Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response......38

Page 1 o	f * 30	WASHING	EXCHANGE COMMISS GTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4		File No.* S	SR - 2016 - * 97 mendments *)
Filing by NASDAQ PHLX LLC						
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934						
Initial *	Amendment '	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time F for Commission Act	Date Expires *	 19b-4(f)(1) 19b-4(f)(4) 19b-4(f)(2) 19b-4(f)(5) 19b-4(f)(3) 19b-4(f)(6) 			
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, C Section 806(e)(1) * Section 806(e)(_	f 2010	Security-Based Swap to the Securities Exch Section 3C(b)(2)	-
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document						
Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). Proposal to delete outdated or unnecessary rule language contained in Rule 1020, section (b), and Commentary .01 through .06.						
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.						
First N	ame * Carla		Last Name * Behnfeldt	:		
Title *						
E-mail	E-mail * Carla.Behnfeldt@nasdag.com					
Telephone * (215) 496-5208 Fax						
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.						
(Title *) Evecutive Vice President and Coperal Counsel						
	Date 09/27/2016 Executive Vice President and General Counsel					
Ву	Edward S. Knight	<u> </u>				
(Name *) NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.						

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information * clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 3 of 30

1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") a proposal to delete outdated or unnecessary rule language contained in Rule 1020, Registration and Functions of Options Specialists, section (b) and Commentary .01 through .06, as explained further below.

A notice of the proposed rule change for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u> is attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u>. The text of the proposed rule change is attached as <u>Exhibit 5</u>.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

The proposed rule change was approved by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on July 25, 2016. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change. Questions and comments on the proposed rule change may be directed to:

Carla Behnfeldt Associate General Counsel Nasdaq, Inc. (215) 496-5208

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 4 of 30

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. <u>Purpose</u>

Exchange Rule 1020 contains provisions relating to registration and functions of options specialists.³ Rule 1020's provisions were initially adopted in the 1970s, in the early days of exchange trading of options. As explained below, the rule reflects the trading context in which it was adopted. Various provisions of the rule are consequently very outdated.

The Exchange is therefore proposing to delete obsolete and unnecessary language from section (b) and from Commentary .01 through Commentary .06 of Rule 1020 pertaining to the obligations of specialists. The Exchange proposes to delete the language in question in order to prevent any confusion that may result from obsolete provisions, to eliminate unnecessary language, and to ensure that the rulebook accurately reflects specialists' obligations in the context of the manner in which trading is conducted today.

Section (b)

Rule 1020 provides that, as a condition of being registered as a specialist in one or more options, a member has an obligation to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. The rule currently provides that this obligation exists for a specialist "in addition to the execution of orders entrusted him in such options." The Exchange is deleting the language regarding execution of entrusted orders. Specialists no longer manually handle or execute others' orders due to the Exchange's migration to a new

A "specialist" is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist pursuant to Exchange Rule 1020(a). Specialists are subject to quoting and registration obligations set forth in Rules 1014(b), 1020, and 1080.02.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 5 of 30

electronic trading system ("Phlx XL II") in 2009.⁴ The Phlx XL II enhancements were designed to improve the execution quality for its Phlx users by improving a number of processes, including the opening process, the order handling process and the execution of orders process. As a consequence of this migration a manual book no longer exists and specialists no longer enter manual orders entrusted to them onto the electronic limit order book.⁵ Specialists no longer handle any agency orders whatsoever in their role as specialists. The Exchange proposes to delete the language in question in order to prevent any confusion that may result from this obsolete provision and to ensure that the rulebook accurately reflects member obligations.

Commentary .01

Commentary .01 applies to transactions of a specialist for his own account that establish or increase a position. It provides that in "effecting transactions" for his own account for the purpose of establishing or increasing a position, a specialist is to effect such transactions in a reasonable and orderly manner in relation to the condition of the general market, the market in the particular option and the adequacy of his position to the

In May 2009, the Exchange enhanced the options trading system and adopted corresponding rules referring to it as "Phlx XL II." See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59995 (May 28, 2009), 74 FR 26750 (June 3, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-32). Thereafter, the Exchange submitted a number of filings updating various rules and deleting obsolete provisions. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 61397 (January 22, 2010), 75 FR 4893 (January 29, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-07); 63036 (October 4, 2010), 75 FR 62621 (October 12, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-131); and 67469 (July 19, 2012), 77 FR 43633 (July 25, 2012) (SR-Phlx-2012-92).

