Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response......38

Page 1 o	f * 73	WASHING	EXCHANGE COMMISS GTON, D.C. 20549 form 19b-4		File No.* s	SR - 2016 - * 125 mendments *)
Filing by NASDAQ PHLX LLC						
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934						
Initial *	Amendment	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time F for Commission Act	Date Expires *	 19b-4(f)(1) 19b-4(f)(4) 19b-4(f)(2) 19b-4(f)(5) 19b-4(f)(3) 19b-4(f)(6) 			
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Cl Section 806(e)(1) * Section 806(e)(2)			-	2010	Security-Based Swap to the Securities Exch Section 3C(b)(2)	-
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document						
Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). A proposal to reserve Rule 1014(g)(vii) and (viii), which describes the allocation of automatically executed trades, and adopt a new Rule 1089. Contact Information						
Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.						
First N	ame * Angela		Last Name * Dunn			
Title *						
E-mail						
Telephone * (215) 496-5692 Fax						
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.						
(Title *) Date 12/19/2016 Executive Vice President and General Counsel						
Date	12/19/2016		Executive vice Presider	ıı and Ge	nerai Counsel	
Ву	Edward S. Knight	<u> </u>				
(Name *) NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.						

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information * clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 3 of 73

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposal to reserve Rule 1014(g)(vii) and (viii), which describes the allocation of automatically executed trades, and adopt a new Rule 1089 and title that rule "Execution Priority and Processing in the System."

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> is at <u>Exhibit 1.</u> The text of the proposed rule change is at <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

The proposed rule change was approved by the Board of Directors of the Exchange (the "Board") on July 25, 2016. Exchange staff will advise the Board of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

Angela Saccomandi Dunn Principal Associate General Counsel Nasdaq, Inc. 215-496-5692.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 4 of 73

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange proposes to remove the current rule text describing the allocation of automatically executed trades from Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii) and (viii) and, in its place, adopt a new Phlx Rule 1089 titled "Execution Priority and Processing in the System" to describe the manner in which the Exchange will process, prioritize and allocate transactions in the System.³ In adopting Rule 1089, the Exchange proposes to model the rule on NASDAQ BX, Inc.'s ("BX") "Book Processing" rule at Chapter VI, Section 10. The Exchange will note the similarities to that rule throughout the proposal, where applicable.

The Exchange today applies a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders/quotes to each level of priority separately, other than Customers.⁴ Customer

For purposes of this rule, the term "System" shall mean the automated system for order execution and trade reporting owned and operated by the Exchange.

⁴ For example, Size Pro-Rata allocation is applied to market maker priority and separately for all other remaining interest. On Phlx an "Options Market Maker" includes Specialists and Registered Options Traders ("ROTs") (which includes Streaming Quote Traders ("SQTs") and Remote Streaming Quote Traders ("RSQTs")) and floor market makers. A Specialist is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist. See Phlx Rule 1020(a). A ROT is a regular member or a foreign currency options participant of the Exchange located on the trading floor who has received permission from the Exchange to trade in options for his own account. An SQT is an ROT who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such SQT is assigned. An SQT may only submit such quotations while such SQT is physically present on the floor of the Exchange. An SQT may only trade in a market making capacity in classes of options in which the SQT is assigned. An RSQT is an ROT that is a member affiliated with and RSQTO with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such RSOT has been assigned. A qualified RSOT may function as a Remote Specialist upon Exchange approval. A floor market maker is known as a

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 5 of 73

priority is described in greater detail below. Currently, Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(b) describes the allocation algorithm utilizing a formula:

Equal percentage based on the number of Phlx XL Participants quoting or with limit orders at BBO (Component A)

Pro rata percentage based on size of Phlx XL participant quotes/limit orders (Component B)

Incoming × Order Size

2

The current rule at 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(b) states, "Component A: The percentage to be used for Component A shall be an equal percentage, derived by dividing 100 by the number of Phlx XL participants quoting at the BBO. Component B: Size Pro Rata Allocation. The percentage to be used for Component B of the allocation algorithm formula is that percentage that the size of each Phlx XL Participant's quote at the best price represents relative to the total number of contracts in the disseminated quote."

The final weighting is a weighted average of the percentages derived for Components A and B multiplied by the size of the incoming order. Initially, the weighting of components A and B shall be equal, represented mathematically by the formula: (Component A Percentage + Component B Percentage)/2) * incoming order size. The final weighting formula for equity options, but which shall be determined by a three-member special committee of the Board of Directors, chaired by the President of the Exchange, and two Directors (the "Special Committee"), and apply uniformly across

non-SQT ROT in Rule 1014(b)(ii)(C). A non-SQT ROT is an ROT who is neither an SQT nor an RSQT.

⁵ Equity options includes Exchange-Traded Fund Shares, Index Options, and U.S. dollar- settled Foreign Currency Options.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 6 of 73

all equity options. The Special Committee set the Component A to a value of "0" and therefore all of the weighting has been applied to Component B leading to an allocation which is purely Size Pro-Rata.

At this time, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the formula, the weighting process and the ability to determine values for the weighting and simply state that it will apply a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders. This is the manner in which Phlx allocates today. The Exchange is adopting rule text to memorialize the manner in which the allocation operates today. The Exchange proposes the following rule text to describe the Size-Pro Rata execution algorithm, "The Exchange will apply a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders. The System shall execute trading interest within the System in price priority, meaning it will execute all trading interest at the best price level within the System before executing trading interest at the next best price."

Rule 1014(g)(vii) currently does not address the manner in which the System handles rounding. The Exchange proposes to memorialize in proposed Rule 1089(A) the following language to clarify the manner in which the Exchange handles rounding today, "If the result is not a whole number, it will be rounded down to the nearest whole number." The Exchange believes that clearly indicating the manner in which rounding

Phlx offers both an electronic and floor model for the execution of options transactions. Floor transactions are subject to Phlx Rule 1014(g)(v).

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A). This rule text is similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(A) and (B) with respect to BX's Price/Time and Size Pro-Rata execution algorithms.

Phlx and BX round differently. BX's rounds up or down to the nearest integer. See BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10 (1)(C)(1)(b)(1).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 7 of 73

occurs within the order book will bring clarity to the allocation process. The Exchange notes that rounding will be uniformly applied to all market participants.

The Exchange proposes to clearly define the priority overlays that are currently applied today. Today, the Exchange allocates contracts utilizing a Customer Priority Size Pro-Rata allocation model. First, Customer contracts are allocated in Price-Time priority⁹. Thereafter, the Exchange allocates interest to electronic orders/quotes of Options Market Makers utilizing a Size Pro-Rata allocation model. Lastly, the Exchange allocates interest to off-floor broker dealer orders¹⁰ utilizing a Size Pro-Rata allocation model, which includes orders of all market participants, excluding Customers and Options Market Maker because they have already been allocated. Today, as part of the Size Pro-Rata allocation to the Specialists and DROTs, the Exchange may apply a Specialist Participation Entitlement or DROT Priority.¹¹ Each step is described below in greater detail.

Customer Priority

Customer orders are always allocated first at a given price. The Exchange will prioritize orders by the highest bid and lowest offer, except that Customer orders shall

Price-Time allocations are filled among Customer orders in time priority.

The term "off-floor broker-dealer order" means an order delivered from off the floor of the Exchange by or on behalf of a broker-dealer for the proprietary account(s) of such broker-dealer, including an order for a market maker located on an exchange or trading floor other than the Exchange's trading floor delivered for the proprietary account(s) of such market maker.

A Specialist or ROT that receives a Directed Order is a DROT. The term "Directed Order" means any order (other than a stop or stop-limit order as defined in Rule 1066) to buy or sell which has been directed to a particular specialist, Remote Streaming Quote Trader or "RSQT", or Streaming Quote Trader or "SQT" by an Order Flow Provider. See Phlx Rule 1080(1)(i)(A).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 8 of 73

have priority over non-Customer interest at the same price, provided the Customer order is an executable order. ¹² If there are two or more Customer orders for the same options series at the same price, priority shall be afforded to such Customer orders in the sequence in which they are received by the trading system (hereinafter "System"). ¹³ No participant_shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with their quotation or order.

Currently, Customer priority is described at Rule 1014(g)(viii)(A) which refers to Rule 1014(g)(i)(A). The current rule text simply states that public customer market and marketable limit orders are executed first. The Exchange believes that its proposed rule text, which is similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(1)(a), provides more clarity to the current rule text in explaining the manner in which Customer orders are being allocated. The manner in which Customer orders are allocated is not being amended, simply restated for clarity. For purposes of this Rule, a Customer order does not include a Professional Order. 15

An executable order would be for example a non-contingent order or a contingent order that can have its contingency satisfied, such as an All-or-none order. All-or-none ("AON") means a contingency market or limit order which is to be executed in its entirety or not at all. Of note, Customer AON would not be considered a "Protective Bid" or "Protected Offer" pursuant to Phlx Rule 1083(o), because it is non-displayed interest.

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(i).

Phlx Rule 1014(g)(i)(A) states that equity option, index option and U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency option orders of controlled accounts are required to yield priority to customer orders when competing at the same price. BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(1)(a) similarly prioritizes Public Customer orders first.

The term Professional means any person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s). A professional

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 9 of 73

Enhanced Specialist Priority

After all Customer orders have been fully executed, upon receipt of an order, provided the Specialist's bid/offer is at or improves on the Exchange's disseminated price, the Specialists, if any, and ROTs will be afforded entitlements and then to off-floor brokers. The Specialist shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the displayed size associated with such Specialist. Specialist Participation Entitlements are applied throughout the trading day as well as during the opening process, recept that, pursuant to sub-paragraph (A)(1)(ii)(2), the entitlement shall only apply until after the opening and shall not apply to auctions.

The proposed rule provides that a Specialist shall receive the greater of (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement; (2) ROT Priority or (3) DROT Priority. Today, the Exchange's current rule text states, "For options subject to the Enhanced Specialist Participation as set forth in Rule 1014(g)(ii), the specialist shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts (not to exceed the size of the specialist's quote) that is the greater of the amount he would be entitled to receive pursuant to Rule 1014(g)(ii), or the amount he

will be treated in the same manner as an off-floor broker-dealer for purposes of Rules 1014(g)(except with respect to all-or-none orders, which will be treated like customer orders, except that orders submitted pursuant to Phlx Rule 1080(n) for the beneficial account(s) of professionals with an all-or-none designation will be treated in the same manner as off-floor broker-dealer orders), 1033(e), 1064.02 (except professional orders will be considered customer orders subject to facilitation), 1080(n) and 1080.07 as well as Options Floor Procedure Advices B-6 and F-5. Member organizations must indicate whether orders are for professionals. See Phlx Rule 1000(14).

See current rule text at Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(c).

¹⁷ <u>See proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii).</u>

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 10 of 73

would otherwise receive pursuant to the operation of the algorithm..."¹⁸ The Exchange would continue to allocate to the Specialist the greater of the (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement or the (2) ROT Priority. The Exchange's proposal adds a new allocation option for the Specialist. The Exchange proposes to offer the greater of the (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement; (2) ROT Priority or (3) DROT Priority, when the Specialist is the DROT, similar to BX.¹⁹ Only the addition of the DROT priority is new, the remainder of this provision regarding this allocation exists today in the Phlx Rule. The Exchange is proposing to adopt the portion relating to the DROT Priority, similar to BX Rules.

Each of these terms will be described herein. The Specialist Participation

Entitlement is applicable when the Specialist is at the same price with at least one other

SQT or RSQT and the number of contracts is greater than 5.²⁰ As proposed, the

Specialist is entitled to receive the greater of his Size Pro-Rata share, DROT Priority

when the Specialist is the DROT, or the Enhanced Specialist Priority which is an

enhanced participation of at least a certain percentage of the remainder of the order

depending on the number or ROTs. The Specialist Participation Entitlement shall be

60% of remaining interest if there is one other ROT at that price. The Specialist

Participation Entitlement shall be 40% of remaining interest if there are two ROTs at that

See current rule text at Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(c).

¹⁹ See BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii)(1).

