OMB APPROVAL
OMB Number: 3235-0045 Estimated average burden hours per response............38

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 25

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 19b-4

File No.* SR - 2013 - * 064
Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)

Filing by NASDAQ Stock Market

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
☑	☐	☐	☐	☑	☐

Rule

☐ 19b-4(f)(1) ☐ 19b-4(f)(4)
☑ 19b-4(f)(2) ☐ 19b-4(f)(5)
☐ 19b-4(f)(3) ☐ 19b-4(f)(6)

Pilot ☐

Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * ☐

Date Expires *

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010

Section 806(e)(1) ☐ Section 806(e)(2) ☐

Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 3C(b)(2) ☐

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document ☐ Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document ☐

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

Relating to Routing Fees

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Angela Last Name * Dunn
Title * Associate General Counsel
E-mail * angela.dunn@nasdaqomx.com
Telephone * (215) 496-5692 Fax

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date 04/09/2013
By Edward S. Knight
(Name *)

(Title *)
Executive Vice President and General Counsel

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Edward S Knight,

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information * Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.
Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)
Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies Add Remove View	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)
Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document ☐	Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.
Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document ☐	Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.
Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies Add Remove View	The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.
Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text Add Remove View	The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.
Partial Amendment Add Remove View	If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")[1] and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,[2] The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("NASDAQ" or "Exchange") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to amend Chapter XV, entitled "Options Pricing," at Section 2 governing pricing for NASDAQ members using the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM"), NASDAQ's facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options. Specifically, NOM proposes to amend its Routing Fees.

While these amendments are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the proposed amendments to be operative on May 1, 2013.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the Federal Register is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and a copy of the applicable portion of the rule text is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of NASDAQ pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of NASDAQ on July 10, 2012. NASDAQ staff will advise the Board of Directors of NASDAQ of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by NASDAQ is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

---

1 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

2 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Questions regarding this rule filing may be directed to Angela Saccomandi Dunn, Associate General Counsel, at (215) 496-5692.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

NASDAQ proposes to amend its Routing Fees at Chapter XV, Section 2(3) of the Exchange Rules in order to recoup costs that the Exchange incurs for routing and executing orders in equity options to various away markets.

Today, the Exchange assesses Non-Customers a flat rate of $0.95 per contract on all Non-Customer orders routed to any away market and the Exchange assesses Customer orders a fixed fee plus the actual transaction fee dependent on the away market. Specifically, the Exchange assesses Customer orders routed to NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC (“PHLX”) a fixed fee of $0.05 per contract in addition to the actual transaction fee assessed by the away market. With respect to Customer orders that are routed to NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. (“BX Options”), the Exchange does not assess a Routing Fee and does not pass rebates paid by the away market.[3] The Exchange does not assess a Routing Fee when routing orders to BX Options because that exchange pays a rebate. Instead of netting the customer rebate paid by BX Options against the fixed fee,[4] the Exchange simply does not assess a fee. The Exchange assesses Customer orders routed to all other away markets, except PHLX and BX Options, a fixed fee of $0.11 per

[3] BX Options pays a Customer Rebate to Remove Liquidity as follows: Customers are paid $0.12 per contract in IWM, SPY and QQQ, $0.32 per contract in All Other Penny Pilot Options and $0.70 per contract in Non-Penny Pilot Options. See BX Options Rules at Chapter XV, Section 2(1).

[4] BX Options does not assess a Customer a Fee to Remove Liquidity in any symbols today. See Chapter V, Section 2(1) of the BX Options Rules.

contract in addition to the actual transaction fee assessed by the away market, unless the away market pays a rebate, then the Routing Fee is $0.00.

The fixed fees are based on costs that are incurred by the Exchange when routing to an away market in addition to the away market's transaction fee. For example, the Exchange incurs a fee when it utilizes Nasdaq Options Services LLC ("NOS"), a member of the Exchange and the Exchange's exclusive order router,[5] to route orders in options listed and open for trading to destination markets. Each time NOS routes to away markets NOS incurs a clearing-related cost[6] and, in the case of certain exchanges, a transaction fee is also charged in certain symbols, which fees are passed through to the Exchange. The Exchange also incurs administrative and technical costs associated with operating NOS, membership fees at away markets, Options Regulatory Fees ("ORFs") and technical costs associated with routing options. For Customer orders, the transaction fee assessed by the Exchange is based on the away market's actual transaction fee or rebate for a particular market participant at the time that the order was entered into the Exchange's trading system. This transaction fee is calculated on an order-by-order basis for Customer orders, since different away markets charge different amounts. In the event that there is no transaction fee or rebate assessed by the away market, the only fee assessed is the fixed Routing Fee.