Specifically, the Exchange has stated that no orders will be executed, and therefore handled, manually in Phlx XL II. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59721 (April 7, 2009), 74 FR 17245 (April 14, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-32) (Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Exchange's Enhanced Electronic Trading Platform for Options, Phlx XL II at 17258). Rules governing the obligations of Specialists, such as quoting and registration obligations, still exist. See, e.g., Rules 1014(b) and 1020.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 6 of 30

immediate and reasonably anticipated needs of the options market. It provides that the following types of transactions to establish or increase a position are not to be effected except when they are reasonably necessary to render the specialist's position adequate to such needs: (a) a purchase at a price above the last sale in the same trading session; (b) the purchase of all or substantially all the options offered on the book at a price equal to the last sale, when the option so offered represents all or substantially all the options offered in the market; and when a substantial amount of an option is offered at a price equal to the last sale price, the purchase of more than 50% of all the options offered at the last sale price; (c) the supplying of all or substantially all the options bid for on the book at a price equal to the last sale, when the option so bid for represents all or substantially all the options bid for in the market; and when a substantial amount of the options bid for at a price equal to the last sale price, the supplying of more than 50% of all the options bid for at the last sale price; (d) failing to re-offer or re-bid where necessary after effecting transactions described in (a), (b), or (c). The rule permits transactions of these types to be effected, however, with the approval of an Options Exchange Official or in relatively inactive markets where they are an essential part of a proper course of dealings and where the amount of an option involved and the price change, if any, are normal in relation to the market.

The Exchange proposes to delete the last sentence of Commentary .01, and sections (a) through (d) of Commentary .01, because a specialist is unable to comply with its requirements given the way trading is conducted today in the PHLX XL trading system. Specialists today only rarely "effect transactions" in the sense of matching bids and offers to cause an execution to occur. Rather, they submit bids and offers to be

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 7 of 30

matched. Although a specialist may "effect transactions" with a market maker on the Exchange's trading floor, the vast majority of transactions are executed electronically by the trading system and the specialist may be unable to determine the price of the last sale which would be required to comply with the language being deleted. Thus, for example, given electronic quoting and the absence of specialist control over the book, there is no way a specialist can guarantee that a purchase is at a price above the last sale in the same trading session. Because he will not know the price at which trading will occur, he cannot comply with Commentary .01 (a) - (d).

Although these tick-based rules may have been appropriate for and worked well in a market where substantially all trading was conducted manually, at a pace that enabled individuals to discern "tick" changes easily and which tolerated the time it took to call an Options Exchange Official into the crowd to approve a particular specialist's transaction, they are inappropriate now where trading is substantially electronic and the speed and frequency of executions and quote changes preclude individuals from being able to accurate track "ticks" or stop trading to allow for Options Exchange Official involvement.⁶ The rules of the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM") do not contain comparable provisions with respect to market makers.

Commentary .02

Commentary .02 applies to transactions of a specialist for his own account that liquidate or decrease his position in an option in which he is registered. It provides that such transactions are to be "effected" in a reasonable and orderly manner in relation to

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54860 (December 1, 2006), 71 FR 71221 (December 8, 2006) (SR-NYSE-2006-76) in which the New York Stock Exchange advanced this explanation in support of proposed changes to its specialist stabilization rules.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 8 of 30

the condition of the general market, the market in the particular option and the adequacy of the specialist's positions to the immediate and reasonably anticipated needs of the options market. It also provides that, in this connection, unless he has the prior approval of an Options Exchange Official, he should avoid: (a) liquidation of all or substantially all of a position by selling options at prices below the last different price or by purchasing options at prices above the last different price unless such transactions are reasonably necessary in relation to the specialist's overall position in the options in which he is registered; (b) failing to maintain a fair and orderly market during liquidations; or (c) failing to re-enter the market where necessary, after effecting transactions described in (a) above.