^{20 &}lt;u>See</u> Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(a).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 11 of 73

price. Finally, the Specialist Participation Entitlement 30% of remaining interest if there are more than two other ROTs at that price.²¹

Current Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B) states, "[q]uotations entered electronically by the specialist, an RSQT or an SQT that do not cause an order resting on the limit order book to become due for execution may be matched at any time by quotations entered electronically by the specialist and/or other SQTs and RSQTs, and by ROT limit orders and shall be deemed to be on parity, subject to the requirement that orders of controlled accounts must yield priority to customer orders as set forth in Rule 1014(g)(i)(A)." Rule 1014(g)(i)(A)(1) states, "The Enhanced Specialist Participation is a percentage of the Remainder of the Order to which the specialist is entitled." Rule 1014(g)(ii) describes the Enhanced Specialist Participation as follows"

'In equity option, index option and U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency options classes, when the registered specialist is on parity with a controlled account as defined in subparagraph (i) above, in accordance with Exchange Rules 119 and 120 and the number of contracts to be bought or sold is greater than five, the specialist is entitled to receive an enhanced participation of 30% of the Remainder of the Order ("Enhanced Specialist Participation"), except in the following circumstances: (1) where there is one controlled account on parity, the specialist is entitled to receive 60% of the Remainder of the Order; or (2) where there are two controlled accounts on parity, in which case, the specialist is entitled to receive 40% of the Remainder of the Order.'

The Exchange is not amending the percentages related to Specialist Enhanced Participation with this proposal. The language proposed herein tracks language similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii).²²

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(a).

The Specialist is similar to a Lead Market Maker or "LMM" on BX.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 12 of 73

Today, orders for 5 contracts or fewer shall be allocated to the Specialist, provided, however, that on a quarterly basis, the Exchange will evaluate what percentage of the volume executed on the Exchange is comprised of orders for 5 contracts or fewer allocated to Specialists, and will reduce the size of the orders included in this provision if such percentage is over 25%. In order to be entitled to receive the 5 contract or fewer order preference set forth in this sub-paragraph (A)(1)(ii)(2), the Specialist must be quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price with no other interest present which has a higher priority, and shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with its quote.²³ The Exchange proposes to add even more specificity to the proposed Rule at 1089(A)(1)(ii)(2) to note that if a Customer is resting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to ROT Priority. Also, if the Specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer. This subparagraph (A)(1)(ii)(2) shall only apply until after the opening and shall not apply to auctions.²⁴

²³ See Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(a).

For example, the Exchange's PIXL auction and the opening process would not be subject to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1). PIXLSM is the Exchange's price improvement mechanism known as Price Improvement XL or PIXL. A member may electronically submit for execution an order it represents as agent on behalf of a public customer, broker-dealer, or any other entity ("PIXL Order") against principal interest or against any other order (except as provided in Rule 1080(n)(i)(E)) it represents as agent ("Initiating Order"), provided it submits the PIXL order for electronic execution into the PIXL Auction pursuant to Rule 1080. See Phlx Rule 1080(n). The opening process is explained in Phlx Rule 1017.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 13 of 73

The Exchange memorializes the manner in which rounding will be handled in proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii). If rounding would result in an allocation of less than one contract, a Specialist will receive one contract.

Directed Orders

A Specialist or ROT who receives a Directed Order is a "DROT" with respect to that Directed Order. Today, the Exchange allocates Directed Orders first to Customers orders. After all Customer orders have been fully executed, upon receipt of a Directed Order, provided the DROT's bid/offer is at the better of the internal PBBO²⁵ excluding AON orders or the NBBO, the DROT receives a participation entitlement (DROT Priority). If the Specialist is the DROT, the Specialist receives the entitlement. The DROT is not entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the displayed size at a given price point associated with such DROT. DROT participation entitlements are considered after the opening process. Pursuant to the DROT participation entitlement when the Specialist is the DROT, the DROT receives, with respect to a Directed Order, the greater of (1) the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed Specialist's quote, SQT and RSQT quotes, and non-SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed Specialist's quote; (2) 40% of remaining interest; or (3) the Specialist Participation Entitlement in subsection 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(c) (if the DROT is also the Specialist). ²⁶ The Exchange is not amending the DROT Priority, rather the Exchange is relocating the

The PBBO is the Exchange's best bid or offer.

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(iii). See similar rule text in Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii)(A) and (B).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 14 of 73

current rule text into a format similar to that of BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii).

If there are multiple DROT quotes at the same price which are at or improve on the NBBO when the Directed Order is received, the DROT participation entitlement applies only to the DROT quote which has the highest time priority at the last price executed upon receipt of the Directed Order which is equal to or better than the NBBO; the DROT quote that received the Directed Order may not receive any further allocation of the Directed Order, except as described in ROT Priority below. If rounding would result in an allocation of less than one contract, the DROT shall receive one contract. As is the case today, if the DROT Priority is not awarded at the time of receipt of the Directed Order, no DROT priority will apply and the order will be handled as though it were not a Directed Order for the remainder of the life of the order. The Exchange is not amending the DROT Priority, rather the Exchange is relocating the current rule text into a format similar to that of BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii). Under no circumstances would the DROT quote receive an allocation of greater than 40% of an order at a price at which they receive a directed entitlement, unless it resulted from the allocation of remaining contracts.

Directed Orders

By way of background, in May 2005 the Exchange adopted rules for Phlx XL that permit Exchange Specialists, SQTs, and RSQTs to receive Directed Orders, and to provide a participation guarantee to Specialists, SQTs and RSQTs that receive Directed

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 15 of 73

Orders.²⁷ Pursuant to Phlx Rule 1080(1)(ii) a Directed Order that is executed electronically, except for Directed Complex Orders, shall be automatically executed and allocated to those quotations and orders at the National Best Bid or Offer ("NBBO") when the Exchange's disseminated price is at the NBBO at the time of receipt of the Directed Orders and the member or member organization is quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price. A Directed Order is defined in 1080(1)(i)(A) as any order (other than a stop or stop-limit order as defined in Rule 1066) to buy or sell which has been directed to a particular specialist, RSQT, or SQT by an Order Flow Provider or "OFP." Pursuant to Exchange Rule 1080(1), OFPs must transmit Directed Orders to a particular specialist, SQT, or RSQT electronically. If the Exchange's disseminated best bid or offer is at the NBBO when the Directed Order is received, the Directed Order is automatically executed on Phlx XL and allocated to the orders and quotes represented in the Exchange's quotation. A Directed Specialist, SQT, or RSQT will receive a participation allocation pursuant to Exchange Rule 1014(g)(viii) if the Directed Specialist, SQT, or RSQT was quoting at the NBBO at the time that the Directed Order was received.²⁸ Otherwise, the automatic execution will be allocated to those quotations and orders at the NBBO pursuant to Exchange Rule 1014(g)(vii).²⁹ Directed Orders can be sent not only on behalf of public customers but also on behalf of broker dealers. Directed Orders are limited to orders sent on an agency basis by an OFP and not on behalf of the sender's

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51759 (May 27, 2005), 70 FR 32860 (June 6, 2005). See also Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii) (setting forth the automatic trade allocation algorithm for Directed Orders).

²⁸ See Phlx Rule 1080(l)(ii).

²⁹ See Phlx Rule 1080(l)(iii).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 16 of 73

proprietary account. To qualify as a Directed Order, an order must be delivered to the Exchange electronically. Today, both simple and Complex Orders may be submitted to the Exchange as Directed Orders and receive an allocation.

Today, as noted above, the Exchange describes the allocation of Directed Orders at Rule 1014(g)(viii). Directed Orders are first allocated to Customers, then to the Directed Specialist as specified in Rule 1014(g)(viii)(A) and (B). The Exchange today applies a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithms to electronic orders, as described herein, other than Customers, including for Directed Orders. Currently, Rule 1014(g)(viii)(B)(1) and (2) describes the allocation algorithm utilizing a formula:

Equal percentage based on the Number of SQTs, RSQTs and Non-SQT ROTs quoting or with limit orders at BBO (Component A)

Pro rata percentage based on size of SQT, RSQT and Non-SQT quotes and limit orders (Component B)

Remaining A

The current rule at 1014(g)(viii)(B)(1) and (2) describes the weighting. The Exchange proposes to eliminate this formula and process for setting the final weighting and instead utilize the allocation rule text described herein, which the Exchange believes provides more clarity and consistency to the manner in which the allocation method is described for Directed Orders. The Exchange proposes new rule text related to Directed Orders to offer market participants the same opportunities available to BX Options Participants.

Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii)(B) proposes that after Customer limit orders resting on the book are allocated, the contracts remaining in the Directed Order, if any, shall be allocated automatically as follows: (1) The Directed Specialist (where applicable), shall be allocated a number of contracts that is the greater of: (a) the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed Specialist's quote, SQT and RSQT quotes, and non-SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed Specialist's quote; (b) the Enhanced Specialist Participation as described in Rule 1014(g)(ii); or (c) 40% of the remaining contracts.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 17 of 73

First, the Exchange proposes in Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(c), rule text which permits a Specialist to receive the DROT Priority if that allocation number were the greater number as between the Specialist Participation Entitlement, the ROT Priority and the DROT Priority. This is the same behavior on Phlx today. BX Rules permit such an allocation pursuant to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii). Only one allocation would be available to the Specialist, as is the case today. This proposal would permit the Specialist to receive the DROT Priority if that would result in the greatest allocation.

The next two provisions are new. The Exchange believes that the addition of these provisions will enhance competition by affording the Exchange the opportunity to compete for directed order flow. Each provision is described below.

The Exchange proposes that when a Directed Order is received and the DROT's quote is at or improves on the NBBO and the Specialist is at the same price level and is not the DROT, the Specialist participation entitlement set forth proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) will not apply with respect to such Directed Order. BX's Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii)(1) provide for the same restriction to provide rules that prioritize Specialists and DROTs at the same price level which are at or improve the NBBO. The Exchange does not apply the Specialist Participation Entitlement in this scenario today. Adopting the BX language will provide specificity to proposed Rule 1089 where these priorities intersect for a certain and uniform outcome.

Next, with respect to an order of 5 contracts or fewer that is directed to a DROT who is not the Specialist, quoting at better than the NBBO, proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) shall not apply. This is similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 18 of 73

10(C)(2)(ii)(2). The Exchange does not apply the Specialist Entitlement in this scenario today. Adopting the BX language will provide specificity to the rule with respect to the intersection of these priorities for a certain and uniform outcome.

ROT Priority

After all Customer orders have been fully executed, provided the Customer order is an executable order, and Specialist Participation Entitlement or DROT Priority are applied, if applicable, remaining ROT interest shall have priority over all other orders at the same price. If there are two or more ROT quotes or orders for the same options series at the same price, those shall be executed based on the Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm. If there are contracts remaining, such contracts shall be allocated by randomly assigning all ROTs an order of allocation each trading day, and allocating orders, quotes and sweeps in accordance with the trading day's order assignment, provided the ROT is at the best price at which the order, quote or sweep is being traded. The Exchange is not amending this rule text which exists at Rule 1014(g)(vii)(A). This is similar to Market Maker Priority on BX at Chapter VI, Section 10(C)(2)(iv).

The Exchange is deleting the rule text currently in Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B) as this language concerning parity and controlled accounts is no longer necessary. The Exchange's new rule text in proposed Rule 1089 discusses orders at the same price and identifies each market participant order of priority with respect to allocation. The "parity" terminology is not necessary and simplified within proposed Rule 1089.

All Other Remaining Interest

If there are contracts remaining after all ROT interest has been fully executed, such contracts are executed based on the Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm. This is the

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 19 of 73

manner in which the Exchange allocates remaining interest today pursuant to Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(d). The Exchange is conforming the rule text to that of BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iv). In the event that there are remaining contracts to be allocated for interest after rounding, such remaining contracts will be allocated in time priority, provided the off-floor broker-dealers, which includes orders of all market participants other than Customers, ROTs and Specialists, are at the best price at which the order is being traded. This rule text exists at Rule 1014(g)(viii)(B)(3). With respect to the rounding, the Exchange's rules do not currently offer this specificity. The Exchange believes that adding information specific to rounding will provide greater clarity to the Exchange's allocation method.

Finally, the Exchange notes that only one participation entitlement, Specialist Entitlement or DROT Priority, may be applied on a given order or quote. This provision exists in the BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(v). Although the Exchange applies no more than one participation entitlement today on a given quote or order, the Exchange is adopting this language to add further clarity to proposed Rule 1089.

Cross-References

The Exchange is amending the references to Rule 1014 in Rule 1082, Commentary .02 and .03 to update the references to the proposed rule.

