Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response......38

Page 1 of * 27		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION File No.* SR - 2014 - * 73 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)				
Filing by NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC. Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934						
Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2)	* Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
1 1101	ension of Time Period Commission Action *	Date Expires *		☐ 19b-4(f☐ 19b-4(f	19b-4(f)(5)	
Notice of pro	oposed change pursuant (e)(1) *	to the Payment, Clearing Section 806(e)(2) *	ng, and Settlement	Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap to the Securities Exch Section 3C(b)(2	-
Exhibit 2 Sent	Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document					
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). Relating to the Active SQF Port Fees						
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.						
First Name	* Angela		Last Name * Dun	n		
Title *	Associate General Co	ounsel				
E-mail *						
Telephone *	()	Fax				
	the requirements of the Sused this filing to be signe	· ·		-	ized.	
	1/2014 ard S. Knight		Executive Vice Pre	(Title *) esident and Ge	eneral Counsel	
this form. A di	(Name *) g the button at right will digit. gital signature is as legally bi once signed, this form canno	nding as a physical	edward	l.knight@nasd	aq.com	

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information * clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 3 of 27

1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² proposes to amend Section VII of the Exchange's Fee Schedule pertaining to the Active SQF Port Fee.

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated that the amendments be operative on December 1, 2014.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 1</u> and a copy of the applicable portion of the Exchange's Pricing Schedule is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on July 16, 2014. Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action by the Exchange is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to Angela Saccomandi Dunn, Associate General Counsel, The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc. at (215) 496-5692.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 4 of 27

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange proposes to amend the Active SQF Port Fee in Section VII of the Exchange's Fee Schedule, titled "Access Service, Cancellation, Membership, Regulatory and Other Member Fees," in order that the Exchange may provide an equal opportunity to Specialists and Market Makers to access the Specialized Quote Feed ("SQF") data at a lower cost. SQF is an interface that enables specialists, Streaming Quote Traders ("SQTs")³ and Remote Streaming Quote Traders ("RSQTs")⁴ to connect and send quotes into Phlx XL.⁵ Active SQF ports are ports that receive inbound quotes at any time within that month.⁶

³ An SQT is defined in Exchange Rule 1014(b)(ii)(A) as a Registered Options Trader ("ROT") who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such SQT is assigned.

⁴ An RSQT is defined in Exchange Rule in 1014(b)(ii)(B) as an ROT that is a member or member organization with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such RSQT has been assigned. An RSQT may only submit such quotations electronically from off the floor of the Exchange.

⁵ <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63034 (October 4, 2010), 75 FR 62441 (October 8, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-124).

⁶ The current Active SQF Ports allows member organizations to access, information such as execution reports, execution report messages, auction notifications, and administrative data through a single feed. Other data that is available includes: (1) Options Auction Notifications (*e.g.*, opening imbalance, market exhaust, PIXL or other information);(2) Options Symbol Directory Messages; (3) System Event Messages (*e.g.*, start of messages, start of system hours, start of quoting, start of opening); (4) Complex Order Strategy Auction Notifications (COLA); (5) Complex Order Strategy messages; (6) Option Trading Action Messages (*e.g.*, trading halts, resumption of trading); and (7) Complex Strategy Trading Action Message (*e.g.*, trading halts, resumption of trading).

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 5 of 27

The Exchange is currently undergoing a technology refresh on the Phlx trading system. State-of-the-art hardware and software architecture will be deployed in order to achieve a more efficient and more robust infrastructure to support the growing needs of market participants. Today, the Exchange offers Active SQF Ports in sets of four to accommodate the connections necessary to the match engine. The refresh will require at least one port to connect to the match engine as compared to a set of four ports. The functionality will not change as a result of the refresh. The Exchange anticipates that Specialists and Market Makers will benefit from the efficiency of the service that will be available to them as a result of the refresh. While Specialists and Market Makers will be required to make network and other technical changes in order to connect to the Phlx system via SQF, the Exchange believes that members costs will decline overall as a result of the more efficient connectivity offered by the refresh. The increased efficiency in connectivity will not require the same infrastructure on the part of members to connect to the Exchange. Members will not need to have the same level of connectivity after the conversion to the new ports and overall this will reduce cost.