The Exchange proposes to delete part of the last sentence of Commentary .02 as well as sections (a) through (c) of Commentary .02. The Exchange believes that while these rules may have made sense when they were adopted, changes in market structure and technology in the succeeding decades, such as the shift to trading in penny increments, dispersion of order flow to multiple competing market centers, consolidation and availability of market data, and enhancements in trading, communications and surveillance technology have made these rules anticompetitive anachronisms.

As discussed above, given the way trading is conducted today in the PHLX XL trading system, a specialist may be unable to determine the "last different price" as required to comply with section (a). Section (b) is being deleted as redundant of Rule 1020(b) which already contains the "fair and orderly" requirement. Section (c) is being deleted because it depends on Section (a) which is being deleted as discussed above. Finally, the NOM rules do not contain comparable provisions with respect to market

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 9 of 30

makers.⁷ The language is therefore operationally obsolete, as explained above.

Moreover, having clear and up-to-date rules should promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange.

Commentary .03

Commentary .03 provides that a specialist's quotation, made for his own account, should be such that a transaction effected at his quoted price or within the quoted spread, whether having the effect of reducing or increasing the specialist's position, would bear a proper relation to preceding transactions and anticipated succeeding transactions. The Exchange proposes to delete Commentary .03 because given the speed of trading that occurs today on the Phlx XL trading system, a specialist may not have knowledge of the preceding transactions to which his quotation would relate, much less any anticipated succeeding transactions. Without affecting his liquidity, the specialist cannot possibly look at every single transaction, nor can he know how the transactions relate to one another. Prior to the advent of electronic trading, a specialist would announce his quote verbally, which was a very slow process. Today, a specialist would not be able to adjust quotes as needed to comply with Commentary .03 before the quotes are accessed.

The NOM rules do not contain comparable provisions with respect to market makers. The language is an unnecessary and anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists, because market makers on NOM which fulfill a comparable role to Phlx specialists are not subject to a comparable requirement.

The Exchange believes that the fact that NOM does not have a trading floor is irrelevant.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 10 of 30

Commentary .04

Commentary .04 applies to opening or reopening an option. It provides that a specialist should avoid participating as a dealer in opening or reopening an option in such a manner as to reverse the balance of public supply and demand as reflected by market and limited price orders at or near the price of the previous close or halt, unless the condition of the general market or the specialist's position in light of the reasonably anticipated needs of the market make it advisable to do so, or unless the specialist has obtained the prior approval of an Options Exchange Official to do so. The rule provides that he may, however, buy or sell an option as a dealer to minimize the disparity between supply and demand at an opening or reopening. The Exchange proposes to delete Commentary .04 in its entirety because the Specialist no longer manually opens options classes. Rather, the PHLX XL trading system handles the opening and re-opening of options in accordance with Phlx Rule 1017. While the Specialist is required to provide a quote, he or she is no more involved in resolving imbalances than any other market maker. All aspects of the opening are done automatically by the system.

Commentary .05

Commentary .05 prohibits a member acting as a specialist from effecting transactions for the purpose of adjusting a LIFO inventory in an option in which he is so acting except as a part of a course of dealings reasonably necessary to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. This rule largely tracks former NYSE rule 104.13 which was designed to prevent year-end purchases or sales for the purpose of obtaining tax advantages under the LIFO system of valuing inventory.⁸

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 7432, 29 FR 13777 (October 6, 1964).

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 11 of 30

The Exchange proposes to delete Commentary .05 in its entirety because the Exchange believes it is unnecessary. The NOM rules do not contain a comparable provision for market makers. Additionally, the Exchange was unable to locate a comparable Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE") rule. The language is an unnecessary and anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists, because market makers on NOM which fulfill a comparable role to Phlx specialists are not subject to a comparable requirement.

Commentary .06

Commentary .06 provides that under certain circumstances a specialist may assign options in which he is registered to an investment account. Purchases creating or adding to a position in an investment account may not be made unless reasonably necessary to permit the specialist to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. The Exchange is deleting this sentence because it believes it is not necessary. Specialists have their "specialist account." Any executions on their quotes are placed into their specialist accounts. While an "investment account" may have played a role in early days of trading, the Exchange is unaware today of what such an account might consist of or its purpose – consequently, the Exchange perceives no need to regulate it or fashion rules around it.