Examples of Specialist allocation pursuant to the Size Pro-Rata model

Example Number 1:

ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10

Orders/Quotes entered into Trading System in the following order of receipt:

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(v).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 20 of 73

Specialist: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (15 contracts)

Customer A 5 contracts offered at 1.10

Firm 5 contracts offered at 1.10

ROT 1: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (20 contracts) ROT 2: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Customer B 2 contracts offered at 1.10

Incoming Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts

Allocated as follows:

Size Pro-Rata results in Customer A trading 5 contracts, Customer B trading 2 contracts, Specialist trading 11 contracts (15/45*33 remaining), ROT1 trading 14 contracts (20/45*33), ROT2 trading 7 contracts (10/45*33), and then Specialist receiving an additional 1 lot based on random assignment.

Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer A trading 5 contracts, Customer B trading 2 contracts, and Specialist trading 40% of remaining 33 contracts= 13 (13.2 rounded down); then Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading 13 contracts (20/30*20) and ROT2 trading 6 contracts (10/30*20) and Specialist trading an additional 1 lot based on random assignment.

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would prevail in this example, pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1), because the Specialist Participation Enhancement receives greater allocation.

Example Number 2:

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10
```

PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt

Orders/Quotes entered into Trading System in the following order of receipt:

ROT 1: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Customer A 10 contracts offered at 1.10

Firm 15 offered at 1.10

Specialist: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

ROT 2: 1.00 bid (10contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Customer B: 10 contracts offered at 1.10

Incoming Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts

Allocated as follows:

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 21 of 73

Size Pro-Rata results in Customer A trading 10 contracts, Customer B trading 10 contracts, Specialist trading 6 contracts (10/30*20 remaining rounded down), ROT1 trading 6 contracts (10/30*20), ROT2 trading 6 contracts (10/30*20), and then ROT1 and Specialist each receiving an additional 1 lot based on random assignment.

Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer A trading 10 contracts, Customer B trading 10 contracts, and Specialist trading 40% of remaining 20 contracts = 8; then normal pro rata resumes with ROT1 and ROT2 each being allocated 6 contracts.

Pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1), the Specialist Participation Entitlement would prevail in this example because the Specialist Participation Entitlement receives greater allocation.

Example Number 3:

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10

PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10
```

Orders/Quotes entered into Trading System in the following order of receipt:

ROT 1: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Firm 25 offered at 1.10

Specialist: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (20 contracts)

ROT 2: 1.00 bid (5 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts) ROT 3 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (20 contracts)

Customer B: 2 contracts offered at 1.10

Incoming Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts

Allocated as follows:

Size Pro-Rata results in Customer B trading 2 contracts, ROT1 trading 6 contracts (10/60*38), Specialist trading 12 (20/60*38), ROT2 trading 6 contracts (10/60*38), and ROT3 trading 12 contracts (20/60*38) and then ROT1 and Specialist each trading an additional 1 contract by random assignment.

Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer B trading 2 contracts and Specialist trading 30% of remaining 38 contracts = 11 (11.4 rounded down); then normal pro rata resumes and ROT1 trades 6 contracts (10/40*27), ROT2 trades 6 (10/40*27), and ROT3 trades 13 contracts (20/40*27) and ROT1 and Specialist each trade an additional 1 lot by random assignment.

Size Pro-Rata allocation would prevail because the Specialist Participation Entitlement receives greater allocation pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 22 of 73

Examples of DROT Participation Entitlement under Size Pro-Rata Algorithm

Examples 1 through 3 below illustrate the manner in which a DROT will be allocated pursuant to the Size Pro-Rata model.

Example Number 1:

Assume a Specialist is assigned and the DROT is **not** the Specialist.

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10
PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt:
```

Specialist 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (15) Customer A 5 offered at 1.10 Firm 5 offered at 1.10 DROT 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (20) ROT1 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (10) Customer B 2 offered at 1.10

Incoming Directed Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts.

Determination of Allocation:

Size Pro-Rata would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, Specialist trading 11 (15/45*33remaining), DROT trading 14 (20/45*33), ROT1 trading 7 (10/45*33), and then Specialist receiving the residual 1 lot based on random assignment.

The DROT Priority would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT trading 40% of remaining 33 = 13 (13.2 rounded down); then normal Size Pro-Rata for remaining with the Specialist trading 12 (15/25*20) and ROT1 trading 8 (10/25*20).

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would not be calculated since the Specialist is not the DROT.

In this example, the Size Pro-Rata allocation would prevail since the DROT would receive the greater allocation this way.

Example Number 2:

Assume that no Specialist is present.

ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt: SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 23 of 73

DROT 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (15) Customer A 5 offered at 1.10 Firm 5 offered at 1.10 ROT1 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (20) ROT2 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (10) Customer B 2 offered at 1.10

Incoming Directed Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts.

Determination of Allocation:

Size Pro-Rata would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, DROT trading 11 (15/45*33remaining), ROT1 trading 14 (20/45*33), ROT2 trading 7 (10/45*33), and the DROT receiving the residual 1 lot based on random assignment.

The DROT Priority would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT trading 40% of remaining 33 = 13 (13.2 rounded down); then normal Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading 13 (20/30*20) and ROT2 trading 6 (10/30*20), and the DROT receiving the residual 1 lot based on random assignment.

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would not be calculated since the Specialist is not the DROT.

In this example, the DROT Priority would prevail since the DROT Priority would receive the greater allocation this way.

Example Number 3:

Assume that an Specialist is assigned and that the DROT is **also** the Specialist.

ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt:

> DROT/Specialist 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (15) Customer A 5 offered at 1.10 Firm 5 offered at 1.10 ROT1 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (30) Customer B 2 offered at 1.10

Incoming Directed Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts.

Determination of Allocation:

Size Pro-Rata would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, DROT/Specialist trading 11 (15/45*33 remaining), ROT1 trading 22 (30/45*33).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 24 of 73

The DROT Priority would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT/Specialist trading 40% of remaining 33 = 13 (13.2 rounded down); then Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading full size of 20.

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT/Specialist entitled to 60% of remaining 33 = 19 (19.8 rounded down) but capped at his size of 15 thus trading 15; then normal Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading 18.

In this example, the Specialist Participation Entitlement would prevail since the DROT is the Specialist and would receive a greater allocation this way.

Examples with Rounding and Remainders

Example Number 1:

Presume an order of 200 contracts is being allocated in the Exchange's Order Book. Allocation will first occur with Customer orders at the best price filled in time priority, since Customers always have priority on the Exchange. Presume there are 63 contracts remaining after Customer orders are filled. Assume no Specialist is present thus, ROTs would be allocated next pursuant to Rule 1089 in pro-rata fashion. Presume 5 ROTs are at the best price and the allocation of the remaining 63 contracts, after Customer orders have been satisfied, is as follows:

```
ROT A 1.10(30) x 1.20(30) --- 25.2 rounded down to 25 contracts

ROT B 1.10(15) x 1.20(15) --- 12.6 rounded down to 12 contracts

ROT C 1.10(10) x 1.20(10) --- 8.4 rounded down to 8 contracts

ROT D 1.10 (10) x 1.20(10) --- 8.4 rounded down to 8 contracts

ROT E 1.10 (10) x 1.20 (10) --- 8.4 rounded down to 8 contracts
```

After this Size Pro-Rata allocation, 2 contracts remain to be allocated. Presume for this trading day these ROTs are assigned the following order of assignment: first is ROT A, second is ROT B, third is ROT C, fourth is ROT D and fifth is ROT E. The 2 remaining contracts would be allocated as follows:

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 25 of 73