The Exchange is proposing to amend the Routing Fees to all other options exchanges, except PHLX and BX Options, to increase the fixed fee from $0.11 to $0.15

5 See NASDAQ Rules at Chapter VI, Section 11(e) (Order Routing).

6 The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") assesses a clearing fee of $0.01 per contract side. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68025 (October 10, 2012), 77 FR 63398 (October 16, 2012) (SR-OCC-2012-18).

per contract.[7] The Exchange currently does not recoup all of its costs to route to away markets other than PHLX and BX Options. As mentioned herein, the Exchange incurs costs when routing to away markets including away market transaction fees, ORFs, clearing fees, Section 31 related fees, connectivity and membership fees. The Exchange is not recouping its costs currently with the $0.11 per contract fixed fee and proposes to increase the fixed fee to $0.15 per contract.

b. Statutory Basis

NASDAQ believes that its proposal to amend its pricing is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act[8] in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,[9] in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among its Participants.

The Exchange believes that amending the Customer Routing Fee to other away markets, other than NOM and BX Options, from a fixed fee of $0.11 to $0.15 per contract, in addition to the actual transaction fee, is reasonable because the proposed fixed fee for Customer orders is an approximation of the costs the Exchange will be charged for routing orders to away markets. For example, today, NYSE MKT LLC ("Amex") does not assess a Customer transaction fee.[10] Today, the Exchange would therefore assess a Customer order that was routed to Amex an $0.11 per contract Routing Fee. The Exchange's effective per contract expenses to route to Amex which includes

---

7 The Exchange is not proposing to amend Non-Customer Routing Fees or Routing Fees for Customer orders routed to PHLX or BX Options.

8 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

9 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

10 See Amex's Fee Schedule.

the ORF, OCC clearing charges, Section 31 related fees, connectivity and membership fees, are not covered by the $0.11 per contract and are slightly higher than the $0.15 per contract. As a general matter, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees will allow it to recoup and cover its costs of providing optional routing services for Customer orders because it better approximates the costs incurred by the Exchange for routing such orders. While, each destination market's transaction charge varies and there is a cost incurred by the Exchange when routing orders to away markets, including OCC clearing costs, administrative and technical costs associated with operating NOS, membership fees at away markets, ORFs and technical costs associated with routing options, the Exchange believes that the proposed Routing Fees will enable it to recover the costs it incurs to route Customer orders to away markets. Today, the Exchange is paying a higher average cost per contract fee to route Customer orders to away markets, other than PHLX and BX Options.

The Exchange believes that the proposed pricing for Customer Routing Fees to all other away markets, except PHLX and BX Options, is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would assess the same fixed fee when routing orders to an away market in addition to the away market transaction fee. The proposal would apply uniformly to all market participants when routing to an away market that pays a rebate. Market participants may submit orders to the Exchange as ineligible for routing or "DNR" to avoid Routing Fees.[11] It is important to note that when orders are routed to an away market they are routed based on price first.[12]

---

11 See NASDAQ Rules at Chapter VI, Section 11(e) (Order Routing).

12 Id.

Further, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable to continue to not assess a Customer Routing Fee when routing to all other options exchanges, except PHLX and BX Options, if the away market pays a rebate. The Exchange will continue to assess a fixed fee, which fee is being increased with this proposal, plus the actual transaction charge assessed by the away market when routing to all other options exchanges, except PHLX and BX Options, unless the away market pays a rebate. The Exchange would continue to not assess a Routing Fee if the away market pays a rebate because the Exchange believes it is reasonable to retain the rebate to offset the Routing Fee. The Exchange believes that market participants will have more certainty as to the Customer Routing Fee that will be assessed by the Exchange by simply not assessing a Routing Fee for Customer orders routed to away markets, other than PHLX, that pay a rebate.[13] The Exchange believes that not assessing a fee for routing orders to BX Options, instead of netting the customer rebate paid by BX Options against the Fixed Fee[14] is reasonable because although market participants routing orders to BX Options will not receive a credit, the Routing Fee is transparent. Market participants will not pay a Customer Routing Fee when routing orders to BX Options with this proposal instead of the $0.05 per contract fee netted against the rebate, as is the case today. The Exchange believes that the proposed Customer Routing Fee to BX Options is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the proposal would apply uniformly to all market participants.