Commentary .06 states that in the maintenance of price continuity with reasonable depth, it is commonly desirable for a specialist to supply options to the market, even though he may have to sell short to do so, to the extent reasonably necessary to meet the needs of the market. This sentence is being deleted because the Exchange believes its rules should not include statements of "desirable" behavior.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 12 of 30

Finally, Commentary .06 provides that a specialist may not effect a transfer of options in which he is registered from his dealer account to an investment account if the transfer would result in creating a short position in the dealer account. This Exchange is deleting this sentence because it is unnecessary, for the reasons specified above relating to investment accounts..

The NOM rules do not contain provisions comparable to the provisions of Commentary .06 with respect to its market makers. The language is an unnecessary and anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists, because market makers on NOM which fulfill a comparable role to Phlx specialists are not subject to comparable requirements.

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, of in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, of in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest, by deleting unnecessary and obsolete provisions and generally providing clarity to the rules.

Specifically, the deletion of a portion of the Rule 1020 Section (b) and Commentary provisions discussed above is consistent with the Act because this rule language is operationally obsolete, as explained above; moreover, having clear and up to date rules should promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange. The proposal should result in a more accurate and understandable rule book, particularly for

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 13 of 30

Exchange specialists who no longer operate a book or handle orders manually. The Exchange's goal with respect to the deletion of language is to ensure that the rulebook accurate reflects member obligations in the context of how trading takes place on the Exchange today, which should protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange's proposal will also delete unnecessary provisions that, because they are not present in the NOM rulebook with respect to market makers, represent an anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists as discussed above.

4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Removing unnecessary regulatory burdens should enhance a Phlx specialist's ability to compete with market makers on Phlx and on other exchanges who are not burdened with similar requirements.

- 5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>
 - No written comments were either solicited or received.
- 6. <u>Extension of Time Period for Commission Action</u>
 - Not Applicable.
- 7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)</u>
 - Not applicable.
- 8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization</u> or of the Commission
 - Not applicable.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 14 of 30

Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act
 Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

- 1. Notice of proposed rule for publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>.
- 5. Text of the proposed rule change.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 15 of 30

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (Release No. ; File No. SR-Phlx-2016-97)

October ___, 2016

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NASDAQ PHLX LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change to Delete Outdated or Unnecessary Rule Language

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹, and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on September 27, 2016, NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III, below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange proposes to delete outdated or unnecessary rule language contained in Rule 1020, Registration and Functions of Options Specialists, section (b) and Commentary .01 through .06.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Website at http://nasdaqphlx.cchwallstreet.com/, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 16 of 30

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. <u>Purpose</u>

Exchange Rule 1020 contains provisions relating to registration and functions of options specialists.³ Rule 1020's provisions were initially adopted in the 1970s, in the early days of exchange trading of options. As explained below, the rule reflects the trading context in which it was adopted. Various provisions of the rule are consequently very outdated.

The Exchange is therefore proposing to delete obsolete and unnecessary language from section (b) and from Commentary .01 through Commentary .06 of Rule 1020 pertaining to the obligations of specialists. The Exchange proposes to delete the language in question in order to prevent any confusion that may result from obsolete provisions, to eliminate unnecessary language, and to ensure that the rulebook accurately reflects specialists' obligations in the context of the manner in which trading is conducted today.