The Exchange intends to provide Specialists and Market Makers with new SQF ports for connectivity and functionality testing so that Specialists and Market Makers may migrate from the old to the new Active SQF Ports over a reasonable period of time. For purposes of this filing the current ports will be referred to as "current ports" and the ports available after the refresh will be referred to as "new ports." Current ports will be eliminated after the refresh is complete and only new ports will be utilized thereafter.

⁷ These four connections each contain various alpha ranges and therefore four ports are required to access all options.

⁸ The Exchange will migrate on a symbol by symbol basis thereby requiring the use of both new and old Active SQF Ports for a period of time.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 6 of 27

Today, the Exchange assesses member organizations an Active SQF Port Fee based on the number of active ports. For 0-4 ports, the member organization is assessed \$350, for 5-18 ports the member organization is assessed \$1,350 and for 19 or more ports the member organization is assessed \$2,500. Active SQF Port Fees are capped at \$41,000 per month.

The Exchange proposes to assess Specialists and Market Makers, who are currently assessed the Active SQF Port Fee because they have ports today, a fee for their current ports which reflects the average of fees assessed to them for the months of August, September and October 2014 ("Fixed Fee"). The Active SQF Fixed Fee will be assessed on a monthly basis to these Specialists and Market Makers, for their current ports, from December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015. Any new ports utilized by Specialists or Market Makers, that are being assessed the Fixed Fee, will not be subject to any additional fees through March 31, 2015 beyond the Fixed Fee. The Exchange proposes to not assess Specialists or Market Makers for new ports assigned in connection with XL refresh during the time period from December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015.

All Specialists and Market Makers would be subject to the following Active SQF Port Fees as of April 1, 2015:

Number of Active SQF Port	Monthly Fee Per Port
1	\$2,500
2 – 6	\$4,000
7 and over	\$15,000

⁹ The Exchange intends to notify each Phlx member firm impacted by this proposal in writing, either via email or letter, of the amount of their Fixed Fee.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 7 of 27

A Specialist or Market Maker who was not subject to Fixed Fees prior to December 1, 2014, because that Specialist or Marker Maker did not have Active SQF Ports, will be assessed the above Active SQF Port Fees as of December 1, 2014. 10

Finally, the Exchange proposes to increase the Active SQF fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 a month as of April 1, 2015.

b. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act, ¹¹ in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act, ¹² in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The concept of a fixed fee is not novel. A fixed monthly fee was previously adopted in connection with a specialist unit fee on Phlx. ¹³

¹⁰ The Exchange does not anticipate any existing or prospective members seeking to become Specialists or Market Makers to utilize the current system for less than two months given the cost of technology and development resources required to connect to an exchange.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

¹³ <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48459 (September 8, 2003), 68 FR 54034 (September 15, 2003) (SR-Phlx-2003-61). This proposal offered specialists the ability to pay a fixed monthly fee which was computed by taking the equity options and index options volume in May and June 2003 and multiplying that volume, for the specific specialist, by the specialist transaction fee in effect for equity and index options and adding the total of the charges for that period. In order to qualify for the option of paying a fixed fee, the specialist unit must have been trading an equity or index option book on the Phlx trading floor in their capacity as a specialist unit with Phlx equity option or

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 8 of 27

The Exchange believes it is reasonable to allow Specialists and Market Makers to utilize new ports at no cost for a period of time to transition their current SQF ports to the new ports that will be offered as a result of the technology refresh. In order to ease the transition from the current ports to new ports, Specialists and Market Makers would be given an extended period to test functionality and connectivity and resolve any issues that may arise during the testing phase with the new ports. Therefore, pursuant to this proposal, new ports will be offered at no cost to those Specialists and Market Makers that currently pay Active SQF Fees through March 31, 2015 beyond the Fixed Fee. Also, Specialists and Market Maker will be able to continue to utilize their existing SQF ports in the interim to continue to conduct their business at a fixed cost. The Exchange believes that this will allow Specialists and Market Makers to have flexibility when testing the new ports as they will not be limited in number by cost.

The Exchange believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to allow Specialists and Market Makers to utilize new ports at no cost because the Exchange is permitting all current Specialists and Market Makers, who will be paying the Active SQF Port Fixed Fee, the opportunity to utilize the new ports at no costs.