---

13 BX Options pays a Customer Rebate to Remove Liquidity as follows: Customers are paid $0.12 per contract in IWM, SPY and QQQ, $0.32 per contract in All Other Penny Pilot Options and $0.70 per contract in Non-Penny Pilot Options. <u>See</u> BX Options Rules at Chapter XV, Section 2(1).

14 BX Options does not assess a Customer a Fee to Remove Liquidity in any symbols today. <u>See</u> Chapter V, Section 2(1) of the BX Options Rules.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to continue to assess Customer orders that are routed to PHLX a fixed fee of $0.05 per contract and orders that are routed to other away markets, other than PHLX and BX Options, a fixed fee of $0.15 per contract because the cost, in terms of actual cash outlays, to the Exchange to route to PHLX (and BX Options)[15] is lower. For example, costs related to routing to PHLX are materially lower as compared to other away markets because NOS is utilized by all three exchanges to route orders.[16] NOS and the three NASDAQ OMX options markets have a common data center and staff that are responsible for the day-to-day operations of NOS. Because the three exchanges are in a common data center, Routing Fees are reduced because costly expenses related to, for example, telecommunication lines to obtain connectivity are avoided when routing orders in this instance. The costs related to connectivity to route orders to other NASDAQ OMX exchanges are de minimis. When routing orders to non-NASDAQ OMX exchanges, the Exchange incurs costly connectivity charges related to telecommunication lines and other related costs. The Exchange believes it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to pass along savings realized by leveraging NASDAQ OMX's infrastructure and scale to market participants when those orders are routed to NOM.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess different fees for Customers orders as compared to non-Customer orders because the Exchange has traditionally assessed lower fees to

---

15 The Exchange does not assess the $0.05 per contract Fixed Fee for routing orders to BX Options because that exchange pays Customer rebates, which the Exchange would retain to offset its cost.

16 See Chapter VI, Section 11 of the NASDAQ and BX Options Rules and PHLX Rule 1080(m)(iii)(A).

Customers as compared to non-Customers. Customers will continue to receive the lowest fees or no fees when routing orders, as is the case today. Other options exchanges also assess lower Routing Fees for customer orders as compared to non-customer orders.[17]

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposal creates a burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange is applying the same Routing Fees and credits to all market participants in the same manner dependent on the routing venue, with the exception of Customers. The Exchange will continue to assess separate Customer Routing Fees. Customers will continue to receive the lowest fees or no fees when routing orders, as is the case today. Other options exchanges also assess lower Routing Fees for customer orders as compared to non-customer orders.[18]

The Exchange's proposal would allow the Exchange to continue to recoup its costs when routing orders to away markets when such orders are designated as available for routing by the market participant. The Exchange continues to pass along savings realized by leveraging NASDAQ OMX's infrastructure and scale to market participants when those orders are routed to NOM and is providing those savings to all market participants. Members and member organizations may choose to mark the order as

---

17 BATS assesses lower customer routing fees as compared to non-customer routing fees per the away market. For example BATS assesses ISE customer routing fees of $0.30 per contract and an ISE non-customer routing fee of $0.57 per contract. See BATS BZX Exchange Fee Schedule.

18 Id.

ineligible for routing to avoid incurring these fees.[19] Today, other options exchanges also assess fixed routing fees to recoup costs incurred by the Exchange to route orders to away markets.[20]

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market, comprised of eleven exchanges, in which market participants can easily and readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. Accordingly, the fees that are assessed by the Exchange must remain competitive with fees charged by other venues and therefore must continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to those members organizations that opt to direct orders to the Exchange rather than competing venues.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

The foregoing proposed rule change establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the self-regulatory organization on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.[21]

---

[19] See supra note 11.