A "specialist" is an Exchange member who is registered as an options specialist pursuant to Exchange Rule 1020(a). Specialists are subject to quoting and registration obligations set forth in Rules 1014(b), 1020, and 1080.02.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 17 of 30

Section (b)

Rule 1020 provides that, as a condition of being registered as a specialist in one or more options, a member has an obligation to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. The rule currently provides that this obligation exists for a specialist "in addition to the execution of orders entrusted him in such options." The Exchange is deleting the language regarding execution of entrusted orders. Specialists no longer manually handle or execute others' orders due to the Exchange's migration to a new electronic trading system ("Phlx XL II") in 2009. The Phlx XL II enhancements were designed to improve the execution quality for its Phlx users by improving a number of processes, including the opening process, the order handling process and the execution of orders process. As a consequence of this migration a manual book no longer exists and specialists no longer enter manual orders entrusted to them onto the electronic limit order book. Specialists no longer handle any agency orders whatsoever in their role as specialists. The Exchange proposes to delete the language in question in order to prevent

In May 2009, the Exchange enhanced the options trading system and adopted corresponding rules referring to it as "Phlx XL II." See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59995 (May 28, 2009), 74 FR 26750 (June 3, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-32). Thereafter, the Exchange submitted a number of filings updating various rules and deleting obsolete provisions. See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 61397 (January 22, 2010), 75 FR 4893 (January 29, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-07); 63036 (October 4, 2010), 75 FR 62621 (October 12, 2010) (SR-Phlx-2010-131); and 67469 (July 19, 2012), 77 FR 43633 (July 25, 2012) (SR-Phlx-2012-92).

Specifically, the Exchange has stated that no orders will be executed, and therefore handled, manually in Phlx XL II. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 59721 (April 7, 2009), 74 FR 17245 (April 14, 2009) (SR-Phlx-2009-32) (Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Exchange's Enhanced Electronic Trading Platform for Options, Phlx XL II at 17258). Rules governing the obligations of Specialists, such as quoting and registration obligations, still exist. See, e.g., Rules 1014(b) and 1020.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 18 of 30

any confusion that may result from this obsolete provision and to ensure that the rulebook accurately reflects member obligations.

Commentary .01

Commentary .01 applies to transactions of a specialist for his own account that establish or increase a position. It provides that in "effecting transactions" for his own account for the purpose of establishing or increasing a position, a specialist is to effect such transactions in a reasonable and orderly manner in relation to the condition of the general market, the market in the particular option and the adequacy of his position to the immediate and reasonably anticipated needs of the options market. It provides that the following types of transactions to establish or increase a position are not to be effected except when they are reasonably necessary to render the specialist's position adequate to such needs: (a) a purchase at a price above the last sale in the same trading session; (b) the purchase of all or substantially all the options offered on the book at a price equal to the last sale, when the option so offered represents all or substantially all the options offered in the market; and when a substantial amount of an option is offered at a price equal to the last sale price, the purchase of more than 50% of all the options offered at the last sale price; (c) the supplying of all or substantially all the options bid for on the book at a price equal to the last sale, when the option so bid for represents all or substantially all the options bid for in the market; and when a substantial amount of the options bid for at a price equal to the last sale price, the supplying of more than 50% of all the options bid for at the last sale price; (d) failing to re-offer or re-bid where necessary after effecting transactions described in (a), (b), or (c). The rule permits transactions of these types to be effected, however, with the approval of an Options Exchange Official or in relatively inactive markets where they are an essential part of a proper course of dealings

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 19 of 30

and where the amount of an option involved and the price change, if any, are normal in relation to the market.

The Exchange proposes to delete the last sentence of Commentary .01, and sections (a) through (d) of Commentary .01, because a specialist is unable to comply with its requirements given the way trading is conducted today in the PHLX XL trading system. Specialists today only rarely "effect transactions" in the sense of matching bids and offers to cause an execution to occur. Rather, they submit bids and offers to be matched. Although a specialist may "effect transactions" with a market maker on the Exchange's trading floor, the vast majority of transactions are executed electronically by the trading system and the specialist may be unable to determine the price of the last sale which would be required to comply with the language being deleted. Thus, for example, given electronic quoting and the absence of specialist control over the book, there is no way a specialist can guarantee that a purchase is at a price above the last sale in the same trading session. Because he will not know the price at which trading will occur, he cannot comply with Commentary .01 (a) – (d).