The Exchange believes that averaging the months of August, September and October 2014 for the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee that will be assessed from December 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015 is reasonable because the Exchange desires to offer Specialists and Market Makers who currently have ports some certainty with respect to their costs through the transition. The Exchange believes that utilizing the months August, September and October 2014 to determine the Fixed Fee is reasonable because it should

index option transactions in at least one equity option or index option book, for at least one year from September 1, 2002.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 9 of 27

reflect an accurate representation of the number of ports typically utilized by that particular Specialist and Market Maker for Active SQF Ports. The three month window reflects the typical pattern of usage for the Specialist or Market Maker. Also, these Specialists and Market Makers would not be assessed any fees to utilize as many new ports as they require to test in the new system.

The Exchange believes that averaging the months of August, September and October 2014 for the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee that will be assessed from December 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015 is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will assess all current users of Active SQF Ports a Fixed Fee based on the same criteria.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to assess the increased port fees as of April 1, 2015 of \$2,500 for 1 port, \$4,000 for 2-6 ports and \$15,000 for 7 or more ports. The technology refresh will increase the efficiency with which members can connect to the Phlx system. As a result of the refresh, members would not be required to utilize the same number of ports as they do today to connect to the Phlx system and therefore this should reduce the number of ports required and lower costs. The refresh will require at least one port to connect to the match engine as compared to a set of four ports. The functionality will not change as a result of the refresh. The Exchange anticipates that Specialists and Market Makers will benefit from the efficiency of the service that will be available to them as a result of the refresh. While Specialists and Market Makers will be required to make network and other technical changes in order to connect to the Phlx

¹⁴ The member organization may have some technological reasons for desiring the same number of ports based on their own technical infrastructure but not because of Phlx's system structure.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 10 of 27

system via SQF, the Exchange believes that members costs will decline overall as a result of the more efficient connectivity offered by the refresh. The increased efficiency in connectivity will not require the same infrastructure on the part of members to connect to the Exchange. Members will not need to have the same level of connectivity after the conversion to the new ports and overall this will reduce cost.

The Exchange believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to increase the port fees as of April 1, 2015 because all Specialists and Market Makers would be subject to the same fees.

The Exchange believes that assessing new Specialists and Market Makers the new Active SQF Port fees as of December 1, 2014 if they currently have no Active SQF Ports is reasonable because these Specialists and Market Makers would not be required to maintain two sets of ports during the transition. Existing Specialists and Market Makers will be required to maintain old as well as new ports during portions of the migration. These Specialists and Market Makers would be able to commence utilizing the new ports for testing. As previously explained, the technology refresh will increase the efficiency with which members can connect to the Phlx system. As a result of the refresh, members would not be required to utilize the same number of ports as they do today to connect to the Phlx system and therefore this should reduce the number of ports required and lower costs.

The Exchange believes that assessing Specialists and Market Makers the new Active SQF Port fees if they currently have no Active SQF Ports is equitable and not

¹⁵ Currently all Specialists and Market Makers are utilizing the current ports. If during the end of October 2014 or November 2014 a new Specialist or Market Maker desired ports they could still obtain the current ports. After December 1, 2014, only the new ports would be offered to a new Specialist or Market Maker.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 11 of 27

unfairly discriminatory because these Specialists and Market Makers would not be paying an Active SQF Fee for the current ports. All Specialists and Market Makers would be paying a fee to utilize Active SQF Port Fees.

The Exchange believes that increasing the Active Port Fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 as of April 1, 2015 is reasonable because the Exchange is increasing the pricing on new ports. The increase in the cost of the ports aligns with the increased fee cap.

The Exchange believes that increasing the Active Port Fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 as of April 1, 2015 is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply the fee cap to all Specialists and Market Makers uniformly at that time.

4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose an undue burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that offering Specialists and Market Makers the opportunity to utilize certain Active SQF ports, during this transition with XL, at no cost will ensure the transition is done smoothly. Specialists and Market Makers will continue to be assessed the Active SQF Port Fees for current ports at a Fixed Fee that is representative of their typical usage. The Exchange would allow these market participants to utilize new ports at no cost without limit.

