \*

Add Remove View SR-ISE-2024-04 Exhibit 1.docx

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A - Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advanced Notice by Clearing Agencies \*

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

# Exhibit 2- Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

# Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

**Exhibit Sent As Paper Document** 

# Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

#### **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies**

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

#### **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text**

Add Remove View
SR-ISE-2024-04 Exhibit 5.docx

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change

#### **Partial Amendment**

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 3 of 27

# 1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Nasdaq ISE, LLC ("ISE" or "Exchange"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposal to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Options 7.<sup>3</sup>

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> is attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u>. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

## 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors (the "Board"). Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

Angela Saccomandi Dunn Principal Associate General Counsel Nasdaq, Inc. 215-496-5692

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange initially filed the proposed pricing change on January 2, 2024 (SR-ISE-2024-01). On January 12, 2024, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted this filing.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 4 of 27

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

## a. <u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 4, Complex Order Fees and Rebates, to amend note 9 related to the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders.<sup>4</sup>

Today, the Exchange assesses a \$0.10 per contract Complex Order Fee for PIM

Orders to all Non-Priority Customer<sup>5</sup> market participants (Market Makers,<sup>6</sup> Firm

Proprietary<sup>7</sup>/Broker Dealers,<sup>8</sup> and Professional Customers,<sup>9</sup>) in Select<sup>10</sup> and Non-Select<sup>11</sup>

Symbols. Today, Priority Customers<sup>12</sup> are not assessed Complex Order Fee for PIM

Orders in Select and Non-Select Symbols. Today, note 9 to Options 7, Section 4, reduces
the \$0.10 per contract fee to \$0.05 per contract for all Non-Priority Customer orders

The PIM is a process by which an Electronic Access Member can provide price improvement opportunities for a transaction wherein the Electronic Access Member seeks to facilitate an order it represents as agent, and/or a transaction wherein the Electronic Access Member solicited interest to execute against an order it represents as agent. See Options 3, Section 13.

<sup>5 &</sup>quot;Non-Priority Customers" include Market Makers, Non-Nasdaq ISE Market Makers (FarMMs), Firm Proprietary / Broker-Dealers, and Professional Customers. <u>See</u> Options 7, Section 1(c).

The term "Market Makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(21).

A "Firm Proprietary" order is an order submitted by a member for its own proprietary account. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

A "Broker-Dealer" order is an order submitted by a member for a broker-dealer account that is not its own proprietary account. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

A "Professional Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer and is not a Priority Customer. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Select Symbols" are options overlying all symbols listed on the Nasdaq ISE that are in the Penny Interval Program. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Non-Select Symbols" are options overlying all symbols excluding Select Symbols. <u>See</u> Options 7, Section 1(c).

A "Priority Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer in securities, and does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s), as defined in Nasdaq ISE Options 1, Section 1(a)(37). Unless otherwise noted, when used in this Pricing Schedule the term "Priority Customer" includes "Retail" as defined below. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 5 of 27

provided Members execute an average daily volume ("ADV") of 7,500 or more contracts in the PIM in a given month. Further, the \$0.10 per contract Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders is reduced to \$0.00 per contract for all Member orders provided the Members execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM. The Exchange applies the discounted fees retroactively to all eligible Complex PIM volume in that month once the threshold has been reached. Additionally, Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders (including Complex PIM Orders) apply to the originating and contra order. <sup>13</sup>

#### **Proposal**

At this time, the Exchange proposes to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to revise the second sentence to instead provide that "Other than for Priority Customer orders, Members that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM will be charged a \$0.02 per contract fee." The Exchange will continue to reduce the Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders from \$0.10 to \$0.05 per contract for all Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 7,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM in a given month. At this time, the Exchange would decrease the reduction for Complex Order Fees for Complex PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM. Today, Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders. Today, Members that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM pay no Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders, except for Priority Customers who pay no Complex Order Fees for any PIM Orders. With this change, Non-Priority Customers would pay a \$0.02 per contract fee for Complex Order Fees for Complex PIM

See note 11 of Options 7, Section 4.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 6 of 27

Orders, provided they execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM.

The Exchange proposes to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" in place of "fee" to make clear the applicable fee. Today, the Exchange assesses Regular Order<sup>14</sup> and Complex Order<sup>15</sup> PIM Fees. The addition of the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" clarifies that the fee in note 9 is a Complex Order fee. The Exchange proposes to add the words "Other than for Priority Customer orders," to the beginning of the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, because Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders today and would not have a fee to reduce. Additionally, the Exchange proposes to add the words "in a given month" to the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, to make clear the time period in which Members must execute the required ADV. Finally, the Exchange proposes to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the word "Complex" before "PIM" to make clear the note applies to Complex PIM Orders.