Although these tick-based rules may have been appropriate for and worked well in a market where substantially all trading was conducted manually, at a pace that enabled individuals to discern "tick" changes easily and which tolerated the time it took to call an Options Exchange Official into the crowd to approve a particular specialist's transaction, they are inappropriate now where trading is substantially electronic and the speed and frequency of executions and quote changes preclude individuals from being able to accurate track "ticks" or stop trading to allow for Options Exchange Official

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 20 of 30

involvement.⁶ The rules of the NASDAQ Options Market ("NOM") do not contain comparable provisions with respect to market makers.

Commentary .02

Commentary .02 applies to transactions of a specialist for his own account that liquidate or decrease his position in an option in which he is registered. It provides that such transactions are to be "effected" in a reasonable and orderly manner in relation to the condition of the general market, the market in the particular option and the adequacy of the specialist's positions to the immediate and reasonably anticipated needs of the options market. It also provides that, in this connection, unless he has the prior approval of an Options Exchange Official, he should avoid: (a) liquidation of all or substantially all of a position by selling options at prices below the last different price or by purchasing options at prices above the last different price unless such transactions are reasonably necessary in relation to the specialist's overall position in the options in which he is registered; (b) failing to maintain a fair and orderly market during liquidations; or (c) failing to re-enter the market where necessary, after effecting transactions described in (a) above.

The Exchange proposes to delete part of the last sentence of Commentary .02 as well as sections (a) through (c) of Commentary .02. The Exchange believes that while these rules may have made sense when they were adopted, changes in market structure and technology in the succeeding decades, such as the shift to trading in penny increments, dispersion of order flow to multiple competing market centers, consolidation

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 54860 (December 1, 2006), 71 FR 71221 (December 8, 2006) (SR-NYSE-2006-76) in which the New York Stock Exchange advanced this explanation in support of proposed changes to its specialist stabilization rules.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 21 of 30

and availability of market data, and enhancements in trading, communications and surveillance technology have made these rules anticompetitive anachronisms.

As discussed above, given the way trading is conducted today in the PHLX XL trading system, a specialist may be unable to determine the "last different price" as required to comply with section (a). Section (b) is being deleted as redundant of Rule 1020(b) which already contains the "fair and orderly" requirement. Section (c) is being deleted because it depends on Section (a) which is being deleted as discussed above. Finally, the NOM rules do not contain comparable provisions with respect to market makers. The language is therefore operationally obsolete, as explained above. Moreover, having clear and up-to-date rules should promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange.

Commentary .03

Commentary .03 provides that a specialist's quotation, made for his own account, should be such that a transaction effected at his quoted price or within the quoted spread, whether having the effect of reducing or increasing the specialist's position, would bear a proper relation to preceding transactions and anticipated succeeding transactions. The Exchange proposes to delete Commentary .03 because given the speed of trading that occurs today on the Phlx XL trading system, a specialist may not have knowledge of the preceding transactions to which his quotation would relate, much less any anticipated succeeding transactions. Without affecting his liquidity, the specialist cannot possibly look at every single transaction, nor can he know how the transactions relate to one another. Prior to the advent of electronic trading, a specialist would announce his quote

The Exchange believes that the fact that NOM does not have a trading floor is irrelevant.

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 22 of 30

verbally, which was a very slow process. Today, a specialist would not be able to adjust quotes as needed to comply with Commentary .03 before the quotes are accessed.

The NOM rules do not contain comparable provisions with respect to market makers. The language is an unnecessary and anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists, because market makers on NOM which fulfill a comparable role to Phlx specialists are not subject to a comparable requirement.

Commentary .04

Commentary .04 applies to opening or reopening an option. It provides that a specialist should avoid participating as a dealer in opening or reopening an option in such a manner as to reverse the balance of public supply and demand as reflected by market and limited price orders at or near the price of the previous close or halt, unless the condition of the general market or the specialist's position in light of the reasonably anticipated needs of the market make it advisable to do so, or unless the specialist has obtained the prior approval of an Options Exchange Official to do so. The rule provides that he may, however, buy or sell an option as a dealer to minimize the disparity between supply and demand at an opening or reopening. The Exchange proposes to delete Commentary .04 in its entirety because the Specialist no longer manually opens options classes. Rather, the PHLX XL trading system handles the opening and re-opening of options in accordance with Phlx Rule 1017. While the Specialist is required to provide a quote, he or she is no more involved in resolving imbalances than any other market maker. All aspects of the opening are done automatically by the system.