Finally, increasing the Active SQF Fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 as of April 1, 2015 will not impose an undue burden on competition because the cap would be applied uniformly to all market participants.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market, comprised of twelve

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 12 of 27

options exchanges, in which market participants can easily and readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or rebates to be inadequate. Accordingly, the fees that are assessed and the rebates paid by the Exchange described in the above proposal are influenced by these robust market forces and therefore must remain competitive with fees charged and rebates paid by other venues and therefore must continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to those members that opt to direct orders to the Exchange rather than competing venues.

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

- Extension of Time Period for Commission Action
 Not applicable.
- 7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)</u>

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,¹⁶ the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge imposed on any person, whether or not the person is a member of the self-regulatory organization, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization</u> or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission.

 Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act Not applicable.

_

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 13 of 27

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

- 1. Notice of proposed rule for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>.
- 5. Text of the Rule Change.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 14 of 27

Exhibit 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. ; File No. SR-Phlx-2014-73)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Active SQF Port Fees

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, notice is hereby given that on November 11, 2014, NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange proposes to amend Section VI of the Exchange's Fee Schedule pertaining to the Active SQF Port Fee.

While the changes proposed herein are effective upon filing, the Exchange has designated that the amendments be operative on December 1, 2014.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at http://nasdaqomxphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 15 of 27

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the Active SQF Port Fee in Section VII of the Exchange's Fee Schedule, titled "Access Service, Cancellation, Membership, Regulatory and Other Member Fees," in order that the Exchange may provide an equal opportunity to Specialists and Market Makers to access the Specialized Quote Feed ("SQF") data at a lower cost. SQF is an interface that enables specialists, Streaming Quote Traders ("SQTs")³ and Remote Streaming Quote Traders ("RSQTs")⁴ to connect and send quotes

³ An SQT is defined in Exchange Rule 1014(b)(ii)(A) as a Registered Options Trader ("ROT") who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such SQT is assigned.

⁴ An RSQT is defined in Exchange Rule in 1014(b)(ii)(B) as an ROT that is a member or member organization with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such RSQT has been assigned. An RSQT may only submit such quotations electronically from off the floor of the Exchange.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 16 of 27

into Phlx XL.⁵ Active SQF ports are ports that receive inbound quotes at any time within that month.⁶

The Exchange is currently undergoing a technology refresh on the Phlx trading system. State-of-the-art hardware and software architecture will be deployed in order to achieve a more efficient and more robust infrastructure to support the growing needs of market participants. Today, the Exchange offers Active SQF Ports in sets of four to accommodate the connections necessary to the match engine. The refresh will require at least one port to connect to the match engine as compared to a set of four ports. The functionality will not change as a result of the refresh. The Exchange anticipates that Specialists and Market Makers will benefit from the efficiency of the service that will be available to them as a result of the refresh. While Specialists and Market Makers will be required to make network and other technical changes in order to connect to the Phlx system via SQF, the Exchange believes that members costs will decline overall as a result of the more efficient connectivity offered by the refresh. The increased efficiency in connectivity will not require the same infrastructure on the part of members to connect to

⁵ <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63034 (October 4, 2010), 75 FR 62441 (October 8, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-124).

⁶ The current Active SQF Ports allows member organizations to access, information such as execution reports, execution report messages, auction notifications, and administrative data through a single feed. Other data that is available includes: (1) Options Auction Notifications (*e.g.*, opening imbalance, market exhaust, PIXL or other information);(2) Options Symbol Directory Messages; (3) System Event Messages (*e.g.*, start of messages, start of system hours, start of quoting, start of opening); (4) Complex Order Strategy Auction Notifications (COLA); (5) Complex Order Strategy messages; (6) Option Trading Action Messages (*e.g.*, trading halts, resumption of trading); and (7) Complex Strategy Trading Action Message (*e.g.*, trading halts, resumption of trading).

⁷ These four connections each contain various alpha ranges and therefore four ports are required to access all options.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 17 of 27

the Exchange. Members will not need to have the same level of connectivity after the conversion to the new ports and overall this will reduce cost.