Despite the decrease in the discount, the Exchange will continue to offer Non-Priority Customers an opportunity to pay a lower Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders.

#### b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>16</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,<sup>17</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and

See Options 7, Section 3, Regular Order Fees and Rebates.

See Options 7, Section 4, Complex Order Fees and Rebates.

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 7 of 27

other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange's proposed changes to its Pricing Schedule are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for options securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In <a href="NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange">NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange</a>
<a href="Commission">Commission</a>, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' ... As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'...."

18

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its

NetCoalition v. SEC, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 8 of 27

broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies."<sup>19</sup>

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For example, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for options security transaction services. The Exchange is only one of seventeen options exchanges to which market participants may direct their order flow. Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to decrease the reduction in the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM from paying no fee to paying \$0.02 per contract is reasonable because, despite the decrease in the discount, the Exchange will continue to offer Non-Priority Customers an opportunity to pay a lower Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders from \$0.10 to \$0.02 per contract.

Additionally, the Exchange will continue to reduce the Complex Order Fees for Complex PIM Orders from \$0.10 to \$0.05 per contract for all Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 7,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM in a given month. Unlike other market participants, Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders. The proposed \$0.02 per contract Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM is competitive and remains lower than comparable fees at other options

Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 9 of 27

exchanges. BOX Exchange LLC ("BOX") assesses a \$0.05 per contract fee to its

Professional Customer or Broker-Dealer and Market Maker for Complex Order Price

Improvement Period ("COPIP") Orders. Additionally, Miami International Securities

Exchange, Inc. ("MIAX") assesses a \$0.30 per contract fee to Public Customers that are

not a Priority Customer, MIAX Market Maker, Non-MIAX Market Maker, Non-Member

Broker-Dealer and Firm in its Complex Price Improvement Mechanism ("cPRIME"). 21

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to decrease the reduction in the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM from paying no fee to paying \$0.02 per contract is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all Non-Priority Customers are eligible for the discount and would uniformly be assessed the lower fee provided they executed the requisite volume in a given month in the Complex PIM. Priority Customers are not eligible for the discount because they pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders. Priority Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities which attracts market makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants (particularly in response to pricing) in turn facilitates tighter spreads which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Attracting more liquidity from Priority Customers will benefit all market participants that trade on the ISE.

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" in place of "fee" to make clear the applicable fee

See BOX's Fee Schedule.

See MIAX's Fee Schedule.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 10 of 27

is reasonable because the addition of these words makes clear that the fees in note 9 are Complex Order fees as compared to Regular Order fees. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "Other than for Priority Customer orders," to the beginning of the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, is reasonable because Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders today and would not have a fee to reduce. The addition of the language makes clear that the fees apply to Non-Priority Customers. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "in a given month" to the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, is reasonable because it makes clear the time period in which Members must execute the required ADV. Finally, the Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the word "Complex" before "PIM" is reasonable because it makes clear the note applies to Complex PIM Orders and not Regular PIM Orders. The technical amendments to the rule text of note 9 are intended to clarify the current rule text and do not substantively amend the rule text. The Exchange also believes the aforementioned technical amendments to the rule text of note 9 are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as the rule text does not impact any Member.

## 4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 11 of 27

Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange does not believe that this proposal will place any category of market participant at a competitive disadvantage. The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to decrease the reduction in the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM from paying no fee to paying \$0.02 per contract does not impose an undue burden on competition because all Non-Priority Customers are eligible for the discount and would uniformly be assessed the lower fee provided they executed the requisite volume in a given month in the Complex PIM. Unlike other market participants, Priority Customers are not eligible for the discount because they pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders. Priority Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities which attracts market makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants (particularly in response to pricing) in turn facilitates tighter spreads which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Attracting more liquidity from Priority Customers will benefit all market

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 12 of 27

participants that trade on the ISE.