```
ROT B 1.10(15) x 1.20(15) --- 1 contract
ROT C 1.10(10) x 1.20(10) --- zero
ROT D 1.10 (10) x 1.20(10) --- zero
ROT E 1.10 (10) x 1.20 (10) --- zero
```

The next order which results in contracts remaining after the Size Pro-Rata allocation to ROTs will have such remaining contracts allocated one at a time beginning with ROT C since he was next in line based on that trading day's order of assignment, provided ROT C is at the best price with remaining interest.

Example Number 2:

Presume an order of 200 contracts is being allocated in the Exchange's Order Book. Presume all Customer orders and ROT interest that was at the best price have been filled and there remains 9 contracts to be executed.

Off-floor broker-dealers would be allocated next pursuant to Rule 1089 in a prorata fashion. Presume 3 off-floor broker-dealers are at the best price and their interest had arrived in the following order. The allocation of the remaining 9 contracts is as follows:

Off-floor broker-dealer C 1.10 (5) x 1.20 (5) – 4.09 contracts rounded down to 4

Off-floor broker-dealer B 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) - 2.45 contracts rounded down to 2

Off-floor broker-dealer A 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) - 2.45 contracts rounded down to 2

After this Size Pro-Rata allocation, there remains one contract to be allocated. This residual contract will be allocated in time priority as follows:

Off-floor broker-dealer C $1.10(5) \times 1.20(5) - 1$ contract

Off-floor broker-dealer B 1.10(3) x 1.20(3) – zero

Off-floor broker-dealer A 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) -- zero

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 26 of 73

b. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act³² in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act³³ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest, by conforming the format of the allocation to that of BX for purposes of comparing the allocation methods and also bringing clarity the electronic allocation rule. Also, the adoption of new rule text will allow the Exchange to effectively compete against other markets.

The Exchange's method for the allocation of electronic orders is restated in large part in proposed Rule 1089 to conform the Exchange's rule to that of BX, where applicable. The Exchange's allocation rule is similar to that of BX is most respects, except that rounding on the Exchange is always down, whereas BX is up or down to the nearest integer. Also, the allocation of the remaining contracts on the Exchange is different for Specialists and ROTs as compared to off-floor broker-dealers.

With respect to rounding, all rounding is down to the nearest integer. The Exchange believes that rounding down uniformly is consistent with the Exchange Act because it provides for the equitable allocation of shares among the Exchange's market participants. Also, this rule change will provide market participants will transparency as to the number of shares that they are entitled to receive as the result of rounding.

³² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

³³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 27 of 73

The Exchange's amendment to indicate the manner in which remaining contracts are allocated among market participants for simple interest specifies that for ROTS, remaining contracts allocated among equally priced ROTs are by random assignment of ROTs each trading day in accordance with the trading day's order assignment, provided the ROT is at the best price at which the order is being traded. For off-floor broker-dealers, odd lots are allocated in time priority, provided the off-floor broker-dealers are at the best price at which the order is being traded. The Exchange believes that the allocation of remaining contracts uniformly for all ROTs, and separately for all off-floor broker-dealers, is consistent with the Exchange Act because it provides for the equitable allocation of shares among the Exchange's market participants. With respect to off-floor broker-dealers, the method is consistent with the Act because it relies simply on time priority, an accepted method of allocation utilized by many options exchange to prioritize orders.

Specifically, with respect to the allocation method for remaining contracts for ROTs, this random assignment is basically a round robin approach to the allocation. The Exchange believes that this method results in a fair and equitable allocation of shares of these market participants because each trading day the Exchange creates a new order of assignment to allocate ROTs and that order provided an independent method to assign evenly among ROTs. Also, each trading day that assignment changes so that no one ROT would have the ability to receive a greater allocation than another ROT. The Exchange believes that its assignment method is not subject to gaming since it is random and therefore complies with the Act because it is aimed at the protection of investors.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 28 of 73

Also, this rule change will provide market participants will transparency as to the number of shares that they are entitled to receive as the result of allocation remaining contracts.

One other important distinction is with respect to DROT Priority, if the Specialist is the DROT, the Specialist will receive the entitlement pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(iii). BX does not distinguish DMM's, i.e. the BX equivalent of a DROT, as between the LMM, i.e. the BX equivalent of a Specialist, and other Market Makers. This is an important distinction on Phlx because each option has a Specialist assigned to that option. The Exchange's System will identify if the Specialist is the DROT because there always is a Specialist on each option and similar to the priority scheme generally, the Specialist will receive an allocation over a ROT in that case. The Exchange believes that permitting the Specialist to receive the allocation over the ROT if the Specialist is the DROT is consistent with the Act because today Phlx permits the Specialist to be ahead of ROTs generally within its allocation method. Specialists have higher quoting obligations as compared to ROTs (90% versus 60% of the series in which assigned). 35

The Exchange is also introducing three new provisions with respect to Directed Orders and Specialists. The Exchange's proposal to add rules similar to BX which address the intersection between the DROT and Specialist is consistent with the Act because the natural conflicts as between the entitlements is addressed in more detail and consistent with the manner in which BX operates today. The Exchange will permit a Specialist to receive the higher of all entitlements pursuant to proposed Rule 1089. The

³⁴ See Phlx Rules 501 and 1020.

^{35 &}lt;u>See</u> Phlx Rule 1014(b)(ii)(D).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 29 of 73

new language at proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(c) recognizes that a Specialist could be the DROT and receive a higher allocation. Further, the text at proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) also recognizes a situation where the Specialist is not the DROT and describes the impact to the Directed Order allocation. In this case, the Specialist Participation Entitlement will not apply to the Directed Order. Finally, the provision relating to orders for 5 contracts or fewer are allocated to the Specialist. The Exchange's proposed text at proposed Rule 1089(A)(2) makes clear that if the order of 5 contracts or fewer were directed to a DROT who is not the Specialist, quoting at or better than the NBBO, the provision related to the allocation to the Specialist at 1089(A)(2) would not apply. The Exchange believes that conforming the intersection between Specialist and DROT entitlements to BX rules will not only offer Exchange members the same opportunities for allocation as BX Participants, but will also bring certainty to the Exchange' allocation rules when multiple priorities may apply.

Also with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer the Exchange is adding more specificity to proposed Rule at 1080(A)(1)(ii)(2) to note that if a Customer is resting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to ROT Priority. Also, if the Specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to ROT Priority. The Exchange believes that bypassing the Specialist entitlement, if a Customer is at the best price, and allocating the Specialist along with other ROTs is consistent with the Act in that the Exchange

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 30 of 73

continues to prioritize the Customer ahead of all other market participants. Any remainder, which would be 4 or less contracts, would simply be allocated in accordance with ROT Priority for simplicity. This_proposed rule text provides members with certainty as to the method of allocation concerning 5 contracts or fewer.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The Exchange does not believe the proposed change will cause any unnecessary burden on inter-market competition because all Exchange members may apply to be either Specialists or ROTs and, presuming all requirements are met, would be entitled to receive participation entitlements provided they direct orders and those orders are executed by those DROTs.

With respect to rounding, all rounding is down to the nearest integer. The Exchange does not believe that the proposal to round all remaining contracts down to the nearest integer imposes an undue burden on competition because the Exchange will uniformly round in this matter.

With respect to allocating remaining contracts, the Exchange does not believe that the proposal to allocate remaining contracts for ROTs by random assignment creates an undue burden on competition because the method, which is basically round robin, results in a fair and equitable allocation of shares of these market participants. The Exchange does not believe that allocating remaining contracts to off-floor broker-dealers in time priority creates an undue burden on competition because the method will be applied uniformly among these participants.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 31 of 73

Permitting Specialists to receive an allocation over ROTs when the Specialist is the DROT does not create an undue burden on competition because today Phlx permits the Specialist to be ahead of ROTs generally within its allocation method. Specialists have higher quoting obligations as compared to ROTs (90% versus 60% of the series in which assigned).³⁶

The Exchange's proposal to add new provisions relating to Directed Orders and Specialists does not create an undue burden on competition because BX already has similar rules in place and the new rules bring certainty to the Exchange' allocation rules when multiple priorities may apply.

- 5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>
 - No written comments were either solicited or received.
- 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

The Exchange does not consent to an extension of the time period for Commission action.

- 7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)</u>

 Not applicable.
- 8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission</u>

This proposal is similar to BX. The Exchange's method for the allocation of electronic orders is restated in large part in proposed Rule 1089 to conform the Exchange's rule to that of BX, where applicable. The Exchange's allocation rule is similar to that of BX is most respects, except that rounding on the Exchange is always

³⁶ Id.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 32 of 73

down, whereas BX is up or down to the nearest integer. Also, the allocation of the remaining contracts on the Exchange is different for Specialists and ROTs as compared to off-floor broker-dealers. BX utilizes a Price-Time model to allocate remainders. One other important distinction is with respect to DROT Priority, if the Specialist is the DROT, the Specialist will receive the entitlement. BX does not distinguish DMM's as between the LMM and other Market Makers. This is an important distinction on Phlx because each option has a Specialist assigned to that option. On BX, each option may not have an LMM assigned to the option. The Exchange's System will identify if the Specialist is the DROT because there always is a Specialist on each option and similar to the priority scheme generally, the Specialist will receive an allocation over a ROT in that case.

The Exchange proposes new rule text related to Directed Orders to offer market participants the same opportunities available to BX Participants. There are three new provision proposed herein. First. the Exchange proposes rule text in proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) which would permit a Specialist to receive the DROT Priority if that allocation number were the greater number as between the Specialist Participation Entitlement, the ROT Priority and the DROT Priority. BX Rules permit such an allocation pursuant to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii). Only one allocation would be available to the Specialist, as is the case today. This proposal would permit the Specialist to receive the DROT Priority if that were the greater allocation number. Second, the Exchange proposes that when a Directed Order is received and the DROT's quote is at or improves on the NBBO and the Specialist is at the same price level and is not the DROT, the Specialist participation entitlement set forth proposed Rule

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 33 of 73

1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) will not apply with respect to such Directed Order. BX's Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii)(1) provide for the same restriction to provide rules that prioritize Specialists and DROTs at the same price level which are at or improve the NBBO. Third, with respect to an order of 5 contracts or fewer that is directed to a DROT, who is not the Specialist, quoting at better than the NBBO, proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(2) shall not apply. This is similar to BX Rule at Chapter VI, Section 10(C)(2)(ii)(2).

- Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act
 Not applicable.
- 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act
 Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

- 1. Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.
- 5. Text of the proposed rule change.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 34 of 73

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. ; File No. SR-Phlx-2016-125)

December ___, 2016

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change to Reserve Rule 1014(g)(vii) and (viii)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on December 19, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange proposes to reserve Rule 1014(g)(vii) and (viii), which describes the allocation of automatically executed trades, and adopt a new Rule 1089 and title that rule "Execution Priority and Processing in the System."

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at http://nasdaqphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 35 of 73

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange proposes to remove the current rule text describing the allocation of automatically executed trades from Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii) and (viii) and, in its place, adopt a new Phlx Rule 1089 titled "Execution Priority and Processing in the System" to describe the manner in which the Exchange will process, prioritize and allocate transactions in the System.³ In adopting Rule 1089, the Exchange proposes to model the rule on NASDAQ BX, Inc.'s ("BX") "Book Processing" rule at Chapter VI, Section 10. The Exchange will note the similarities to that rule throughout the proposal, where applicable.

The Exchange today applies a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders/quotes to each level of priority separately, other than Customers.⁴ Customer

For purposes of this rule, the term "System" shall mean the automated system for order execution and trade reporting owned and operated by the Exchange.

For example, Size Pro-Rata allocation is applied to market maker priority and separately for all other remaining interest. On Phlx an "Options Market Maker" includes Specialists and Registered Options Traders ("ROTs") (which includes Streaming Quote Traders ("SQTs") and Remote Streaming Quote Traders ("RSQTs")) and floor market makers. A Specialist is an Exchange member who

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 36 of 73

priority is described in greater detail below. Currently, Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(b) describes the allocation algorithm utilizing a formula:

Equal percentage based on the number of Phlx XL Participants quoting or with limit orders at BBO (Component A)

Pro rata percentage based on size of Phlx XL participant quotes/limit orders (Component B)

Incoming × Order Size

2

The current rule at 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(b) states, "Component A: The percentage to be used for Component A shall be an equal percentage, derived by dividing 100 by the number of Phlx XL participants quoting at the BBO. Component B: Size Pro Rata Allocation. The percentage to be used for Component B of the allocation algorithm formula is that percentage that the size of each Phlx XL Participant's quote at the best price represents relative to the total number of contracts in the disseminated quote."

The final weighting is a weighted average of the percentages derived for Components A and B multiplied by the size of the incoming order. Initially, the

is registered as an options specialist. <u>See</u> Phlx Rule 1020(a). A ROT is a regular member or a foreign currency options participant of the Exchange located on the trading floor who has received permission from the Exchange to trade in options for his own account. An SQT is an ROT who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such SQT is assigned. An SQT may only submit such quotations while such SQT is physically present on the floor of the Exchange. An SQT may only trade in a market making capacity in classes of options in which the SQT is assigned. An RSQT is an ROT that is a member affiliated with and RSQTO with no physical trading floor presence who has received permission from the Exchange to generate and submit option quotations electronically in options to which such RSQT has been assigned. A qualified RSQT may function as a Remote Specialist upon Exchange approval. A floor market maker is known as a non-SQT ROT in Rule 1014(b)(ii)(C). A non-SQT ROT is an ROT who is neither an SQT nor an RSQT.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 37 of 73

weighting of components A and B shall be equal, represented mathematically by the formula: (Component A Percentage + Component B Percentage)/2) * incoming order size. The final weighting formula for equity options, but shall be determined by a three-member special committee of the Board of Directors, chaired by the President of the Exchange, and two Directors (the "Special Committee"), and apply uniformly across all equity options. The Special Committee set the Component A to a value of "0" and therefore all of the weighting has been applied to Component B leading to an allocation which is purely Size Pro-Rata.

At this time, the Exchange proposes to eliminate the formula, the weighting process and the ability to determine values for the weighting and simply state that it will apply a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders. This is the manner in which Phlx allocates today. The Exchange is adopting rule text to memorialize the manner in which the allocation operates today. The Exchange proposes the following rule text to describe the Size-Pro Rata execution algorithm, "The Exchange will apply a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders. The System shall execute trading interest within the System in price priority, meaning it will execute all trading interest at the best price level within the System before executing trading interest at the next best price."

Equity options includes Exchange-Traded Fund Shares, Index Options, and U.S. dollar- settled Foreign Currency Options.

Phlx offers both an electronic and floor model for the execution of options transactions. Floor transactions are subject to Phlx Rule 1014(g)(v).

No. 3 See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A). This rule text is similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(A) and (B) with respect to BX's Price/Time and Size Pro-Rata execution algorithms.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 38 of 73

Rule 1014(g)(vii) currently does not address the manner in which the System handles rounding. The Exchange proposes to memorialize in proposed Rule 1089(A) the following language to clarify the manner in which the Exchange handles rounding today, "If the result is not a whole number, it will be rounded down to the nearest whole number." The Exchange believes that clearly indicating the manner in which rounding occurs within the order book will bring clarity to the allocation process. The Exchange notes that rounding will be uniformly applied to all market participants.

The Exchange proposes to clearly define the priority overlays that are currently applied today. Today, the Exchange allocates contracts utilizing a Customer Priority Size Pro-Rata allocation model. First, Customer contracts are allocated in Price-Time priority⁹. Thereafter, the Exchange allocates interest to electronic orders/quotes of Options Market Makers utilizing a Size Pro-Rata allocation model. Lastly, the Exchange allocates interest to off-floor broker dealer orders ¹⁰ utilizing a Size Pro-Rata allocation model, which includes orders of all market participants, excluding Customers and Options Market Maker because they have already been allocated. Today, as part of the Size Pro-Rata allocation to the Specialists and DROTs, the Exchange may apply a

Phlx and BX round differently. BX's rounds up or down to the nearest integer. See BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10 (1)(C)(1)(b)(1).

Price-Time allocations are filled among Customer orders in time priority.

The term "off-floor broker-dealer order" means an order delivered from off the floor of the Exchange by or on behalf of a broker-dealer for the proprietary account(s) of such broker-dealer, including an order for a market maker located on an exchange or trading floor other than the Exchange's trading floor delivered for the proprietary account(s) of such market maker.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 39 of 73

Specialist Participation Entitlement or DROT Priority.¹¹ Each step is described below in greater detail.

Customer Priority

Customer orders are always allocated first at a given price. The Exchange will prioritize orders by the highest bid and lowest offer, except that Customer orders shall have priority over non-Customer interest at the same price, provided the Customer order is an executable order. ¹² If there are two or more Customer orders for the same options series at the same price, priority shall be afforded to such Customer orders in the sequence in which they are received by the trading system (hereinafter "System"). ¹³ No participant_shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with their quotation or order.

Currently, Customer priority is described at Rule 1014(g)(viii)(A) which refers to Rule 1014(g)(i)(A). The current rule text simply states that public customer market and

A Specialist or ROT that receives a Directed Order is a DROT. The term "Directed Order" means any order (other than a stop or stop-limit order as defined in Rule 1066) to buy or sell which has been directed to a particular specialist, Remote Streaming Quote Trader or "RSQT", or Streaming Quote Trader or "SQT" by an Order Flow Provider. See Phlx Rule 1080(1)(i)(A).