The Exchange intends to provide Specialists and Market Makers with new SQF ports for connectivity and functionality testing so that Specialists and Market Makers may migrate from the old to the new Active SQF Ports over a reasonable period of time. For purposes of this filing the current ports will be referred to as "current ports" and the ports available after the refresh will be referred to as "new ports." Current ports will be eliminated after the refresh is complete and only new ports will be utilized thereafter.

Today, the Exchange assesses member organizations an Active SQF Port Fee based on the number of active ports. For 0-4 ports, the member organization is assessed \$350, for 5-18 ports the member organization is assessed \$1,350 and for 19 or more ports the member organization is assessed \$2,500. Active SQF Port Fees are capped at \$41,000 per month.

The Exchange proposes to assess Specialists and Market Makers, who are currently assessed the Active SQF Port Fee because they have ports today, a fee for their current ports which reflects the average of fees assessed to them for the months of August, September and October 2014 ("Fixed Fee"). The Active SQF Fixed Fee will be assessed on a monthly basis to these Specialists and Market Makers, for their current ports, from December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015.⁹ Any new ports utilized by Specialists or Market Makers, that are being assessed the Fixed Fee, will not be subject to

⁸ The Exchange will migrate on a symbol by symbol basis thereby requiring the use of both new and old Active SQF Ports for a period of time.

⁹ The Exchange intends to notify each Phlx member firm impacted by this proposal in writing, either via email or letter, of the amount of their Fixed Fee.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 18 of 27

any additional fees through March 31, 2015 beyond the Fixed Fee. The Exchange proposes to not assess Specialists or Market Makers for new ports assigned in connection with XL refresh during the time period from December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015.

All Specialists and Market Makers would be subject to the following Active SQF Port Fees as of April 1, 2015:

Number of Active SQF Port	Monthly Fee Per Port
1	\$2,500
2-6	\$4,000
7 and over	\$15,000

A Specialist or Market Maker who was not subject to Fixed Fees prior to December 1, 2014, because that Specialist or Marker Maker did not have Active SQF Ports, will be assessed the above Active SQF Port Fees as of December 1, 2014. 10

Finally, the Exchange proposes to increase the Active SQF fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 a month as of April 1, 2015.

2. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the provisions of Section 6 of the Act,¹¹ in general, and with Section 6(b)(4) and 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹² in particular, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and issuers and other persons using any facility

¹⁰ The Exchange does not anticipate any existing or prospective members seeking to become Specialists or Market Makers to utilize the current system for less than two months given the cost of technology and development resources required to connect to an exchange.

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 19 of 27

or system which the Exchange operates or controls, and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers. The concept of a fixed fee is not novel. A fixed monthly fee was previously adopted in connection with a specialist unit fee on Phlx.¹³

The Exchange believes it is reasonable to allow Specialists and Market Makers to utilize new ports at no cost for a period of time to transition their current SQF ports to the new ports that will be offered as a result of the technology refresh. In order to ease the transition from the current ports to new ports, Specialists and Market Makers would be given an extended period to test functionality and connectivity and resolve any issues that may arise during the testing phase with the new ports. Therefore, pursuant to this proposal, new ports will be offered at no cost to those Specialists and Market Makers that currently pay Active SQF Fees through March 31, 2015 beyond the Fixed Fee. Also, Specialists and Market Maker will be able to continue to utilize their existing SQF ports in the interim to continue to conduct their business at a fixed cost. The Exchange believes that this will allow Specialists and Market Makers to have flexibility when testing the new ports as they will not be limited in number by cost.

The Exchange believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to allow Specialists and Market Makers to utilize new ports at no cost because the Exchange is

¹³ <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 48459 (September 8, 2003), 68 FR 54034 (September 15, 2003) (SR-Phlx-2003-61). This proposal offered specialists the ability to pay a fixed monthly fee which was computed by taking the equity options and index options volume in May and June 2003 and multiplying that volume, for the specific specialist, by the specialist transaction fee in effect for equity and index options and adding the total of the charges for that period. In order to qualify for the option of paying a fixed fee, the specialist unit must have been trading an equity or index option book on the Phlx trading floor in their capacity as a specialist unit with Phlx equity option or index option transactions in at least one equity option or index option book, for at least one year from September 1, 2002.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 20 of 27

permitting all current Specialists and Market Makers, who will be paying the Active SQF Port Fixed Fee, the opportunity to utilize the new ports at no costs.