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" in place of "fee" to make clear the applicable fee does not impose an undue burden on competition because the addition of these words makes clear that the fees in note 9 are Complex Order fees as compared to Regular Order fees. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "Other than for Priority Customer orders," to the beginning of the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, does not impose an undue burden on competition because Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders today and would not have a fee to reduce. Further, the addition of the language makes clear that the fees apply to Non-Priority Customers. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "in a given month" to the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, does not impose an undue burden on competition because it makes clear the time period in which Members must execute the required ADV. Finally, the Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the word "Complex" before "PIM" does not impose an undue burden on competition because it makes clear the note applies to Complex PIM Orders and not Regular PIM Orders. These technical amendments to the rule text of note 9 are intended to clarify the current rule text. The technical amendments do not substantively amend the rule text and do not impact any Member.

- Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others
   No written comments were either solicited or received.
- Extension of Time Period for Commission Action
   Not applicable.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 13 of 27

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>22</sup> the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

- 8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission</u>
  - Not applicable.
- Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act
   Not applicable.
- 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

#### 11. Exhibits

- 1. Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>.
- 5. Text of the proposed rule change.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 14 of 27

**EXHIBIT 1** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. ; File No. SR-ISE-2024-04)

January, 2024

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Nasdaq ISE, LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend Options 7, Section 4

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup>, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on January 12, 2024, Nasdaq ISE, LLC ("ISE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange proposes to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Options 7.3

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at <a href="https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/ise/rules">https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/rulebook/ise/rules</a>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange initially filed the proposed pricing change on January 2, 2024 (SR-ISE-2024-01). On January 12, 2024, the Exchange withdrew that filing and submitted this filing.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 15 of 27

received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

# A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

## 1. <u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to amend the Exchange's Pricing Schedule at Options 7, Section 4, Complex Order Fees and Rebates, to amend note 9 related to the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders.<sup>4</sup>

Today, the Exchange assesses a \$0.10 per contract Complex Order Fee for PIM

Orders to all Non-Priority Customer<sup>5</sup> market participants (Market Makers, <sup>6</sup> Firm

Proprietary <sup>7</sup>/Broker Dealers, <sup>8</sup> and Professional Customers, <sup>9</sup>) in Select <sup>10</sup> and Non-Select <sup>11</sup>

Symbols. Today, Priority Customers <sup>12</sup> are not assessed Complex Order Fee for PIM

The PIM is a process by which an Electronic Access Member can provide price improvement opportunities for a transaction wherein the Electronic Access Member seeks to facilitate an order it represents as agent, and/or a transaction wherein the Electronic Access Member solicited interest to execute against an order it represents as agent. See Options 3, Section 13.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Non-Priority Customers" include Market Makers, Non-Nasdaq ISE Market Makers (FarMMs), Firm Proprietary / Broker-Dealers, and Professional Customers. <u>See</u> Options 7, Section 1(c).

The term "Market Makers" refers to "Competitive Market Makers" and "Primary Market Makers" collectively. See Options 1, Section 1(a)(21).

A "Firm Proprietary" order is an order submitted by a member for its own proprietary account. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

A "Broker-Dealer" order is an order submitted by a member for a broker-dealer account that is not its own proprietary account. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

A "Professional Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer and is not a Priority Customer. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Select Symbols" are options overlying all symbols listed on the Nasdaq ISE that are in the Penny Interval Program. <u>See</u> Options 7, Section 1(c).

<sup>&</sup>quot;Non-Select Symbols" are options overlying all symbols excluding Select Symbols. <u>See</u> Options 7, Section 1(c).

A "Priority Customer" is a person or entity that is not a broker/dealer in securities, and does not place more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s), as defined in Nasdaq ISE Options 1, Section 1(a)(37). Unless

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 16 of 27

Orders in Select and Non-Select Symbols. Today, note 9 to Options 7, Section 4, reduces the \$0.10 per contract fee to \$0.05 per contract for all Non-Priority Customer orders provided Members execute an average daily volume ("ADV") of 7,500 or more contracts in the PIM in a given month. Further, the \$0.10 per contract Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders is reduced to \$0.00 per contract for all Member orders provided the Members execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM. The Exchange applies the discounted fees retroactively to all eligible Complex PIM volume in that month once the threshold has been reached. Additionally, Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders (including Complex PIM Orders) apply to the originating and contra order. <sup>13</sup>

#### **Proposal**

At this time, the Exchange proposes to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to revise the second sentence to instead provide that "Other than for Priority Customer orders, Members that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM will be charged a \$0.02 per contract fee." The Exchange will continue to reduce the Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders from \$0.10 to \$0.05 per contract for all Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 7,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM in a given month. At this time, the Exchange would decrease the reduction for Complex Order Fees for Complex PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM. Today, Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders. Today, Members that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the

otherwise noted, when used in this Pricing Schedule the term "Priority Customer" includes "Retail" as defined below. See Options 7, Section 1(c).