An executable order would be for example a non-contingent order or a contingent order that can have its contingency satisfied, such as an All-or-none order. All-or-none ("AON") means a contingency market or limit order which is to be executed in its entirety or not at all. Of note, Customer AON would not be considered a "Protective Bid" or "Protected Offer" pursuant to Phlx Rule 1083(o), because it is non-displayed interest.

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(i).

Phlx Rule 1014(g)(i)(A) states that equity option, index option and U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency option orders of controlled accounts are required to yield priority to customer orders when competing at the same price. BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(1)(a) similarly prioritizes Public Customer orders first.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 40 of 73

marketable limit orders are executed first. The Exchange believes that its proposed rule text, which is similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(1)(a), provides more clarity to the current rule text in explaining the manner in which Customer orders are being allocated. The manner in which Customer orders are allocated is not being amended, simply restated for clarity. For purposes of this Rule, a Customer order does not include a Professional Order. ¹⁵

Enhanced Specialist Priority

After all Customer orders have been fully executed, upon receipt of an order, provided the Specialist's bid/offer is at or improves on the Exchange's disseminated price, the Specialists, if any, and ROTs will be afforded entitlements and then to off-floor brokers. The Specialist shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the displayed size associated with such Specialist. Specialist Participation Entitlements are applied throughout the trading day as well as during the opening process, except that, pursuant to sub-paragraph (A)(1)(ii)(2), the entitlement shall only apply until after the opening and shall not apply to auctions.

The term Professional means any person or entity that (i) is not a broker or dealer in securities, and (ii) places more than 390 orders in listed options per day on average during a calendar month for its own beneficial account(s). A professional will be treated in the same manner as an off-floor broker-dealer for purposes of Rules 1014(g)(except with respect to all-or-none orders, which will be treated like customer orders, except that orders submitted pursuant to Phlx Rule 1080(n) for the beneficial account(s) of professionals with an all-or-none designation will be treated in the same manner as off-floor broker-dealer orders), 1033(e), 1064.02 (except professional orders will be considered customer orders subject to facilitation), 1080(n) and 1080.07 as well as Options Floor Procedure Advices B-6 and F-5. Member organizations must indicate whether orders are for professionals. See Phlx Rule 1000(14).

See current rule text at Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(c).

¹⁷ <u>See proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii).</u>

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 41 of 73

The proposed rule provides that a Specialist shall receive the greater of (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement; (2) ROT Priority or (3) DROT Priority. Today, the Exchange's current rule text states, "For options subject to the Enhanced Specialist Participation as set forth in Rule 1014(g)(ii), the specialist shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts (not to exceed the size of the specialist's quote) that is the greater of the amount he would be entitled to receive pursuant to Rule 1014(g)(ii), or the amount he would otherwise receive pursuant to the operation of the algorithm..."¹⁸ The Exchange would continue to allocate to the Specialist the greater of the (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement or the (2) ROT Priority. The Exchange's proposal adds a new allocation option for the Specialist. The Exchange proposes to offer the greater of the (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement; (2) ROT Priority or (3) DROT Priority, when the Specialist is the DROT, similar to BX. 19 Only the addition of the DROT priority is new, the remainder of this provision regarding this allocation exists today in the Phlx Rule. The Exchange is proposing to adopt the portion relating to the DROT Priority, similar to BX Rules.

Each of these terms will be described herein. The Specialist Participation

Entitlement is applicable when the Specialist is at the same price with at least one other SQT or RSQT and the number of contracts is greater than 5.²⁰ As proposed, the Specialist is entitled to receive the greater of his Size Pro-Rata share, DROT Priority when the Specialist is the DROT, or the Enhanced Specialist Priority which is an

See current rule text at Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(c).

¹⁹ See BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii)(1).

^{20 &}lt;u>See</u> Phlx Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(a).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 42 of 73

enhanced participation of at least a certain percentage of the remainder of the order depending on the number or ROTs. The Specialist Participation Entitlement shall be 60% of remaining interest if there is one other ROT at that price. The Specialist Participation Entitlement shall be 40% of remaining interest if there are two ROTs at that price. Finally, the Specialist Participation Entitlement 30% of remaining interest if there are more than two other ROTs at that price. ²¹

Current Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B) states, "[q]uotations entered electronically by the specialist, an RSQT or an SQT that do not cause an order resting on the limit order book to become due for execution may be matched at any time by quotations entered electronically by the specialist and/or other SQTs and RSQTs, and by ROT limit orders and shall be deemed to be on parity, subject to the requirement that orders of controlled accounts must yield priority to customer orders as set forth in Rule 1014(g)(i)(A)." Rule 1014(g)(i)(A)(1) states, "The Enhanced Specialist Participation is a percentage of the Remainder of the Order to which the specialist is entitled." Rule 1014(g)(ii) describes the Enhanced Specialist Participation as follows"

'In equity option, index option and U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency options classes, when the registered specialist is on parity with a controlled account as defined in subparagraph (i) above, in accordance with Exchange Rules 119 and 120 and the number of contracts to be bought or sold is greater than five, the specialist is entitled to receive an enhanced participation of 30% of the Remainder of the Order ("Enhanced Specialist Participation"), except in the following circumstances: (1) where there is one controlled account on parity, the specialist is entitled to receive 60% of the Remainder of the Order; or (2) where there are two controlled accounts on parity, in which case, the specialist is entitled to receive 40% of the Remainder of the Order.'

^{21 &}lt;u>See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(a).</u>

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 43 of 73

The Exchange is not amending the percentages related to Specialist Enhanced Participation with this proposal. The language proposed herein tracks language similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii).²²

Today, orders for 5 contracts or fewer shall be allocated to the Specialist, provided, however, that on a quarterly basis, the Exchange will evaluate what percentage of the volume executed on the Exchange is comprised of orders for 5 contracts or fewer allocated to Specialists, and will reduce the size of the orders included in this provision if such percentage is over 25%. In order to be entitled to receive the 5 contract or fewer order preference set forth in this sub-paragraph (A)(1)(ii)(2), the Specialist must be quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price with no other interest present which has a higher priority, and shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with its quote.²³ The Exchange proposes to add even more specificity to the proposed Rule at 1089(A)(1)(ii)(2) to note that if a Customer is resting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to ROT Priority. Also, if the Specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer. This subparagraph (A)(1)(ii)(2) shall only apply until after the opening and shall not apply to auctions.²⁴

The Specialist is similar to a Lead Market Maker or "LMM" on BX.

 $[\]underline{\text{See}} \text{ Phlx Rule } 1014(g)(\text{vii})(B)(1)(a).$

For example, the Exchange's PIXL auction and the opening process would not be subject to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1). PIXLSM is the Exchange's price

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 44 of 73

The Exchange memorializes the manner in which rounding will be handled in proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii). If rounding would result in an allocation of less than one contract, a Specialist will receive one contract.

Directed Orders

A Specialist or ROT who receives a Directed Order is a "DROT" with respect to that Directed Order. Today, the Exchange allocates Directed Orders first to Customers orders. After all Customer orders have been fully executed, upon receipt of a Directed Order, provided the DROT's bid/offer is at the better of the internal PBBO²⁵ excluding AON orders or the NBBO, the DROT receives a participation entitlement (DROT Priority). If the Specialist is the DROT, the Specialist receives the entitlement. The DROT is not entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the displayed size at a given price point associated with such DROT. DROT participation entitlements are considered after the opening process. Pursuant to the DROT participation entitlement when the Specialist is the DROT, the DROT receives, with respect to a Directed Order, the greater of (1) the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed Specialist's quote, SQT and RSQT quotes, and non-SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed Specialist's quote; (2) 40% of remaining interest; or (3) the Specialist Participation

improvement mechanism known as Price Improvement XL or PIXL. A member may electronically submit for execution an order it represents as agent on behalf of a public customer, broker-dealer, or any other entity ("PIXL Order") against principal interest or against any other order (except as provided in Rule 1080(n)(i)(E)) it represents as agent ("Initiating Order"), provided it submits the PIXL order for electronic execution into the PIXL Auction pursuant to Rule 1080. See Phlx Rule 1080(n). The opening process is explained in Phlx Rule 1017.

The PBBO is the Exchange's best bid or offer.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 45 of 73

Entitlement in subsection 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(c) (if the DROT is also the Specialist).²⁶ The Exchange is not amending the DROT Priority, rather the Exchange is relocating the current rule text into a format similar to that of BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii).

If there are multiple DROT quotes at the same price which are at or improve on the NBBO when the Directed Order is received, the DROT participation entitlement applies only to the DROT quote which has the highest time priority at the last price executed upon receipt of the Directed Order which is equal to or better than the NBBO; the DROT quote that received the Directed Order may not receive any further allocation of the Directed Order, except as described in ROT Priority below. If rounding would result in an allocation of less than one contract, the DROT shall receive one contract. As is the case today, if the DROT Priority is not awarded at the time of receipt of the Directed Order, no DROT priority will apply and the order will be handled as though it were not a Directed Order for the remainder of the life of the order. The Exchange is not amending the DROT Priority, rather the Exchange is relocating the current rule text into a format similar to that of BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii). Under no circumstances would the DROT quote receive an allocation of greater than 40% of an order at a price at which they receive a directed entitlement, unless it resulted from the allocation of remaining contracts.

Directed Orders

By way of background, in May 2005 the Exchange adopted rules for Phlx XL that permit Exchange Specialists, SQTs, and RSQTs to receive Directed Orders, and to

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(iii). See similar rule text in Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii)(A) and (B).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 46 of 73

provide a participation guarantee to Specialists, SOTs and RSOTs that receive Directed Orders.²⁷ Pursuant to Phlx Rule 1080(1)(ii) a Directed Order that is executed electronically, except for Directed Complex Orders, shall be automatically executed and allocated to those quotations and orders at the National Best Bid or Offer ("NBBO") when the Exchange's disseminated price is at the NBBO at the time of receipt of the Directed Orders and the member or member organization is quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price. A Directed Order is defined in 1080(1)(i)(A) as any order (other than a stop or stop-limit order as defined in Rule 1066) to buy or sell which has been directed to a particular specialist, RSQT, or SQT by an Order Flow Provider or "OFP." Pursuant to Exchange Rule 1080(1), OFPs must transmit Directed Orders to a particular specialist, SQT, or RSQT electronically. If the Exchange's disseminated best bid or offer is at the NBBO when the Directed Order is received, the Directed Order is automatically executed on Phlx XL and allocated to the orders and quotes represented in the Exchange's quotation. A Directed Specialist, SQT, or RSQT will receive a participation allocation pursuant to Exchange Rule 1014(g)(viii) if the Directed Specialist, SQT, or RSQT was quoting at the NBBO at the time that the Directed Order was received.²⁸ Otherwise, the automatic execution will be allocated to those quotations and orders at the NBBO pursuant to Exchange Rule 1014(g)(vii).²⁹ Directed Orders can be sent not only on behalf of public customers but also on behalf of broker dealers. Directed Orders are

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51759 (May 27, 2005), 70 FR 32860 (June 6, 2005). See also Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii) (setting forth the automatic trade allocation algorithm for Directed Orders).

²⁸ See Phlx Rule 1080(l)(ii).

²⁹ See Phlx Rule 1080(l)(iii).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 47 of 73

limited to orders sent on an agency basis by an OFP and not on behalf of the sender's proprietary account. To qualify as a Directed Order, an order must be delivered to the Exchange electronically. Today, both simple and Complex Orders may be submitted to the Exchange as Directed Orders and receive an allocation.

Today, as noted above, the Exchange describes the allocation of Directed Orders at Rule 1014(g)(viii). Directed Orders are first allocated to Customers, then to the Directed Specialist as specified in Rule 1014(g)(viii)(A) and (B). The Exchange today applies a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithms to electronic orders, as described herein, other than Customers, including for Directed Orders. Currently, Rule 1014(g)(viii)(B)(1) and (2) describes the allocation algorithm utilizing a formula:

Equal percentage based on the Number of SQTs, RSQTs and Non-SQT ROTs quoting or with limit orders at BBO (Component A)

Pro rata percentage based on size of SQT, RSQT and Non-SQT quotes and limit orders (Component B)

Remaining A

The current rule at 1014(g)(viii)(B)(1) and (2) describes the weighting. The Exchange proposes to eliminate this formula and process for setting the final weighting and instead utilize the allocation rule text described herein, which the Exchange believes provides more clarity and consistency to the manner in which the allocation method is described

Phlx Rule 1014(g)(viii)(B) proposes that after Customer limit orders resting on the book are allocated, the contracts remaining in the Directed Order, if any, shall be allocated automatically as follows: (1) The Directed Specialist (where applicable), shall be allocated a number of contracts that is the greater of: (a) the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed Specialist's quote, SQT and RSQT quotes, and non-SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed Specialist's quote; (b) the Enhanced Specialist Participation as described in Rule 1014(g)(ii); or (c) 40% of the remaining contracts.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 48 of 73

for Directed Orders. The Exchange proposes new rule text related to Directed Orders to offer market participants the same opportunities available to BX Options Participants.

First, the Exchange proposes in Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(c), rule text which permits a Specialist to receive the DROT Priority if that allocation number were the greater number as between the Specialist Participation Entitlement, the ROT Priority and the DROT Priority. This is the same behavior on Phlx today. BX Rules permit such an allocation pursuant to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iii). Only one allocation would be available to the Specialist, as is the case today. This proposal would permit the Specialist to receive the DROT Priority if that would result in the greatest allocation.

The next two provisions are new. The Exchange believes that the addition of these provisions will enhance competition by affording the Exchange the opportunity to compete for directed order flow. Each provision is described below.

The Exchange proposes that when a Directed Order is received and the DROT's quote is at or improves on the NBBO and the Specialist is at the same price level and is not the DROT, the Specialist participation entitlement set forth proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) will not apply with respect to such Directed Order. BX's Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(ii)(1) provide for the same restriction to provide rules that prioritize Specialists and DROTs at the same price level which are at or improve the NBBO. The Exchange does not apply the Specialist Participation Entitlement in this scenario today. Adopting the BX language will provide specificity to proposed Rule 1089 where these priorities intersect for a certain and uniform outcome.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 49 of 73

Next, with respect to an order of 5 contracts or fewer that is directed to a DROT who is not the Specialist, quoting at better than the NBBO, proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) shall not apply. This is similar to BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(C)(2)(ii)(2). The Exchange does not apply the Specialist Entitlement in this scenario today. Adopting the BX language will provide specificity to the rule with respect to the intersection of these priorities for a certain and uniform outcome.

ROT Priority

After all Customer orders have been fully executed, provided the Customer order is an executable order, and Specialist Participation Entitlement or DROT Priority are applied, if applicable, remaining ROT interest shall have priority over all other orders at the same price. If there are two or more ROT quotes or orders for the same options series at the same price, those shall be executed based on the Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm. If there are contracts remaining, such contracts shall be allocated by randomly assigning all ROTs an order of allocation each trading day, and allocating orders, quotes and sweeps in accordance with the trading day's order assignment, provided the ROT is at the best price at which the order, quote or sweep is being traded. The Exchange is not amending this rule text which exists at Rule 1014(g)(vii)(A). This is similar to Market Maker Priority on BX at Chapter VI, Section 10(C)(2)(iv).

The Exchange is deleting the rule text currently in Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B) as this language concerning parity and controlled accounts is no longer necessary. The Exchange's new rule text in proposed Rule 1089 discusses orders at the same price and identifies each market participant order of priority with respect to allocation. The "parity" terminology is not necessary and simplified within proposed Rule 1089.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 50 of 73

All Other Remaining Interest

If there are contracts remaining after all ROT interest has been fully executed, such contracts are executed based on the Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm. This is the manner in which the Exchange allocates remaining interest today pursuant to Rule 1014(g)(vii)(B)(1)(d). The Exchange is conforming the rule text to that of BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(iv). In the event that there are remaining contracts to be allocated for interest after rounding, such remaining contracts will be allocated in time priority, provided the off-floor broker-dealers, which includes orders of all market participants other than Customers, ROTs and Specialists, are at the best price at which the order is being traded. This rule text exists at Rule 1014(g)(viii)(B)(3). With respect to the rounding, the Exchange's rules do not currently offer this specificity. The Exchange believes that adding information specific to rounding will provide greater clarity to the Exchange's allocation method.

Finally, the Exchange notes that only one participation entitlement, Specialist Entitlement or DROT Priority, may be applied on a given order or quote. This provision exists in the BX Rules at Chapter VI, Section 10(1)(C)(2)(v). Although the Exchange applies no more than one participation entitlement today on a given quote or order, the Exchange is adopting this language to add further clarity to proposed Rule 1089.

Cross-References

The Exchange is amending the references to Rule 1014 in Rule 1082, Commentary .02 and .03 to update the references to the proposed rule.

Examples of Specialist allocation pursuant to the Size Pro-Rata model

See proposed Phlx Rule 1089(A)(1)(v).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 51 of 73

Example Number 1:

ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10

Orders/Quotes entered into Trading System in the following order of receipt:

Specialist: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (15 contracts)

Customer A 5 contracts offered at 1.10

Firm 5 contracts offered at 1.10

ROT 1: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (20 contracts) ROT 2: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Customer B 2 contracts offered at 1.10

Incoming Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts

Allocated as follows:

Size Pro-Rata results in Customer A trading 5 contracts, Customer B trading 2 contracts, Specialist trading 11 contracts (15/45*33 remaining), ROT1 trading 14 contracts (20/45*33), ROT2 trading 7 contracts (10/45*33), and then Specialist receiving an additional 1 lot based on random assignment.

Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer A trading 5 contracts, Customer B trading 2 contracts, and Specialist trading 40% of remaining 33 contracts= 13 (13.2 rounded down); then Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading 13 contracts (20/30*20) and ROT2 trading 6 contracts (10/30*20) and Specialist trading an additional 1 lot based on random assignment.

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would prevail in this example, pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1), because the Specialist Participation Enhancement receives greater allocation.

Example Number 2:

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10
PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt
```