[20] See CBOE’s Fees Schedule and ISE’s Fee Schedule.

[21] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

1. Notice of proposed rule for publication in the Federal Register.

5. Applicable portion of the Exchange’s rule text.

Exhibit 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. ; File No. SR-NASDAQ-2013-064)

April ____ 2013

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Routing Fees

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),[1] and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, [2] notice is hereby given that on April 9, 2013, The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("NASDAQ" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by NASDAQ. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

NASDAQ proposes to amend Chapter XV, entitled "Options Pricing," at Section 2 governing pricing for NASDAQ members using the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM"), NASDAQ's facility for executing and routing standardized equity and index options. Specifically, NOM proposes to amend its Routing Fees.

While these amendments are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated the proposed amendments to be operative on May 1, 2013.

---

1 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

2 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at http://www.nasdaq.cchwallstreet.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

NASDAQ proposes to amend its Routing Fees at Chapter XV, Section 2(3) of the Exchange Rules in order to recoup costs that the Exchange incurs for routing and executing orders in equity options to various away markets.

Today, the Exchange assesses Non-Customers a flat rate of $0.95 per contract on all Non-Customer orders routed to any away market and the Exchange assesses Customer orders a fixed fee plus the actual transaction fee dependent on the away market. Specifically, the Exchange assesses Customer orders routed to NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("PHLX") a fixed fee of $0.05 per contract in addition to the actual transaction fee assessed by the away market. With respect to Customer orders that are routed to NASDAQ OMX BX, Inc. ("BX Options"), the Exchange does not assess a Routing Fee and does not pass rebates paid by the away market.[3] The Exchange does not assess a Routing Fee when routing orders to BX Options because that exchange pays a rebate. Instead of netting the customer rebate paid by BX Options against the fixed fee,[4] the Exchange simply does not assess a fee. The Exchange assesses Customer orders routed to all other away markets, except PHLX and BX Options, a fixed fee of $0.11 per contract in addition to the actual transaction fee assessed by the away market, unless the away market pays a rebate, then the Routing Fee is $0.00.

The fixed fees are based on costs that are incurred by the Exchange when routing to an away market in addition to the away market's transaction fee. For example, the Exchange incurs a fee when it utilizes Nasdaq Options Services LLC ("NOS"), a member of the Exchange and the Exchange's exclusive order router,[5] to route orders in options listed and open for trading to destination markets. Each time NOS routes to away markets NOS incurs a clearing-related cost[6] and, in the case of certain exchanges, a transaction fee is also charged in certain symbols, which fees are passed through to the Exchange. The Exchange also incurs administrative and technical costs associated with operating NOS, membership fees at away markets, Options Regulatory Fees ("ORFs") and technical costs associated with routing options. For Customer orders, the transaction

---

3 BX Options pays a Customer Rebate to Remove Liquidity as follows: Customers are paid $0.12 per contract in IWM, SPY and QQQ, $0.32 per contract in All Other Penny Pilot Options and $0.70 per contract in Non-Penny Pilot Options. See BX Options Rules at Chapter XV, Section 2(1).

4 BX Options does not assess a Customer a Fee to Remove Liquidity in any symbols today. See Chapter V, Section 2(1) of the BX Options Rules.

5 See NASDAQ Rules at Chapter VI, Section 11(e) (Order Routing).

6 The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") assesses a clearing fee of $0.01 per contract side. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 68025 (October 10, 2012), 77 FR 63398 (October 16, 2012) (SR-OCC-2012-18).

fee assessed by the Exchange is based on the away market's actual transaction fee or rebate for a particular market participant at the time that the order was entered into the Exchange's trading system. This transaction fee is calculated on an order-by-order basis for Customer orders, since different away markets charge different amounts. In the event that there is no transaction fee or rebate assessed by the away market, the only fee assessed is the fixed Routing Fee.

The Exchange is proposing to amend the Routing Fees to all other options exchanges, except PHLX and BX Options, to increase the fixed fee from $0.11 to $0.15 per contract.[7] The Exchange currently does not recoup all of its costs to route to away markets other than PHLX and BX Options. As mentioned herein, the Exchange incurs costs when routing to away markets including away market transaction fees, ORFs, clearing fees, Section 31 related fees, connectivity and membership fees. The Exchange is not recouping its costs currently with the $0.11 per contract fixed fee and proposes to increase the fixed fee to $0.15 per contract.