See note 11 of Options 7, Section 4.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 17 of 27

Complex PIM pay no Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders, except for Priority Customers who pay no Complex Order Fees for any PIM Orders. With this change, Non-Priority Customers would pay a \$0.02 per contract fee for Complex Order Fees for Complex PIM Orders, provided they execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM.

The Exchange proposes to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" in place of "fee" to make clear the applicable fee. Today, the Exchange assesses Regular Order<sup>14</sup> and Complex Order<sup>15</sup> PIM Fees. The addition of the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" clarifies that the fee in note 9 is a Complex Order fee. The Exchange proposes to add the words "Other than for Priority Customer orders," to the beginning of the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, because Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders today and would not have a fee to reduce. Additionally, the Exchange proposes to add the words "in a given month" to the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, to make clear the time period in which Members must execute the required ADV. Finally, the Exchange proposes to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the word "Complex" before "PIM" to make clear the note applies to Complex PIM Orders.

Despite the decrease in the discount, the Exchange will continue to offer Non-Priority Customers an opportunity to pay a lower Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders.

See Options 7, Section 3, Regular Order Fees and Rebates.

See Options 7, Section 4, Complex Order Fees and Rebates.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 18 of 27

## 2. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, <sup>16</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act, <sup>17</sup> in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The Exchange's proposed changes to its Pricing Schedule are reasonable in several respects. As a threshold matter, the Exchange is subject to significant competitive forces in the market for options securities transaction services that constrain its pricing determinations in that market. The fact that this market is competitive has long been recognized by the courts. In <a href="NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange">NetCoalition v. Securities and Exchange</a>
<a href="Commission">Commission</a>, the D.C. Circuit stated as follows: "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.' ... As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'...."

18

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

NetCoalition v. SEC, 615 F.3d 525, 539 (D.C. Cir. 2010) (quoting Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59039 (December 2, 2008), 73 FR 74770, 74782-83 (December 9, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2006-21)).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 19 of 27

the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, while adopting a series of steps to improve the current market model, the Commission highlighted the importance of market forces in determining prices and SRO revenues and, also, recognized that current regulation of the market system "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies." <sup>19</sup>

Numerous indicia demonstrate the competitive nature of this market. For example, clear substitutes to the Exchange exist in the market for options security transaction services. The Exchange is only one of seventeen options exchanges to which market participants may direct their order flow. Within this environment, market participants can freely and often do shift their order flow among the Exchange and competing venues in response to changes in their respective pricing schedules. As such, the proposal represents a reasonable attempt by the Exchange to increase its liquidity and market share relative to its competitors.

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to decrease the reduction in the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM from paying no fee to paying \$0.02 per contract is reasonable because, despite the decrease in the discount, the Exchange will continue to offer Non-Priority Customers an opportunity to pay a lower Complex Order Fees for PIM Orders from \$0.10 to \$0.02 per contract. Additionally, the Exchange will continue to reduce the Complex Order Fees for Complex PIM Orders from \$0.10 to \$0.05 per contract for all Non-Priority Customers that execute

Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 (June 9, 2005), 70 FR 37496, 37499 (June 29, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 20 of 27

an ADV of 7,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM in a given month. Unlike other market participants, Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders. The proposed \$0.02 per contract Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM is competitive and remains lower than comparable fees at other options exchanges. BOX Exchange LLC ("BOX") assesses a \$0.05 per contract fee to its Professional Customer or Broker-Dealer and Market Maker for Complex Order Price Improvement Period ("COPIP") Orders.<sup>20</sup> Additionally, Miami International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("MIAX") assesses a \$0.30 per contract fee to Public Customers that are not a Priority Customer, MIAX Market Maker, Non-MIAX Market Maker, Non-Member Broker-Dealer and Firm in its Complex Price Improvement Mechanism ("cPRIME").<sup>21</sup>

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to decrease the reduction in the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM from paying no fee to paying \$0.02 per contract is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all Non-Priority Customers are eligible for the discount and would uniformly be assessed the lower fee provided they executed the requisite volume in a given month in the Complex PIM. Priority Customers are not eligible for the discount because they pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders. Priority Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities which attracts market makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants (particularly in response to pricing) in

See BOX's Fee Schedule.