The Exchange believes that averaging the months of August, September and October 2014 for the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee that will be assessed from December 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015 is reasonable because the Exchange desires to offer Specialists and Market Makers who currently have ports some certainty with respect to their costs through the transition. The Exchange believes that utilizing the months August, September and October 2014 to determine the Fixed Fee is reasonable because it should reflect an accurate representation of the number of ports typically utilized by that particular Specialist and Market Maker for Active SQF Ports. The three month window reflects the typical pattern of usage for the Specialist or Market Maker. Also, these Specialists and Market Makers would not be assessed any fees to utilize as many new ports as they require to test in the new system.

The Exchange believes that averaging the months of August, September and October 2014 for the Fixed Active SQF Port Fee that will be assessed from December 1, 2014 to March 31, 2015 is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will assess all current users of Active SQF Ports a Fixed Fee based on the same criteria.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable to assess the increased port fees as of April 1, 2015 of \$2,500 for 1 port, \$4,000 for 2-6 ports and \$15,000 for 7 or more ports. The technology refresh will increase the efficiency with which members can connect to the Phlx system. As a result of the refresh, members would not be required to utilize the same number of ports as they do today to connect to the Phlx system and therefore this

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 21 of 27

should reduce the number of ports required and lower costs.¹⁴ The refresh will require at least one port to connect to the match engine as compared to a set of four ports. The functionality will not change as a result of the refresh. The Exchange anticipates that Specialists and Market Makers will benefit from the efficiency of the service that will be available to them as a result of the refresh. While Specialists and Market Makers will be required to make network and other technical changes in order to connect to the Phlx system via SQF, the Exchange believes that members costs will decline overall as a result of the more efficient connectivity offered by the refresh. The increased efficiency in connectivity will not require the same infrastructure on the part of members to connect to the Exchange. Members will not need to have the same level of connectivity after the conversion to the new ports and overall this will reduce cost.

The Exchange believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to increase the port fees as of April 1, 2015 because all Specialists and Market Makers would be subject to the same fees.

The Exchange believes that assessing new Specialists and Market Makers the new Active SQF Port fees as of December 1, 2014 if they currently have no Active SQF Ports is reasonable because these Specialists and Market Makers would not be required to maintain two sets of ports during the transition.¹⁵ Existing Specialists and Market Makers will be required to maintain old as well as new ports during portions of the

¹⁴ The member organization may have some technological reasons for desiring the same number of ports based on their own technical infrastructure but not because of Phlx's system structure.

¹⁵ Currently all Specialists and Market Makers are utilizing the current ports. If during the end of October 2014 or November 2014 a new Specialist or Market Maker desired ports they could still obtain the current ports. After December 1, 2014, only the new ports would be offered to a new Specialist or Market Maker.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 22 of 27

migration. These Specialists and Market Makers would be able to commence utilizing the new ports for testing. As previously explained, the technology refresh will increase the efficiency with which members can connect to the Phlx system. As a result of the refresh, members would not be required to utilize the same number of ports as they do today to connect to the Phlx system and therefore this should reduce the number of ports required and lower costs.

The Exchange believes that assessing Specialists and Market Makers the new Active SQF Port fees if they currently have no Active SQF Ports is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because these Specialists and Market Makers would not be paying an Active SQF Fee for the current ports. All Specialists and Market Makers would be paying a fee to utilize Active SQF Port Fees.

The Exchange believes that increasing the Active Port Fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 as of April 1, 2015 is reasonable because the Exchange is increasing the pricing on new ports. The increase in the cost of the ports aligns with the increased fee cap.

The Exchange believes that increasing the Active Port Fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 as of April 1, 2015 is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the Exchange will apply the fee cap to all Specialists and Market Makers uniformly at that time.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose an undue burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that offering Specialists and Market Makers the opportunity to utilize certain Active SQF ports, during this transition with XL, at no cost

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 23 of 27

will ensure the transition is done smoothly. Specialists and Market Makers will continue to be assessed the Active SQF Port Fees for current ports at a Fixed Fee that is representative of their typical usage. The Exchange would allow these market participants to utilize new ports at no cost without limit.