2. Statutory Basis

NASDAQ believes that its proposal to amend its pricing is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act[8] in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,[9] in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among its Participants.

---

7 The Exchange is not proposing to amend Non-Customer Routing Fees or Routing Fees for Customer orders routed to PHLX or BX Options.

8 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

9 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

The Exchange believes that amending the Customer Routing Fee to other away markets, other than NOM and BX Options, from a fixed fee of $0.11 to $0.15 per contract, in addition to the actual transaction fee, is reasonable because the proposed fixed fee for Customer orders is an approximation of the costs the Exchange will be charged for routing orders to away markets. For example, today, NYSE MKT LLC ("Amex") does not assess a Customer transaction fee.[10] Today, the Exchange would therefore assess a Customer order that was routed to Amex an $0.11 per contract Routing Fee. The Exchange's effective per contract expenses to route to Amex which includes the ORF, OCC clearing charges, Section 31 related fees, connectivity and membership fees, are not covered by the $0.11 per contract and are slightly higher than the $0.15 per contract. As a general matter, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees will allow it to recoup and cover its costs of providing optional routing services for Customer orders because it better approximates the costs incurred by the Exchange for routing such orders. While, each destination market's transaction charge varies and there is a cost incurred by the Exchange when routing orders to away markets, including OCC clearing costs, administrative and technical costs associated with operating NOS, membership fees at away markets, ORFs and technical costs associated with routing options, the Exchange believes that the proposed Routing Fees will enable it to recover the costs it incurs to route Customer orders to away markets. Today, the Exchange is paying a higher average cost per contract fee to route Customer orders to away markets, other than PHLX and BX Options.

The Exchange believes that the proposed pricing for Customer Routing Fees to all

---

[10] See Amex's Fee Schedule.

other away markets, except PHLX and BX Options, is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange would assess the same fixed fee when routing orders to an away market in addition to the away market transaction fee. The proposal would apply uniformly to all market participants when routing to an away market that pays a rebate. Market participants may submit orders to the Exchange as ineligible for routing or "DNR" to avoid Routing Fees.[11] It is important to note that when orders are routed to an away market they are routed based on price first.[12]

Further, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable to continue to not assess a Customer Routing Fee when routing to all other options exchanges, except PHLX and BX Options, if the away market pays a rebate. The Exchange will continue to assess a fixed fee, which fee is being increased with this proposal, plus the actual transaction charge assessed by the away market when routing to all other options exchanges, except PHLX and BX Options, unless the away market pays a rebate. The Exchange would continue to not assess a Routing Fee if the away market pays a rebate because the Exchange believes it is reasonable to retain the rebate to offset the Routing Fee. The Exchange believes that market participants will have more certainty as to the Customer Routing Fee that will be assessed by the Exchange by simply not assessing a Routing Fee for Customer orders routed to away markets, other than PHLX, that pay a rebate.[13] The Exchange believes that not assessing a fee for routing orders to BX Options, instead of

---

11 See NASDAQ Rules at Chapter VI, Section 11(e) (Order Routing).

12 Id.

13 BX Options pays a Customer Rebate to Remove Liquidity as follows: Customers are paid $0.12 per contract in IWM, SPY and QQQ, $0.32 per contract in All Other Penny Pilot Options and $0.70 per contract in Non-Penny Pilot Options. See BX Options Rules at Chapter XV, Section 2(1).

netting the customer rebate paid by BX Options against the Fixed Fee[14] is reasonable because although market participants routing orders to BX Options will not receive a credit, the Routing Fee is transparent. Market participants will not pay a Customer Routing Fee when routing orders to BX Options with this proposal instead of the $0.05 per contract fee netted against the rebate, as is the case today. The Exchange believes that the proposed Customer Routing Fee to BX Options is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the proposal would apply uniformly to all market participants.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to continue to assess Customer orders that are routed to PHLX a fixed fee of $0.05 per contract and orders that are routed to other away markets, other than PHLX and BX Options, a fixed fee of $0.15 per contract because the cost, in terms of actual cash outlays, to the Exchange to route to PHLX (and BX Options)[15] is lower. For example, costs related to routing to PHLX are materially lower as compared to other away markets because NOS is utilized by all three exchanges to route orders.[16] NOS and the three NASDAQ OMX options markets have a common data center and staff that are responsible for the day-to-day operations of NOS. Because the three exchanges are in a common data center, Routing Fees are reduced because costly expenses related to, for example, telecommunication lines to obtain connectivity are avoided when routing orders in this instance. The costs related to connectivity to route orders to other NASDAQ