Commentary .05

Commentary .05 prohibits a member acting as a specialist from effecting transactions for the purpose of adjusting a LIFO inventory in an option in which he is so

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 23 of 30

acting except as a part of a course of dealings reasonably necessary to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. This rule largely tracks former NYSE rule 104.13 which was designed to prevent year-end purchases or sales for the purpose of obtaining tax advantages under the LIFO system of valuing inventory.⁸

The Exchange proposes to delete Commentary .05 in its entirety because the Exchange believes it is unnecessary. The NOM rules do not contain a comparable provision for market makers. Additionally, the Exchange was unable to locate a comparable Chicago Board Options Exchange ("CBOE") rule. The language is an unnecessary and anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists, because market makers on NOM which fulfill a comparable role to Phlx specialists are not subject to a comparable requirement.

Commentary .06

Commentary .06 provides that under certain circumstances a specialist may assign options in which he is registered to an investment account. Purchases creating or adding to a position in an investment account may not be made unless reasonably necessary to permit the specialist to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. The Exchange is deleting this sentence because it believes it is not necessary. Specialists have their "specialist account." Any executions on their quotes are placed into their specialist accounts. While an "investment account" may have played a role in early days of trading, the Exchange is unaware today of what such an account might consist of or its purpose – consequently, the Exchange perceives no need to regulate it or fashion rules around it.

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 7432, 29 FR 13777 (October 6, 1964).

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 24 of 30

Commentary .06 states that in the maintenance of price continuity with reasonable depth, it is commonly desirable for a specialist to supply options to the market, even though he may have to sell short to do so, to the extent reasonably necessary to meet the needs of the market. This sentence is being deleted because the Exchange believes its rules should not include statements of "desirable" behavior.

Finally, Commentary .06 provides that a specialist may not effect a transfer of options in which he is registered from his dealer account to an investment account if the transfer would result in creating a short position in the dealer account. This Exchange is deleting this sentence because it is unnecessary, for the reasons specified above relating to investment accounts..

The NOM rules do not contain provisions comparable to the provisions of Commentary .06 with respect to its market makers. The language is an unnecessary and anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists, because market makers on NOM which fulfill a comparable role to Phlx specialists are not subject to comparable requirements.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act, 9 in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, 10 in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest, by deleting unnecessary and obsolete provisions and generally providing clarity to the rules.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 25 of 30

Specifically, the deletion of a portion of the Rule 1020 Section (b) and Commentary provisions discussed above is consistent with the Act because this rule language is operationally obsolete, as explained above; moreover, having clear and up to date rules should promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange. The proposal should result in a more accurate and understandable rule book, particularly for Exchange specialists who no longer operate a book or handle orders manually. The Exchange's goal with respect to the deletion of language is to ensure that the rulebook accurate reflects member obligations in the context of how trading takes place on the Exchange today, which should protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange's proposal will also delete unnecessary provisions that, because they are not present in the NOM rulebook with respect to market makers, represent an anticompetitive burden on Phlx specialists as discussed above.

B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Removing unnecessary regulatory burdens should enhance a Phlx specialist's ability to compete with market makers on Phlx and on other exchanges who are not burdened with similar requirements.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 26 of 30

if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission shall: (a) by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or (b) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File Number SR-Phlx-2016-97 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

 Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-97. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml).

Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 27 of 30

person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Phlx-2016-97 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the <u>Federal Register</u>].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. ¹¹

Robert W. Errett Deputy Secretary

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 28 of 30

EXHIBIT 5

Proposed new text is underlined. Deleted text is [bracketed].