Finally, increasing the Active SQF Fee cap from \$41,000 to \$42,000 as of April 1, 2015 will not impose an undue burden on competition because the cap would be applied uniformly to all market participants.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market, comprised of twelve options exchanges, in which market participants can easily and readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or rebates to be inadequate. Accordingly, the fees that are assessed and the rebates paid by the Exchange described in the above proposal are influenced by these robust market forces and therefore must remain competitive with fees charged and rebates paid by other venues and therefore must continue to be reasonable and equitably allocated to those members that opt to direct orders to the Exchange rather than competing venues.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.¹⁶ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 24 of 27

appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form
 (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2014-73 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2014-73. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 25 of 27

communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any

person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the

provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the

Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on

official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing

also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit

personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information

that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2014-73 and should be

submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to

delegated authority.¹⁷

Kevin M. O'Neill

Deputy Secretary

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 26 of 27

Exhibit 5

New text is underlined; deleted text is in brackets.

NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC¹ PRICING SCHEDULE
ALL BILLING DISPUTES MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE EXCHANGE IN
WRITING AND MUST BE ACCOMPANIED BY SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION.
ALL DISPUTES MUST BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS AFTER
RECEIPT OF A BILLING INVOICE, EXCEPT FOR DISPUTES CONCERNING
NASDAQ OMX PSX FEES, PROPRIETARY DATA FEED FEES AND CO-LOCATION
SERVICES FEES. AS OF JANUARY 3, 2011, THE EXCHANGE WILL CALCULATE
FEES ON A TRADE DATE BASIS.

* * * * *

VII. OTHER MEMBER FEES

A. No Change

B. Port Fees

Order Entry Port Fee

\$550 per month per mnemonic²⁵

Member organizations will not be assessed an Order Entry Port Fee for additional ports acquired for ten business days for the purpose of transitioning technology. The member organization is required to provide the Exchange with written notification of the transition and all additional ports, provided at no cost, will be removed at the end of the ten business days.

Active SQF Port Fee	[Number of Active SQF Ports	Cost Per Port Per Month] ²⁶	
	[0-4]	[\$350]	
	[5-18]	[\$1,350]	
	[19 and over]	[\$2,500]	

Specialists and Market Makers that are subject to the Active SQF Port Fee as of December 1, 2014 will be subject to an Active SQF Port Fee that reflects the

¹PHLX[®] is a registered trademark of The NASDAQ OMX Group, Inc.

²⁵The Order Entry Port Fee will be waived for mnemonics that are used exclusively for complex orders where one of the components of the complex order is the underlying security.

SR-Phlx-2014-73 Page 27 of 27

average of fees assessed to them for the months of August, September and October 2014 ("Fixed Active SQF Port Fee"). This Fixed Active SQF Port Fee will be assessed to these Specialists and Market Makers from December 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015. Specialists and Market Makers will not be assessed a fee for their use of the new version of the Active SQF Port through March 31, 2015.

All Specialists and Market Makers are subject to the following Active SQF Port Fees as of April 1, 2015:

Number of Active SQF Port	Monthly Fee Per Port
<u>1</u>	<u>\$2,500</u>
<u>2 – 6</u>	<u>\$4,000</u>
7 and over	<u>\$15,000</u>

A Specialist or Market Maker who was not subject to Fixed Active SQF Port Fees prior to December 1, 2014 will be provided new ports and assessed the above Active SQF Port Fees as of December 1, 2014.

• Active SQF ports refer to ports that receive inbound quotes at any time within that month.

Member organizations will not be assessed an Active SQF Port Fee for additional ports acquired for ten business days for the purpose of transitioning technology. The member organization is required to provide the Exchange with written notification of the transition and all additional ports, provided at no cost, will be removed at the end of the ten business days.

Real-time Risk Management Fee

\$.003 per contract for members and member organizations receiving information on a real-time basis up to a maximum of two ports, which may be either an SQF Port or a CTI Port.

C and D No Change

* * * * *

²⁶Active SQF Port Fees will be capped at \$41,000 per month through March 31, 2015 and thereafter at \$42,000 per month.