See MIAX's Fee Schedule.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 21 of 27

turn facilitates tighter spreads which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Attracting more liquidity from Priority Customers will benefit all market participants that trade on the ISE.

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" in place of "fee" to make clear the applicable fee is reasonable because the addition of these words makes clear that the fees in note 9 are Complex Order fees as compared to Regular Order fees. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "Other than for Priority Customer orders," to the beginning of the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, is reasonable because Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders today and would not have a fee to reduce. The addition of the language makes clear that the fees apply to Non-Priority Customers. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "in a given month" to the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, is reasonable because it makes clear the time period in which Members must execute the required ADV. Finally, the Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the word "Complex" before "PIM" is reasonable because it makes clear the note applies to Complex PIM Orders and not Regular PIM Orders. The technical amendments to the rule text of note 9 are intended to clarify the current rule text and do not substantively amend the rule text. The Exchange also believes the aforementioned technical amendments to the rule text of note 9 are equitable and not unfairly discriminatory as the rule text does not impact any Member.

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 22 of 27

## B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

In terms of inter-market competition, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive, or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees to remain competitive with other exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. In sum, if the changes proposed herein are unattractive to market participants, it is likely that the Exchange will lose market share as a result. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of members or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

In terms of intra-market competition, the Exchange does not believe that this proposal will place any category of market participant at a competitive disadvantage. The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to decrease the reduction in the Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders for Non-Priority Customers that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM from paying no fee to paying \$0.02 per contract does not impose an undue burden on

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 23 of 27

competition because all Non-Priority Customers are eligible for the discount and would uniformly be assessed the lower fee provided they executed the requisite volume in a given month in the Complex PIM. Unlike other market participants, Priority Customers are not eligible for the discount because they pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders. Priority Customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities which attracts market makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants (particularly in response to pricing) in turn facilitates tighter spreads which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. Attracting more liquidity from Priority Customers will benefit all market participants that trade on the ISE.

The Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the words "Complex Fee for PIM Orders" in place of "fee" to make clear the applicable fee does not impose an undue burden on competition because the addition of these words makes clear that the fees in note 9 are Complex Order fees as compared to Regular Order fees. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "Other than for Priority Customer orders," to the beginning of the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, does not impose an undue burden on competition because Priority Customers pay no Complex Order Fee for PIM Orders today and would not have a fee to reduce. Further, the addition of the language makes clear that the fees apply to Non-Priority Customers. The Exchange's proposal to add the words "in a given month" to the second sentence, similar to the first sentence, does not impose an undue burden on competition because it makes clear the time period in which Members must execute the required ADV. Finally, the Exchange's proposal to amend note 9 of Options 7, Section 4 to add the word "Complex"

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 24 of 27

before "PIM" does not impose an undue burden on competition because it makes clear the note applies to Complex PIM Orders and not Regular PIM Orders. These technical amendments to the rule text of note 9 are intended to clarify the current rule text. The technical amendments do not substantively amend the rule text and do not impact any Member.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.<sup>22</sup> At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 25 of 27

#### **Electronic Comments:**

Use the Commission's internet comment form
 (<a href="https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml">https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml</a>); or

• Send an email to <a href="mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov">rule-comments@sec.gov</a>. Please include file number SR-ISE-2024-04 on the subject line.

## Paper Comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange
 Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-ISE-2024-04. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 26 of 27

subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-ISE-2024-04 and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.  $^{23}$ 

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SR-ISE-2024-04 Page 27 of 27

#### **EXHIBIT 5**

Deleted text is [bracketed]. New text is <u>underlined</u>.

Nasdaq ISE, LLC Rules

\* \* \* \* \*

**Options Rules** 

\* \* \* \* \*

**Options 7 Pricing Schedule** 

\* \* \* \* \*

Section 4. Complex Order Fees and Rebates (5) (12) (15)

\* \* \* \* \*

9. Other than for Priority Customer orders, the [is] Complex [f] Fee for PIM Orders is \$0.05 per contract for orders executed by Members that execute an ADV of 7,500 or more contracts in the Complex PIM in a given month. Other than for Priority Customer orders, Members that execute an ADV of 12,500 or more contracts in a given month in the Complex PIM will [not] be charged a \$0.02 per contract fee. The discounted fees are applied retroactively to all eligible Complex PIM volume in that month once the threshold has been reached.

\* \* \* \* \*