Orders/Quotes entered into Trading System in the following order of receipt:

ROT 1: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Customer A 10 contracts offered at 1.10

Firm 15 offered at 1.10

Specialist: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

ROT 2: 1.00 bid (10contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Customer B: 10 contracts offered at 1.10

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 52 of 73

Incoming Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts

Allocated as follows:

Size Pro-Rata results in Customer A trading 10 contracts, Customer B trading 10 contracts, Specialist trading 6 contracts (10/30*20 remaining rounded down), ROT1 trading 6 contracts (10/30*20), ROT2 trading 6 contracts (10/30*20), and then ROT1 and Specialist each receiving an additional 1 lot based on random assignment.

Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer A trading 10 contracts, Customer B trading 10 contracts, and Specialist trading 40% of remaining 20 contracts = 8; then normal pro rata resumes with ROT1 and ROT2 each being allocated 6 contracts.

Pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1), the Specialist Participation Entitlement would prevail in this example because the Specialist Participation Entitlement receives greater allocation.

Example Number 3:

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10

PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10
```

Orders/Quotes entered into Trading System in the following order of receipt:

ROT 1: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

Firm 25 offered at 1.10

Specialist: 1.00 bid (10 contracts) – 1.10 offer (20 contracts)

ROT 2: 1.00 bid (5 contracts) – 1.10 offer (10 contracts)

ROT 3 1.00 bid (10 contracts) -1.10 offer (20 contracts)

Customer B: 2 contracts offered at 1.10

Incoming Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts

Allocated as follows:

Size Pro-Rata results in Customer B trading 2 contracts, ROT1 trading 6 contracts (10/60*38), Specialist trading 12 (20/60*38), ROT2 trading 6 contracts (10/60*38), and ROT3 trading 12 contracts (20/60*38) and then ROT1 and Specialist each trading an additional 1 contract by random assignment.

Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer B trading 2 contracts and Specialist trading 30% of remaining 38 contracts = 11 (11.4 rounded down); then normal pro rata resumes and ROT1 trades 6 contracts (10/40*27), ROT2

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 53 of 73

trades 6 (10/40*27), and ROT3 trades 13 contracts (20/40*27) and ROT1 and Specialist each trade an additional 1 lot by random assignment.

Size Pro-Rata allocation would prevail because the Specialist Participation Entitlement receives greater allocation pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)

Examples of DROT Participation Entitlement under Size Pro-Rata Algorithm

Examples 1 through 3 below illustrate the manner in which a DROT will be allocated pursuant to the Size Pro-Rata model.

Example Number 1:

Assume a Specialist is assigned and the DROT is **not** the Specialist.

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10
PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt:
```

Specialist 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (15) Customer A 5 offered at 1.10 Firm 5 offered at 1.10 DROT 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (20) ROT1 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (10) Customer B 2 offered at 1.10

Incoming Directed Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts.

Determination of Allocation:

Size Pro-Rata would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, Specialist trading 11 (15/45*33remaining), DROT trading 14 (20/45*33), ROT1 trading 7 (10/45*33), and then Specialist receiving the residual 1 lot based on random assignment.

The DROT Priority would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT trading 40% of remaining 33 = 13 (13.2 rounded down); then normal Size Pro-Rata for remaining with the Specialist trading 12 (15/25*20) and ROT1 trading 8 (10/25*20).

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would not be calculated since the Specialist is not the DROT.

In this example, the Size Pro-Rata allocation would prevail since the DROT would receive the greater allocation this way.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 54 of 73

Example Number 2:

Assume that no Specialist is present.

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10
PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt:
```

DROT 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (15) Customer A 5 offered at 1.10 Firm 5 offered at 1.10 ROT1 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (20) ROT2 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (10) Customer B 2 offered at 1.10

Incoming Directed Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts.

Determination of Allocation:

Size Pro-Rata would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, DROT trading 11 (15/45*33remaining), ROT1 trading 14 (20/45*33), ROT2 trading 7 (10/45*33), and the DROT receiving the residual 1 lot based on random assignment.

The DROT Priority would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT trading 40% of remaining 33 = 13 (13.2 rounded down); then normal Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading 13 (20/30*20) and ROT2 trading 6 (10/30*20), and the DROT receiving the residual 1 lot based on random assignment.

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would not be calculated since the Specialist is not the DROT.

In this example, the DROT Priority would prevail since the DROT Priority would receive the greater allocation this way.

Example Number 3:

Assume that an Specialist is assigned and that the DROT is **also** the Specialist.

```
ABBO = 1.00 - 1.10
PBBO = 1.00 - 1.10 comprised of the following in order of receipt:
```

DROT/Specialist 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (15) Customer A 5 offered at 1.10 Firm 5 offered at 1.10 ROT1 1.00 (10) – 1.10 (30) Customer B 2 offered at 1.10 SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 55 of 73

Incoming Directed Order to pay 1.10 for 40 contracts.

Determination of Allocation:

Size Pro-Rata would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, DROT/Specialist trading 11 (15/45*33 remaining), ROT1 trading 22 (30/45*33).

The DROT Priority would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT/Specialist trading 40% of remaining 33 = 13 (13.2 rounded down); then Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading full size of 20.

The Specialist Participation Entitlement would result in Customer A trading 5, Customer B trading 2, and DROT/Specialist entitled to 60% of remaining 33 = 19 (19.8 rounded down) but capped at his size of 15 thus trading 15; then normal Size Pro-Rata for remaining with ROT1 trading 18.

In this example, the Specialist Participation Entitlement would prevail since the DROT is the Specialist and would receive a greater allocation this way.

Examples with Rounding and Remainders

Example Number 1:

Presume an order of 200 contracts is being allocated in the Exchange's Order Book. Allocation will first occur with Customer orders at the best price filled in time priority, since Customers always have priority on the Exchange. Presume there are 63 contracts remaining after Customer orders are filled. Assume no Specialist is present thus, ROTs would be allocated next pursuant to Rule 1089 in pro-rata fashion. Presume 5 ROTs are at the best price and the allocation of the remaining 63 contracts, after Customer orders have been satisfied, is as follows:

ROT A 1.10(30) x 1.20(30) --- 25.2 rounded down to 25 contracts

ROT B 1.10(15) x 1.20(15) --- 12.6 rounded down to 12 contracts

ROT C 1.10(10) x 1.20(10) --- 8.4 rounded down to 8 contracts

ROT D 1.10 (10) x 1.20(10) --- 8.4 rounded down to 8 contracts

ROT E 1.10 (10) x 1.20 (10) --- 8.4 rounded down to 8 contracts

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 56 of 73

After this Size Pro-Rata allocation, 2 contracts remain to be allocated. Presume for this trading day these ROTs are assigned the following order of assignment: first is ROT A, second is ROT B, third is ROT C, fourth is ROT D and fifth is ROT E. The 2 remaining contracts would be allocated as follows:

```
ROT A 1.10(30) x 1.20(30) --- 1 contract

ROT B 1.10(15) x 1.20(15) --- 1 contract

ROT C 1.10(10) x 1.20(10) --- zero

ROT D 1.10 (10) x 1.20(10) --- zero

ROT E 1.10 (10) x 1.20 (10) --- zero
```

The next order which results in contracts remaining after the Size Pro-Rata allocation to ROTs will have such remaining contracts allocated one at a time beginning with ROT C since he was next in line based on that trading day's order of assignment, provided ROT C is at the best price with remaining interest.

Example Number 2:

Presume an order of 200 contracts is being allocated in the Exchange's Order Book. Presume all Customer orders and ROT interest that was at the best price have been filled and there remains 9 contracts to be executed.

Off-floor broker-dealers would be allocated next pursuant to Rule 1089 in a prorata fashion. Presume 3 off-floor broker-dealers are at the best price and their interest had arrived in the following order. The allocation of the remaining 9 contracts is as follows:

Off-floor broker-dealer C 1.10 (5) x 1.20 (5) - 4.09 contracts rounded down to 4 Off-floor broker-dealer B 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) - 2.45 contracts rounded down to 2 Off-floor broker-dealer A 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) - 2.45 contracts rounded down to 2

After this Size Pro-Rata allocation, there remains one contract to be allocated. This residual contract will be allocated in time priority as follows:

Off-floor broker-dealer C 1.10 (5) x 1.20 (5) -1 contract Off-floor broker-dealer B 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) - zero

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 57 of 73

Off-floor broker-dealer A 1.10 (3) x 1.20(3) – zero

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act³² in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act³³ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest, by conforming the format of the allocation to that of BX for purposes of comparing the allocation methods and also bringing clarity the electronic allocation rule. Also, the adoption of new rule text will allow the Exchange to effectively compete against other markets.

The Exchange's method for the allocation of electronic orders is restated in large part in proposed Rule 1089 to conform the Exchange's rule to that of BX, where applicable. The Exchange's allocation rule is similar to that of BX is most respects, except that rounding on the Exchange is always down, whereas BX is up or down to the nearest integer. Also, the allocation of the remaining contracts on the Exchange is different for Specialists and ROTs as compared to off-floor broker-dealers.

With respect to rounding, all rounding is down to the nearest integer. The Exchange believes that rounding down uniformly is consistent with the Exchange Act because it provides for the equitable allocation of shares among the Exchange's market participants. Also, this rule change will provide market participants will transparency as to the number of shares that they are entitled to receive as the result of rounding.

³² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

³³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 58 of 73

The Exchange's amendment to indicate the manner in which remaining contracts are allocated among market participants for simple interest specifies that for ROTS, remaining contracts allocated among equally priced ROTs are by random assignment of ROTs each trading day in accordance with the trading day's order assignment, provided the ROT is at the best price at which the order is being traded. For off-floor broker-dealers, odd lots are allocated in time priority, provided the off-floor broker-dealers are at the best price at which the order is being traded. The Exchange believes that the allocation of remaining contracts uniformly for all ROTs, and separately for all off-floor broker-dealers, is consistent with the Exchange Act because it provides for the equitable allocation of shares among the Exchange's market participants. With respect to off-floor broker-dealers, the method is consistent with the Act because it relies simply on time priority, an accepted method of allocation utilized by many options exchange to prioritize orders.

Specifically, with respect to the allocation method for remaining contracts for ROTs, this random assignment is basically a round robin approach to the allocation. The Exchange believes that this method results in a fair and equitable allocation of shares of these market participants because each trading day the Exchange creates a new order of assignment to allocate ROTs and that order provided an independent method to assign evenly among ROTs. Also, each trading day that assignment changes so that no one ROT would have the ability to receive a greater allocation than another ROT. The Exchange believes that its assignment method is not subject to gaming since it is random and therefore complies with the Act because it is aimed at the protection of investors.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 59 of 73

Also, this rule change will provide market participants will transparency as to the number of shares that they are entitled to receive as the result of allocation remaining contracts.

One other important distinction is with respect to DROT Priority, if the Specialist is the DROT, the Specialist will receive the entitlement pursuant to proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(iii). BX does not distinguish DMM's, i.e. the BX equivalent of a DROT, as between the LMM, i.e. the BX equivalent of a Specialist, and other Market Makers. This is an important distinction on Phlx because each option has a Specialist assigned to that option. The Exchange's System will identify if the Specialist is the DROT because there always is a Specialist on each option and similar to the priority scheme generally, the Specialist will receive an allocation over a ROT in that case. The Exchange believes that permitting the Specialist to receive the allocation over the ROT if the Specialist is the DROT is consistent with the Act because today Phlx permits the Specialist to be ahead of ROTs generally within its allocation method. Specialists have higher quoting obligations as compared to ROTs (90% versus 60% of the series in which assigned). 35

The Exchange is also introducing three new provisions with respect to Directed Orders and Specialists. The Exchange's proposal to add rules similar to BX which address the intersection between the DROT and Specialist is consistent with the Act because the natural conflicts as between the entitlements is addressed in more detail and consistent with the manner in which BX operates today. The Exchange will permit a Specialist to receive the higher of all entitlements pursuant to proposed Rule 1089. The

³⁴ See Phlx Rules 501 and 1020.

³⁵ <u>See Phlx Rule 1014(b)(ii)(D).</u>

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 60 of 73

new language at proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1)(c) recognizes that a Specialist could be the DROT and receive a higher allocation. Further, the text at proposed Rule 1089(A)(1)(ii)(1) also recognizes a situation where the Specialist is not the DROT and describes the impact to the Directed Order allocation. In this case, the Specialist Participation Entitlement will not apply to the Directed Order. Finally, the provision relating to orders for 5 contracts or fewer are allocated to the Specialist. The Exchange's proposed text at proposed Rule 1089(A)(2) makes clear that if the order of 5 contracts or fewer were directed to a DROT who is not the Specialist, quoting at or better than the NBBO, the provision related to the allocation to the Specialist at 1089(A)(2) would not apply. The Exchange believes that conforming the intersection between Specialist and DROT entitlements to BX rules will not only offer Exchange members the same opportunities for allocation as BX Participants, but will also bring certainty to the Exchange' allocation rules when multiple priorities may apply.

Also with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer the Exchange is adding more specificity to proposed Rule at 1080(A)(1)(ii)(2) to note that if a Customer is resting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to ROT Priority. Also, if the Specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to ROT Priority. The Exchange believes that bypassing the Specialist entitlement, if a Customer is at the best price, and allocating the Specialist along with other ROTs is consistent with the Act in that the Exchange

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 61 of 73

continues to prioritize the Customer ahead of all other market participants. Any remainder, which would be 4 or less contracts, would simply be allocated in accordance with ROT Priority for simplicity. This_proposed rule text provides members with certainty as to the method of allocation concerning 5 contracts or fewer.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

The Exchange does not believe the proposed change will cause any unnecessary burden on inter-market competition because all Exchange members may apply to be either Specialists or ROTs and, presuming all requirements are met, would be entitled to receive participation entitlements provided they direct orders and those orders are executed by those DROTs.

With respect to rounding, all rounding is down to the nearest integer. The Exchange does not believe that the proposal to round all remaining contracts down to the nearest integer imposes an undue burden on competition because the Exchange will uniformly round in this matter.

With respect to allocating remaining contracts, the Exchange does not believe that the proposal to allocate remaining contracts for ROTs by random assignment creates an undue burden on competition because the method, which is basically round robin, results in a fair and equitable allocation of shares of these market participants. The Exchange does not believe that allocating remaining contracts to off-floor broker-dealers in time priority creates an undue burden on competition because the method will be applied uniformly among these participants.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 62 of 73

Permitting Specialists to receive an allocation over ROTs when the Specialist is the DROT does not create an undue burden on competition because today Phlx permits the Specialist to be ahead of ROTs generally within its allocation method. Specialists have higher quoting obligations as compared to ROTs (90% versus 60% of the series in which assigned).³⁶

The Exchange's proposal to add new provisions relating to Directed Orders and Specialists does not create an undue burden on competition because BX already has similar rules in place and the new rules bring certainty to the Exchange' allocation rules when multiple priorities may apply.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission shall: (a) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or (b) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

³⁶

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 63 of 73

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form
 (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2016-125 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-125. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 64 of 73

also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange.

All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-125 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. ³⁷

Robert W. Errett Deputy Secretary

³⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 65 of 73

EXHIBIT 5

New text is underlined; deleted text is in brackets.

NASDAQ PHLX Rules

* * * * *

Options Rules

* * * * *

Rule 1014. Obligations and Restrictions Applicable to Specialists and Registered Options Traders

- (a) (f) No change.
- (g) Equity Option, Index Option and U.S. dollar-settled Foreign Currency Option Priority and Parity
 - (i) (vi) No change.
 - (vii) <u>Reserved.</u> [Allocation of Automatically Executed Trades. After public customer market and marketable limit orders have been executed, trades automatically executed in such options shall be allocated automatically in the following manner:
 - (A) If the specialist, an SQT, RSQT or a non-SQT ROT that has placed a limit order on the limit order book ("Phlx XL Participant") is quoting alone at the disseminated price and their quote is not matched by another Phlx XL participant prior to execution, such Phlx XL Participant shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts up to the size associated with his/her quotation.
 - (B) *Parity*. Quotations entered electronically by the specialist, an RSQT or an SQT that do not cause an order resting on the limit order book to become due for execution may be matched at any time by quotations entered electronically by the specialist and/or other SQTs and RSQTs, and by ROT limit orders and shall be deemed to be on parity, subject to the requirement that orders of controlled accounts must yield priority to customer orders as set forth in Rule 1014(g)(i)(A).
 - (1) (a) orders for 5 contracts or fewer shall be allocated first to the specialist, provided, however, that on a quarterly basis, the Exchange will evaluate what percentage of the volume executed on the Exchange is comprised of orders for 5 contracts or fewer allocated to specialists, and will reduce the size of the orders included in this provision if such percentage is over 25%. In order to be entitled to receive the 5 contract or fewer order preference set forth in this sub-paragraph (B)(1)(a), the specialist must be quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price, and shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with its quote. If the specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price at the time of execution, orders for 5 contracts or fewer shall be allocated to Phlx XL Participants on parity as set forth in paragraph (b) below.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 66 of 73

(b) Respecting orders for greater than 5 contracts (regardless of whether the specialist is quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price), or orders for 5 contracts or fewer when the specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price, inbound electronic orders shall be allocated pursuant to the following allocation algorithm:

Equal percentage based on the number of Phlx XL Participants quoting or with + XL participant limit orders at BBO (Component A)

Pro rata percentage based on size of Phlx quotes/limit orders (Component B)

Incoming Order

× Size

2

Where:

Component A: The percentage to be used for Component A shall be an equal percentage, derived by dividing 100 by the number of Phlx XL participants quoting at the BBO.

Component B: Size Pro Rata Allocation. The percentage to be used for Component B of the allocation algorithm formula is that percentage that the size of each Phlx XL Participant's quote at the best price represents relative to the total number of contracts in the disseminated quote.

Final Weighting: The final weighting formula for equity options, which shall be determined by a three-member special committee of the Board of Directors, chaired by the President of the Exchange, and two Directors (the "Special Committee"), and apply uniformly across all equity options, shall be a weighted average of the percentages derived for Components A and B multiplied by the size of the incoming order. Initially, the weighting of components A and B shall be equal, represented mathematically by the formula: (Component A Percentage + Component B Percentage)/2) * incoming order size.

The final weighting formula for index options and options on Exchange Traded Fund Shares (as defined in Rule 1000(b)(42.) shall be established by the Special Committee. The final weighting formula for options on U.S. dollar-settled foreign currency options shall be established by a three- member special committee of the Board of Directors, chaired by the President of the Exchange, and two Governors. The final weighting formula may vary by product. Changes made to the percentage weightings of Components A and B shall be announced to the membership on the Exchange's website at least one day before implementation of the change.