---

14 BX Options does not assess a Customer a Fee to Remove Liquidity in any symbols today. See Chapter V, Section 2(1) of the BX Options Rules.

15 The Exchange does not assess the $0.05 per contract Fixed Fee for routing orders to BX Options because that exchange pays Customer rebates, which the Exchange would retain to offset its cost.

16 See Chapter VI, Section 11 of the NASDAQ and BX Options Rules and PHLX Rule 1080(m)(iii)(A).

OMX exchanges are de minimis. When routing orders to non-NASDAQ OMX exchanges, the Exchange incurs costly connectivity charges related to telecommunication lines and other related costs. The Exchange believes it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to pass along savings realized by leveraging NASDAQ OMX's infrastructure and scale to market participants when those orders are routed to NOM.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess different fees for Customers orders as compared to non-Customer orders because the Exchange has traditionally assessed lower fees to Customers as compared to non-Customers. Customers will continue to receive the lowest fees or no fees when routing orders, as is the case today. Other options exchanges also assess lower Routing Fees for customer orders as compared to non-customer orders.[17]

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

NASDAQ does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposal creates a burden on intra-market competition because the Exchange is applying the same Routing Fees and credits to all market participants in the same manner dependent on the routing venue, with the exception of Customers. The Exchange will continue to assess separate Customer Routing Fees. Customers will continue to receive the lowest fees or no fees when routing

[17] BATS assesses lower customer routing fees as compared to non-customer routing fees per the away market. For example BATS assesses ISE customer routing fees of $0.30 per contract and an ISE non-customer routing fee of $0.57 per contract. See BATS BZX Exchange Fee Schedule.

orders, as is the case today. Other options exchanges also assess lower Routing Fees for customer orders as compared to non-customer orders.[18]

The Exchange's proposal would allow the Exchange to continue to recoup its costs when routing orders to away markets when such orders are designated as available for routing by the market participant. The Exchange continues to pass along savings realized by leveraging NASDAQ OMX's infrastructure and scale to market participants when those orders are routed to NOM and is providing those savings to all market participants. Members and member organizations may choose to mark the order as ineligible for routing to avoid incurring these fees.[19] Today, other options exchanges also assess fixed routing fees to recoup costs incurred by the Exchange to route orders to away markets.[20]

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market, comprised of eleven exchanges, in which market participants can easily and readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. Accordingly, the fees that are assessed by the Exchange must remain competitive with fees charged by other venues and therefore must continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to those members organizations that opt to direct orders to the Exchange rather than competing venues.

---

18 Id.

19 See supra note 11.

20 See CBOE's Fees Schedule and ISE's Fee Schedule.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.[21] At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2013-XXX on the subject line.

Paper comments:

[21] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2013-064. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2013-064 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.[22]

Kevin M. O'Neill
Deputy Secretary

---

[22] 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

New text is underlined; deleted text is in brackets.

Chapter XV Options Pricing

* * * * *

Sec. 2 NASDAQ Options Market—Fees and Rebates
The following charges shall apply to the use of the order execution and routing services of the NASDAQ Options Market for all securities.

(1) – (2) No Changes

(3) Fees for routing contracts to markets other than the NASDAQ Options Market shall be assessed as follows:

Non-Customer	• $0.95 per contract to any options exchange.
Customer	• Routing Fees to PHLX: $0.05 per contract fee ("Fixed Fee") in addition to the actual transaction fee assessed. • Routing Fees to BX Options: $0.00. • Routing Fees to all other options exchanges: $0.[11]<u>15</u> per contract fee ("Fixed Fee") in addition to the actual transaction fee assessed. If the away market pays a rebate, the Routing Fee will be $0.00.

* * * * *