* * * * *

NASDAQ PHLX Rules

Options Rules

* * * * *

Rule 1020. Registration and Functions of Options Specialists

- (a) No change.
- (b) As a condition of a member's being registered as a specialist in one or more options, it is to be understood that[, in addition to the execution of orders entrusted to him in such options,] a specialist is to engage in a course of dealings for his own account to assist in the maintenance insofar as reasonably practicable, of a fair and orderly market on the Exchange in such options in accordance with and when viewed in relation to the criteria set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this Rule and the commentary thereto. If the Exchange shall have found any substantial or continued failure by a specialist to engage in such a course of dealings, the registration of such specialist shall be subject to suspension or cancellation by the Exchange in one or more of the options in which he is registered. Nothing herein shall limit any other power of the Board of Directors under the By-Laws or any Rule of the Exchange with respect to the registration of a specialist or in respect of any violation by a specialist of the provisions of this Rule.
- (c) (d) No change.
- • Commentary: -----
 - .01 In effecting transactions for his own account for the purpose of establishing or increasing a position, a specialist is to effect such transactions in a reasonable and orderly manner in relation to the condition of the general market, the market in the particular option and the adequacy of his position to the immediate and reasonably anticipated needs of the options market. [The following types of transactions to establish or increase a position are not to be effected except when they are reasonably necessary to render the specialist's position adequate to such needs:
 - (a) a purchase at a price above the last sale in the same trading session;

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 29 of 30

(b) the purchase of all or substantially all the options offered on the book at a price equal to the last sale, when the option so offered represents all or substantially all the options offered in the market; and when a substantial amount of an option is offered at a price equal to the last sale price, the purchase of more than 50% of all the options offered at the last sale price;

- (c) the supplying of all or substantially all the options bid for on the book at a price equal to the last sale, when the option so bid for represents all or substantially all the options bid for in the market; and when a substantial amount of the options bid for at a price equal to the last sale price, the supplying of more than 50% of all the options bid for at the last sale price;
- (d) failing to re-offer or re-bid where necessary after effecting transactions described in (a), (b) or (c) above. Transactions of these types may, nevertheless, be effected with the approval of an Options Exchange Official or in relatively inactive markets where they are an essential part of a proper course of dealings and where the amount of an option involved and the price change, if any, are normal in relation to the market.]
 - .02 Transactions by a specialist for his own account in liquidating or decreasing his position in an option in which he is registered are to be effected in a reasonable and orderly manner in relation to the condition of the general market, the market in the particular option and the adequacy of the specialist's positions to the immediate and reasonably anticipated needs of the options market.[, and, in this connection, unless he has the prior approval of an Options Exchange Official, he should avoid:
- (a) liquidation of all or substantially all of a position by selling options at prices below the last different price or by purchasing options at prices above the last different price unless such transactions are reasonably necessary in relation to the specialist's overall position in the options in which he is registered;
- (b) failing to maintain a fair and orderly market during liquidations;
- (c) failing to re-enter the market where necessary, after effecting transactions described in (a) above.
 - .03 A specialist's quotation, made for his own account, should be such that a transaction effected at his quoted price or within the quoted spread, whether having the effect of reducing or increasing the specialist's position, would bear a proper relation to preceding transactions and anticipated succeeding transactions.
 - .04 A specialist should avoid participating as a dealer in opening or reopening an option in such a manner as to reverse the balance of public supply and demand as reflected by market and limited price

SR-Phlx-2016-97 Page 30 of 30

orders at or near the price of the previous close or halt, unless the condition of the general market or the specialist's position in light of the reasonably anticipated needs of the market make it advisable to do so, or unless the specialist has obtained the prior approval of an Options Exchange Official to do so. He may, however, buy or sell an option as a dealer to minimize the disparity between supply and demand at an opening or reopening.

.05 A member acting as a specialist may not effect transactions for the purpose of adjusting a LIFO inventory in an option in which he is so acting except as a part of a course of dealings reasonably necessary to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market.

.06 Under certain circumstances a specialist may assign options in which he is registered to an investment account. Purchases creating or adding to a position in an investment account may not be made unless reasonably necessary to permit the specialist to assist in the maintenance of a fair and orderly market.

In the maintenance of price continuity with reasonable depth, it is commonly desirable for a specialist to supply options to the market, even though he may have to sell short to do so, to the extent reasonably necessary to meet the needs of the market.

A specialist may not effect a transfer of options in which he is registered from his dealer account to an investment account if the transfer would result in creating a short position in the dealer account.]

* * * * *