(c) Enhanced Specialist Participation: For options subject to the Enhanced Specialist Participation as set forth in Rule 1014(g)(ii), the specialist shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts (not to exceed the size of the specialist's quote) that is the greater of the amount he would be entitled to receive pursuant to Rule 1014(g)(ii), or the

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 67 of 73

amount he would otherwise receive pursuant to the operation of the algorithm described above.

- (d) Broker-dealer Orders: If any contracts remain to be allocated after the Phlx XL Participants have received their respective allocations, off-floor broker-dealers (as defined in Rule 1080(b)(i)(C)) that have placed limit orders on the limit order book which represent the Exchange's disseminated price shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is the proportion of the aggregate size associated with off-floor broker-dealer limit orders on the limit order book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the limit order they have placed on the limit order book. Such off-floor broker-dealers shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with each such limit order.
- (e) No Phlx XL Participant shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with their quotation or limit order.
- (2) No Split-Price Executions. If the size associated with a market order or an electronic quotation to be executed is received for a greater number of contracts than the Exchange's disseminated size, the portion of such an order or quotation executed automatically at the Exchange's disseminated size shall be allocated automatically in accordance with Rule 1014(g)(vii). Contracts remaining in such an order shall be represented by the specialist and handled in accordance with Exchange Rules.
- (3) Notwithstanding the first sentence of Rule 1014(g)(i), neither Rule 119(a)-(d) and (f), nor Rule 120 (insofar as it incorporates those provisions by reference) shall apply to the allocation of automatically executed trades.
- (viii) Directed Orders (as defined in Rule 1080(l)(i)(A)) that are executed electronically shall be automatically allocated as follows:
 - (A) First, to customer limit orders resting on the limit order book at the execution price.
 - (B) Thereafter, contracts remaining in the Directed Order, if any, shall be allocated automatically as follows:
 - (1) The Directed Specialist (where applicable), shall be allocated a number of contracts that is the greater of:
 - (a) the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed Specialist's quote, SQT and RSQT quotes, and non-SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed Specialist's quote;
 - (b) the Enhanced Specialist Participation as described in Rule 1014(g)(ii); or
 - (c) 40% of the remaining contracts.

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 68 of 73

(d) Thereafter, SQTs and RSQTs quoting at the disseminated price, and non-SQT ROTs that have placed limit orders on the limit order book via electronic interface at the Exchange's disseminated price shall be allocated contracts according to the following formula:

Equal percentage based on the Number of SQTs, RSQTs and Non-SQT ROTs quoting or with limit orders at BBO (Component A)

Pro rata percentage based on size of SQT, + RSQT and Non-SQT quotes and limit orders (Component B)

Remaining Order × Size

Where:

Component A: The percentage to be used for Component A shall be an equal percentage, derived by dividing 100 by the number of SQTs, RSQTs and non-SQTs quoting or with limit orders at the BBO.

Component B: Size Pro Rata Allocation. The percentage to be used for Component B of the allocation algorithm formula is that percentage that the size of each SQT, RSQT or non-SQT ROT's quote or limit order at the best price represents relative to the total number of contracts in the disseminated quote.

Final Weighting: The final weighting formula shall be determined by a three-member special committee of the Board of Directors, chaired by the President of the Exchange, and two Directors (the "Special Committee"), and shall be a weighted average of the percentages derived for Components A and B multiplied by the size of the incoming order

(2)

- (a) A Directed RSQT or SQT (where applicable) shall be allocated a number of contracts that is the greater of the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed SQT or RSQT's quote, the specialist's quote, other SQT and RSQT quotes, and non- SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book via electronic interface at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed RSQT or SQT's quote at the NBBO, or
- (b) 40% of the remaining contracts.
- (c) Thereafter, the specialist, SQTs and RSQTs (excluding the Directed SQT or RSQT) quoting at the disseminated price, and non-SQT ROTs that have placed limit orders on the limit order book via electronic interface at the Exchange's disseminated price, shall be allocated a number of contracts according to the following formula:

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 69 of 73

Equal percentage based on the Number of SQTs, RSQTs, specialist and Non-SQT ROTs quoting or with limit orders at BBO (Component A) Pro rata percentage based on size of SQT, RSQT, specialist and Non-SQT quotes and limit orders

(Component B) \times Size

Remaining Order

Where:

Component A: The percentage to be used for Component A shall be an equal percentage, derived by dividing 100 by the number of SQTs, RSQTs (other than the Directed SQT or RSQT) specialist and non-SQTs quoting or with limit orders at the BBO.

Component B: Size Pro Rata Allocation. The percentage to be used for Component B of the allocation algorithm formula is that percentage that the size of each SQT, RSQT RSQTs (other than the Directed SQT or RSQT), specialist or non-SQT ROT's quote or limit order at the best price represents relative to the total number of contracts in the disseminated quote.

Final Weighting: The final weighting formula shall be determined by a three-member special committee of the Board of Directors, chaired by the President of the Exchange, and two Directors (the "Special Committee"), and shall be a weighted average of the percentages derived for Components A and B multiplied by the size of the incoming order.

- (3) If any contracts remain to be allocated after the specialist, SQTs, RSQTs and non-SQT ROTs with limit orders on the limit order book have received their respective allocations, off-floor broker-dealers (as defined in Rule 1080(b)(i)(C)) that have placed limit orders on the limit order book which represent the Exchange's disseminated price shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is the proportion of the aggregate size associated with off-floor broker-dealer limit orders on the limit order book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the limit order they have placed on the limit order book.
- (C) If the Directed Order is for a size that is greater than the Exchange's disseminated size, remaining contracts shall be allocated manually in accordance with Exchange Rule 1014(g)(v).
- (D) A Directed Specialist, RSQT, or SQT shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size associated with their quotation. Non-SQT ROTs and off-floor broker- dealers shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size associated with their limit order.]

• • • Commentary: -----

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 70 of 73

* * * * *

Rule 1082. Firm Quotations

(a) – (d) No change

••• Commentary: -----

.01 No change.

.02 Locked Markets. In the event that an SQT, RSQT, and/or specialist's electronically submitted quotations interact with the electronically submitted quotations of other SQTs, RSQTs and/or the specialist, resulting in the dissemination of a "locked" quotation (e.g., \$1.00 bid - 1.00 offer), the locked quotations will automatically execute against each other in accordance with the allocation algorithm set forth in Rule [1014(g)(vii)]1089.

.03 Crossed Markets. The Exchange will not disseminate an internally crossed market (e.g., \$1.10 bid, 1.00 offer). If an SQT, RSQT or specialist electronically submits a quotation ("incoming quotation") that would cross an existing quotation ("existing quotation"), the Exchange will change the incoming quotation such that it locks the existing quotation and automatically execute the locked quotations against each other in accordance with the allocation algorithm set forth in Rule [1014(g)(vii)]1089.

* * * * *

Rule 1089. Execution Priority and Processing in the System

(A) Execution Algorithm - The Exchange will apply a Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm to electronic orders. The System shall execute trading interest within the System in price priority, meaning it will execute all trading interest at the best price level within the System before executing trading interest at the next best price. If the result is not a whole number, it will be rounded down to the nearest whole number.

(1) Priority Overlays Applicable to Size Pro-Rata Execution Algorithm: the Exchange will apply the following designated Participant priority overlays. No participant shall be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with their quotation or order.

(i) Customer Priority: the highest bid and lowest offer shall have priority except that Customer orders shall have priority over non-Customer interest at the same price,

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 71 of 73

provided the Customer order is an executable order. If there are two or more Customer orders for the same options series at the same price, priority shall be afforded to such Customer orders in the sequence in which they are received by the System.

- (ii) Enhanced Specialist Priority: A Specialist may be assigned by the Exchange in each option class in accordance Rule 1020. After all Customer orders have been fully executed, upon receipt of an order, provided the Specialist's bid/offer is at or improves on the Exchange's disseminated price, the Specialist will be afforded a participation entitlement. The Specialist shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the displayed size associated with such Specialist. Specialist participation entitlements will be considered after the opening process for subsection (1)(c). The Specialist participation entitlement is as follows below.
 - (1) Specialist Participation Entitlement: A Specialist shall receive the greater of:
 - (a) When the Specialist is at the same price with SQT, RSQT or non-SQT ROT (collectively "ROTs") and the number of contracts is greater than 5, the Specialist is entitled to receive an enhanced participation of at least 30% of the remainder of the order ("Specialist Participation Entitlement"); or
 - (i) 60% of remaining interest if there is one other ROT at that price;
 - (ii) 40% of remaining interest if there are two ROTs at that price;
 - (iii) 30% of remaining interest if there are more than two other ROTs at that price; or
 - (b) the Specialist's Size Pro-rata share under (A)(1)(iv) ("ROT Priority"); or
 - (c) the Directed ROT ("DROT")participation entitlement, if any, set forth in subsection (A)(1)(iii) below (if the order is a Directed Order and Specialist is also the DROT) ("DROT Priority").

<u>If rounding would result in an allocation of less than one contract, a Specialist shall</u> receive one contract.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, when a Directed Order is received and the DROT's quote is at or improves on the NBBO and the Specialist is at the same price level and is not the DROT, the Specialist Participation Entitlement in subsection (A)(1)(ii)(1) will not apply with respect to such Directed Order.

(2) Orders for 5 contracts or fewer shall be allocated to the Specialist, provided, however, that on a quarterly basis, the Exchange will evaluate what percentage of the volume executed on the Exchange is comprised of orders for 5 contracts or

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 72 of 73

fewer allocated to Specialists, and will reduce the size of the orders included in this provision if such percentage is over 25%. In order to be entitled to receive the 5 contract or fewer order preference set forth in this sub-paragraph (A)(1)(ii)(1), the Specialist must be quoting at the Exchange's disseminated price with no other interest present which has a higher priority, and shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the size that is associated with its quote. If the Specialist is not quoting at the Exchange's disseminated best price with no other interest present which has a higher priority at the time of execution, a Specialist is not entitled to priority with respect to the 5 contracts or fewer, however the Specialist is eligible to receive such contracts pursuant to 1089(A)(i)(iv), thereafter orders will be allocated pursuant to 1089(A)(i)(v). This sub-paragraph (A)(1)(ii)(2) shall only apply after the opening and shall not apply to auctions.

- (iii) DROT Priority: After all Customer orders have been fully executed, upon receipt of a Directed Order, provided the DROT's bid/offer is at the better of the internal PBBO excluding AON orders or the NBBO, the DROT will be afforded a participation entitlement. If the Specialist is the DROT, the Specialist will receive the entitlement. The DROT shall not be entitled to receive a number of contracts that is greater than the displayed size at a given price point associated with such DROT. DROT participation entitlements will be considered after the opening process. Pursuant to the DROT participation entitlement, the DROT shall receive, with respect to a Directed Order, the greater of:
 - (1) the proportion of the aggregate size at the NBBO associated with such Directed Specialist's quote, SQT and RSQT quotes, and non-SQT ROT limit orders entered on the book at the disseminated price represented by the size of the Directed Specialist's quote;
 - (2) 40% of remaining interest; or
 - (3) the Specialist Participation Entitlement in subsection (A)(1)(ii)(1) (if the DROT is also the Specialist).

If there are multiple DROT quotes at the same price which are at the better of the internal PBBO excluding AON orders or the NBBO when the Directed Order is received, the DROT participation entitlement shall apply only to the DROT quote which has the highest time priority; the DROT quote that received the Directed Order may not receive any further allocation of the Directed Order, except as noted in (iv) below. If rounding would result in an allocation of less than one contract, the DROT shall receive one contract.

(iv) ROT Priority: After all Customer orders have been fully executed, provided the Customer order is an executable order, and Specialist Participation Entitlement or DROT Priority are applied, if applicable, remaining ROT interest shall have priority over all other orders at the same price. If there are two or more ROT quotes or orders for the same options series at the same price, those shall be executed based on the Size Pro-Rata

SR-Phlx-2016-125 Page 73 of 73

execution algorithm. If there are contracts remaining, such contracts shall be allocated by randomly assigning all ROTs an order of allocation each trading day, and allocating orders, quotes and sweeps in accordance with the trading day's order assignment, provided the ROT is at the best price at which the order, quote or sweep is being traded.

(v) All Other Remaining Interest. If there are contracts remaining after all ROT interest has been fully executed, such contracts shall be executed based on the Size Pro-Rata execution algorithm. In the event that there are remaining contracts to be allocated for interest after rounding, such remaining contacts will be allocated in time priority, provided the off-floor broker-dealers, which includes orders of all market participants other than Customers, ROTs and Specialists, are at the best price at which the order is being traded.

(vi) Only one participation entitlement, Specialist or DROT, may be applied on a given order or quote.

* * * * *